

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**ELECTROMICROFILTRATION FOR
SEPARATION OF MINERAL PARTICLES IN
DAIRY PROCESSING**

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY

in

FOOD ENGINEERING

In the Institute of Food, Nutrition & Human Health,

Massey University, Albany campus,

New Zealand

By

Frank G.uan Feng Qin

June 1999

Abstract

Electromicrofiltration, where an external electric field is imposed on a traditional microfiltration unit, has been studied for several years. Reports in this field have covered the filtering separation of china clay, kaoline, anatase and a surfactant from water. Some recent investigations concerning the utilization of electromicrofiltration for bioseparations has led to a growing interest in applying this method to dairy processing. The objective of this work was to explore the characteristics of an electromicrofiltration unit and examine the potential of utilizing this for mineral (calcium phosphate) removal in the dairy industry. Two stainless steel membranes with nominal pore sizes of 5 μ m and 25 μ m, respectively, were used in this study. This material provides the membrane with some unique properties such as electrical conductivity; resistance to high pressure operation and backflushing; and resistance to chemical cleaning agents. Alamin particles, a by-product separated from whey, was used as the primary feed particle. The average particle size is about 5 μ m and the chemical composition is mainly calcium phosphate. Another particle, calcite (calcium carbonate), was used for comparison. Experiments were performed on a laboratory electromicrofilter (the tubular membrane dimension is 380mm in length and 13.5mm in diameter) in which the voltage(0-50V) and current (0-3A), transmembrane pressure (0-250kPa), and crossflow velocity (0-3m/s) could be measured and controlled.

Between 20% ~ 100% transient improvement in permeate flux was obtained when an electric field was applied. For example, the permeate flux increased from 120LMH to 165LMH at the condition of 50kPa transmembrane pressure, 36V/cm electric field strength and 2.28m/s crossflow velocity, in which the membrane was negatively charged and the solution was pH=7. This polarity was used because the zeta potentials for most of the colloid or particulate material in the dispersed system are generally negative in the normal pH range (pH=4~8). The permeate flux gain was largely voltage and particle concentration dependent. The principle of this was further studied and two mechanisms influencing flux were identified: (1) the electricstatic repulsion to the particles or colloids by the charged membrane; and (2) the displacing effect of the cathodic gas bubble on the deposited particles. Microscopic

examination showed that the electrophoretic speed of Alamin particles around $1\mu\text{m}$ in size under $36\text{V}/\text{cm}$ electric strength was $\sim 43\mu\text{m}/\text{s}$. However, continuous application of the electric field was found raised the permeate to pH 11 or higher; this appeared to reduce the solubility of calcium salt in the solution, and eventually caused more severe fouling as fine particles precipitated and obstructed the membrane. Therefore pulsatile application of electric field is recommended. On the other hand, formation of the gas bubbles caused an additional false permeate increase of about $25(\text{LMH})$ for a 1A membrane current in this electromicrofiltration unit.

Using a positively charged membrane, in contrast, acidified the permeate to pH 3~3.5 and resulted in dissolution of the deposited calcium salts. For this reason the steady state permeate flux was improved. For example, the permeate flux for the Alamin solution ($0.7\% \text{w/v}$) was $103(\text{LMH})$ at 100 mins after filtration start if no electric field was applied, but at the same condition the permeate flux was $190(\text{LMH})$ if the membrane was positively charged at $33\text{V}/\text{cm}$ field strength. However, the anodic corrosion was evident if chloride ion (Cl^-) was proved above a minimal valum in the solution. This harmful aspect had been emphasised in this research, and the use of a titanium anode is suggesed to avoid corrosion in the future studies. Moreover, anodic oxidation and its potential to change the chemical nature of the filtrated substance must be take into consideration when applying electrioiltration in a bioseparation processes.

A hydrodynamic analysis revealed that the flow pattern over the whole membrane module was fully developed turbulent flow at $2.28\text{m}/\text{s}$ crossflow velocity. The thickness of the laminar sub-layer on the membrane wall was about $81\mu\text{m}$, which is roughly one order larger than the mean particle size in this study. The drag force acting on a deposit particle was estimated as 2.33×10^{-9} (N), which is 230 times higher than the static electric field force, and the electric field repulsing force acting on a deposit mean size particle is 9 times higher than the particle self weight. The sum of these forces inhibited the particle from depositing on the membrane surface. Considering that the nominal membrane pore sizes used ($5\mu\text{m}$ and $25\mu\text{m}$, respectively) was relatively large (around $0.2\text{-}1\mu\text{m}$ only for normal MF) and the particle size

distribution, the fouling mechanism is more likely to be pore plugging rather than the cake formation on the surface.

Investigation of cleaning methods showed that the effectiveness of backflushing was basically pressure dependent. A 30kPa backflushing pressure restored 85% of filtration performance for the 25 μ m membrane; and a stop-and-restart operation(in which about 15mm H₂O column backpressure was provided by the permeate) restored approximately 60% of its filtration performance. However over 250kPa backflush pressure was needed to restore 85% of filtration performance on the 5 μ m membrane. Use of backflushing can greatly reduce the consumption of chemical cleaners and it is recommended the membrane be charged as an anode when performing the backflushing operation. This can be an effective alternative to acid cleaning if the backflushing water has less than 0.1ppm chloride ion content.

