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Abstract

Impairment in facial affect recognition is prevalent after moderate to severe traumatic brain injury (TBI), and may underlie some problems in social functioning. Tentative work indicates that emotion recognition can improve with training, but the effectiveness of these programmes remains unclear. Little is known about whether broader cognitive deficits underlie facial affect recognition impairment. Less is known about baseline cognitive variables that predict treatment response and the relationship between changes in cognitive functioning and improvement in facial affect recognition after treatment. The present research formed part of a multi-centre randomised controlled trial examining the efficacy of two affect recognition training programmes designed to improve emotion recognition in adults with moderate to severe TBI.

Study One reports outcome data from the main trial. Seventy people with TBI and facial affect recognition difficulties were randomly assigned to nine sessions of one of three treatments: Faces, focusing on facial affect recognition, Stories, determining emotions from social context, and a control group. Participants completed tests assessing cognition, emotion recognition, community integration, interpersonal behaviour and empathy, and informants completed interpersonal and social functioning measures. Participants were assessed five times: initial screening, pre- and post-treatment, and at three- and six-month follow-up. Significant improvement was seen in the Faces group on the primary facial affect recognition outcome measure (DANVA2-Adult Faces). These gains were sustained at six months. No significant differences between treatment groups and the control group were found on interpersonal and social functioning measures.

Study Two had 75 participants with facial affect recognition difficulties and investigated the relationship between facial affect recognition impairment and cognitive functioning. Greater facial affect recognition failures were related particularly to working memory, processing speed, and nonverbal memory. No relationship was found with executive functioning.

Study Three explored the relationship between baseline cognitive variables, changes in cognitive functioning, and long term treatment response. Only older age was predictive of a better long-term response to Faces treatment. Improvement of facial affect recognition was not mediated by changes in cognitive functioning.
This research provides further evidence that retraining is possible for affect recognition difficulties after traumatic brain injury.
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Preface

This thesis examines the effectiveness of two new interventions that each aimed to enhance emotion-recognition skills in people with traumatic brain injury (TBI). In addition, it addresses the issues regarding the cognitive processes involved in facial affect recognition. It is hoped that this research will stimulate further research in establishing useful evidence-based interventions for optimizing emotion-recognition skills remediation and outcome in individuals with TBI.

A growing number of studies suggest that impairments in facial affect recognition are prevalent in people with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury (D R Babbage et al., 2011; Bornhofen & McDonald, 2008a; McDonald, 2013; C. Williams & Wood, 2010) and that this deficit might well be an important determinant of poor social outcomes (Driscoll, Dal Monte, & Grafman, 2011). This research development has led to the implementation of trials and the evaluation of training programmes that specifically target facial affect-recognition deficits for this clinical group (Bornhofen & McDonald, 2008b, 2008c; Guercio, Podolska-Schroeder, & Rehfeldt, 2004; McDonald, Bornhofen, & Hunt, 2009b; Radice-Neumann, Zupan, Tomita, & Willer, 2009). However, the effectiveness of these programmes and their effects on social outcomes remain unclear. The present research formed part of a multi-centre randomised controlled trial, examining the efficacy of two affect recognition training programmes designed to improve different aspects of emotion recognition in adults with moderate to severe TBI. Study One reported an analysis of outcome data from the main trial. Through using targeted emotion recognition training intervention, retraining is possible for affect recognition difficulties after traumatic brain injury.

The cognitive deficits in TBI are well-documented (Dikmen et al., 2009; Ruttan, Martin, Liu, Colella, & Green, 2008), yet little is known about whether these broader cognitive deficits partly underlie facial affect recognition impairments in this clinical group (Allerdings & Alfano, 2006; Spikman, Timmerman, Milders, Veenstra, & van der Naalt, 2012). Although tentative work has indicated that emotion recognition can improve with training programmes, the effects of cognitive functioning on treatment outcome and the trajectory of such functioning after facial affect treatment have yet to be fully determined. Studies Two and Three begin to address these gaps in the literature by directly exploring the relationship between facial affect recognition impairments and
cognitive functioning, and by investigating the baseline cognitive variables, changes in cognitive functioning, and long term treatment response. The relationship between facial affect recognition and cognitive functioning in TBI is complex and multifactorial, and may be influenced by both internal and external factors. A better understanding of the linkage between the two domains and the individual factors that may predict treatment response could better inform research into the identification of more precise therapeutic targets to improve facial affect recognition. These skills are potentially significant in helping people with TBI to lead optimal social lives (Driscoll et al., 2011).
Structure of the Thesis

This thesis is presented in three empirical investigations. Specifically, it investigates the effectiveness of two new training programmes designed to improve different aspects of emotion-recognition skills for people with TBI; examines the relationship between facial affect recognition impairments and cognitive functioning after TBI; explores the baseline cognitive variables and other demographic variables associated with long-term treatment response, and investigates whether improvement in facial affect recognition is related to changes in cognitive functioning. The present research focuses on adults with moderate to severe TBI.

This thesis consists of eleven chapters incorporating three studies, and is submitted with two chapters explicitly presented in publication format. Supporting chapters introduce the studies, and provide discussion of the findings set within them. Chapter One provides a brief introduction of traumatic brain injury and discusses its impact on people’s social and interpersonal functioning. Chapter Two provides an overview of facial affect recognition and reviews empirical findings relating to the theoretical models and neural mechanisms behind this domain. Chapters Three and Four outline the empirical knowledge pertaining to facial affect-recognition impairments (including other emotion recognition deficits) after traumatic brain injury, and highlight the need for development of effective treatments to enhance emotion recognition for people with TBI. These first four chapters provide the rationale for this thesis and place it within the current frame of empirical knowledge and theoretical understanding. Chapter Five outlines the range of research questions addressed by the thesis. Chapter Six, Seven, and Eight present the first study, which was conducted to examine the effectiveness of two new affect recognition treatments and their effects on social functioning. Chapter Nine presents the second study, and investigates the relationship between facial affect recognition impairments and cognitive functioning. Chapter Ten presents the final study and explores the baseline cognitive variables and other demographic characteristics associated with long-term treatment response. It also examines whether changes in cognitive functioning mediate the effects of treatment outcomes. Finally, Chapter Eleven provides an overall summary and conclusion of the research findings of the thesis.
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