

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Mental Disorders and Community Care:
A Discourse Analysis**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Arts in Psychology at
Massey University**

Josephine Helen Danks

1995

Abstract

Talk about people with mental disorders and community care was examined using Potter and Wetherell's (1987) system of discourse analysis. Participants were ten members of the public, resident in a suburban community. They were interviewed on the topics of community care policy, rights, responsibility and care, the location of community residences, and general knowledge about people with mental disorders. Verbatim transcripts from interviews were analysed, and six interpretive repertoires identified, these being, rights promotion, dual community, patronisation, affiliation, solicitous control and disorder repertoires. While participants' talk often contained content indicating support and concern for people with disorders, the repertoires were constructed in a way which functioned to promote and protect their own interests. Analysis of linguistic devices revealed how participants used language to distance themselves from socially unacceptable talk, and claim consensus for their views. The social consequences of discourse constructed in the form used by participants was discussed. Overall the analysis suggested that the way ordinary members of the public talk about people with mental disorders and their care in the community has a negative influence on the social interaction between the two.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the contribution made by Dr Keith Tuffin to this research. Keith not only sparked my interest in conducting research by way of discourse analysis, he also provided me with support and encouragement throughout the process. My thanks go to him for listening to my ideas, guiding my thinking, reading the numerous drafts of this work, and for his comments and suggestions. In particular I would like to thank him for his patience and sense of humour.

I would also like to express my appreciation to the ten people who agreed to participate in this research. They did not simply give their time to be interviewed. They all made a valuable contribution by freely offering their opinions, and responding to debate on the topic. Without the cooperation of good people like them this type of research would not be possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
OVERVIEW	1
<u>CHAPTER ONE</u> BACKGROUND	
Mental disorders	3
Community care	3
Factors contributing to public attitudes regarding community mental health	6
Previous research into public attitudes towards community mental health	9
<u>CHAPTER TWO</u> RATIONALE FOR THE CURRENT RESEARCH	
- Limitations of previous research	11
Attitudes	11
Research methods	12
A research solution	15
Current research aims	16
<u>CHAPTER THREE</u> DISCOURSE ANALYSIS	
- Background of discourse analysis	17
Discourse analysis -The Potter and Wetherell (1987) approach	18
Advantages and disadvantages of discourse analysis	20

CHAPTER FOUR **PROCESS**

Introduction	23
Preparation of research questions	23
Interview format	24
Interview schedule	24
Pilot interview	24
Sample selection	24
Participants	25
Interviews	26
Transcript preparation	26
Transcription information and symbols	26
Coding and analysis	27
Coding	27
Analysis	27
Ethical considerations	27

CHAPTER FIVE **ANALYSIS PRELIMINARIES**

Coding	29
Context	30
Personal experience influence	31

CHAPTER SIX **ANALYSIS REPORT - INTERPRETIVE REPERTOIRES**

Analysis procedure	35
Repertoires	35
Use of interpretive repertoires within topic areas	37
The policy to care for people with mental disorders in the community	37
Rights of people with mental disorders	41
Care of people with mental disorders	48
Location of residences for people with mental disorders	57
Knowledge about mental disorders	63

CHAPTER SEVEN
ANALYSIS REPORT - LINGUISTIC DEVICES

Introduction	70
Opinion presentation	70
Hesitant opinions	71
Confident opinions	72
Impersonal opinions	72
Elusive opinions	73
Conditional opinions	74
Singular and Multiple references	76
Personal	76
Collective personal	77
Impersonal	78
Combinations of singular and multiple terms	79
Consensus	80
Verifiable examples	80
Generalisation	81
'You know'	82

CHAPTER EIGHT
SUMMARY DISCUSSION

Summary of the analysis report	84
Interpretive repertoires	84
Linguistic devices	86
Social consequences of talk about people with mental disorders	87
Limitations of the current study	90

CHAPTER NINE
THE WAY AHEAD

Potential use of findings	92
Further research	94
Conclusion	95

REFERENCES

96

APPENDICES

A. Interview Schedule

99

B. Information Sheet

101

C. Consent Form

102

D. Transcript Symbols

103

E. Coding Sample

104