Lastly the formation of the cathode deposit on the membrane as the electric field was applied was observed to act as a ‘formed-in-place’ dynamic filtering layer, and its potential application may be worth investigation in a future study.

Keyword: membrane; sintered stainless steel; microfiltration; electrofiltration; separation; electric field; dairy processing

Acknowledgments

First and foremost I wish to thank my supervisor John Mawson for his direction and encouragement over the last one and half years. His scrupulousness and experience benefited me a lot.

I also wish to acknowledge Industrial Research Limited (NZ) for the sponsorship of this project and my study.

Designing and establishing a suitable experimental apparatus is an important job for scientific research. The equipment used in this study was setup by the engineers in Messay University; the sintered stainless steel membranes were provided by Industrial Research Limited (NZ); and the filtration substance — 'Alamin' was supplied by the NZ Dairy Board. I would like to thank all those people who had given so much assistance. They are greatly appreciated.

This research work was carried out in the microbiology laboratory of Massey University, Albany campus. The laboratory technician Miss Joann Smith gave a lot assistance for the routine laboratory work. Dr. Jayantha Wimalasena, the technician of the chemistry laboratory, helped me obtain chemicals when needed, and Mr. Joe Wang, the technician of the Physics Department, used his skill to fix problems and give advice on what I planned to do. These impacts benefited me a lot. The research would have not been completed without their help.

I would like to thank Mr. John Evans for his help and communication to improve my understanding to the work and study environment.

I also wish to thank my friend Mr. Anthony W.Y. Wu and his wife Ms. Dang Ming Chen for their time, understanding and encouragement to my study.

Finally, to my wife Wanny and my daughter Jenni. Without their constant support, love and encouragement during my study, this thesis would never have been completed.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables.....	xi
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Brief Review of The Research And Development of Membrane Separation.....	1
1.2 Project Background	5
2. Literature Review	6
2.1 Research and Development of the Electrofiltration	6
2.2 The Application of Electrofiltration in Dairy Industry	13
3. Experimental Apparatus And Materials	18
3.1 Experimental Apparatus	18
3.1.1 Membrane Module.....	18
3.1.2 DC Power Supply	20
3.1.3 Pressure and Flow Measurement	21
3.2 Overview of experiment design	21
3.2.1 Transmembrane Pressure Control	22
3.2.2 Permeate Flux Control	23
3.2.3 Cross-flow Velocity Control	23
3.2.4 Temperature Control	25
3.2.5 Membrane Voltage And Current Control	25
3.2.6 Cleaning Procedure	25
3.3 Calibration to The Readings of Pressure Gauge And Transducers.....	26
3.4 Experimental Materials	29

3.4.1	Preparation of Calcite Particles	29
3.4.2	Alamin	30
3.4.3	Physical Properties of the Particles Relevant to Microfiltration	30
4.	Electromicrofiltration: Experimental Results and Discussion	33
4.1	Water Flux of Membrane	33
4.2	Membrane Pore Size	34
4.3	Hydrodynamic Properties of The Membrane Module	36
4.3.1	Equivalent Diameter of The Module	36
4.3.2	Flow Velocity and Pressure.....	36
4.3.3	Momentum Equation of the Boundary Layer	38
4.3.4	Critical Length x_c	40
4.3.5	Flow Velocity Distribution in The Tube	41
4.3.6	Thickness of The Laminar Sub-layer on The Membrane	42
4.3.7	The Velocity at The Edge of The Laminar Sub-layer	43
4.3.8	The Shear Stress on The Membrane Surface	44
4.4	Uncharged Membrane Microfiltration	44
4.4.1	Microfiltration of Alamin and Calcite	44
4.4.2	Effect of Cross Flow Velocity	47
4.4.3	Effect of pH	51
4.5	Charged Membrane Microfiltration	52
4.5.1	Membrane Polarity And Its Characteristics	53
4.5.2	EMF with Negatively Charged Membrane... ..	55
4.5.3	EMF with Positively Charged Membrane	60
4.6	Constant Flux And Critical Flux	64
5.	Effects of Electric Field	67
5.1	Electrophoretic Migration of Particles	68
5.2	Analysis of Forces Acting on A Particle Depositing on The Membrane	71
5.2.1	The Viscous Drag Force F_D	72

5.2.2	The Electric Field Force F_E	74
5.2.3	The Driving Force F_P Associated with The Transmembrane Pressure	74
5.2.4	The Rejection Force F_G Associated with The Generation of Cathode Gas	76
5.2.5	Summary	77
5.3	Formation of Gas Bubbles	77
5.4	Electrochemical Corrosion of Stainless Steel	79
5.4.1	Membrane Serving as Electrodes	79
5.4.2	Auxiliary Electrolysis Experiments	80
5.4.2.1	Experiment 1 — Anode Corrosion	81
5.4.2.2	Experiment 2 — Cathode Deposit	82
5.4.2.3	Experiment 3 — Corrosion Associated with Tap Water	82
5.4.2.4	Experiment 4 — Corrosion Rate at Different Chloride ion Concentrations	83
5.4.3	Consideration of The Electrochemical Reactions	83
5.4.4	Anode Corrosion Examined with Microscope	85
5.5	Formation of Cathode Deposit: A Dynamic Filtering Layer	86
6.	Fouling and Cleaning of the Membranes	88
6.1	Mechanisms of Fouling	88
6.2	Cleaning and Restore of Membrane Performance	88
6.2.1	Backflushing	89
6.2.2	Acid Cleaning	90
6.2.3	Other cleaning options	91
7.	Conclusions and Recommendations	92
8.	References	94
9.	Appendix	97

10. Nomenclature98

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. Schematic diagram of homogeneous membrane	2
Figure 1-2. Schematic diagram of asymmetric membrane	2
Figure 1-3. Schematic diagram of composite	2
Figure 2-1. Diagram of the electric double layer adjacent to a negatively charged surface	6
Figure 2-2. Representation of electrofiltration	7
Figure 2-3. Schematic diagram of the crossflow electrofiltration flow circuit	9
Figure 2-4. Comparisons of permeate flux decline curves for the filtration of anatase suspensions with no added field, a constant field, and a pulsed field	10
Figure 2-5. The effect of electric field on the decay of permeate flux for the filtration of double chain cationic surfactant from water ($J(t)$)	11
Figure 2-6. Flat sheet configuration	12
Figure 2-7. Tubular configuration	12
Figure 2-8. Calcium and inorganic phosphate equilibrium in milk with the equilibrium associated with the calcium highlighted	14
Figure 3-1. Picture of the experimental apparatus.....	19
Figure 3-2. Schematic diagram of the system arrangement	20
Figure 3-3. The membrane module	20
Figure 3-4. Cross flow velocity vs transmembrane pressure at different operating conditions	24
Figure 3-5. Calibration of the gauge readings in the low pressure range	28
Figure 3-6. Calibration of the gauge reading in the higher pressure range	28
Figure 3-7. The gravity deposition of Alamin	31
Figure 4-1. Water flux of the 5 μ m membrane	33
Figure 4-2. Water flux of the 25 μ m membrane	34
Figure 4-3. Schematic diagram of the bubble-point method	36

Figure 4-4. Cross-flow velocity vs pressure drop and *Reynolds* Number,
permeate valve X_p was closed during the measurement37

Figure 4-5. Formation and development of the flow boundary layer 40

Figure 4-6. Velocity profile of inlet section 41

Figure 4-7. Permeate flux and light absorbance for processing of
newborn calcite with the 5 μ m membrane45

Figure 4-8. Flux and light absorbance of newborn calcite on 25 μ m
membrane45

Figure 4-9. Permeate flux decline of calcite microfiltration
on 25 μ m membrane47

Figure 4-10. Permeate flux decline of the Alamin microfiltration
on the 5 μ m membrane47

Figure 4-11. Flux decline at different cross-flow velocities 48

Figure 4-12. Three operating condition and the fouling mechanisms.....49

Figure 4-13. Flux decline in different pH conditions52

Figure 4-14. Dependence of electrode current on voltage applied
when the membrane was negatively charged 54

Figure 4-15. Dependence of electrode current on the voltage applied
when the membrane was positively charged.....54

Figure 4-16. Influence of the electric field to the flux decline55

Figure 4-17. Segmented application of electric field to the
microfiltration of Alamin 56

Figure 4-18. Dependence of the flux gain ΔJ on the cathode current
measured with flowmeter and measuring cylinder respectively.....57

Figure 4-19. Pulsatile applying of electric field after the permeate
flux stabilized..... 59

Figure 4-20. Continuous application of electric field at
1A(52.6A·m² current density), 10~7.8V (36~28 electric field
strength), Alamin content c=0.7%(w/v), transmembrane pressure
 ΔP_{TM} =50kPa, cross flow velocity $u=2.28m \cdot s^{-1}$. membrane
polarity: cathode59

Figure 4-21. Continuous application of electric field where membrane was positively charged and the jacket was filled with RO water at the beginning..... 61

Figure 4-22. Continuous application of electric field where membrane was positively charged and the jacket was empty at the beginning 61

Figure 4-23. Flux decline on the 25 μ m membrane with continuous electric field applying. membrane polarity: cathode, field strength:158V/cm, current density: 80A/m², ΔP_{TM} =36~67kPa, calcite content c=0.7%(w/v), u=2.43m/s 63

Figure 4-24. Comparison of flux decline on the 25 μ m membrane in different electric field strength63

Figure 4-25. Moderate increase of transmembrane pressure to keep a constant flux65

Figure 4-26. Comparison of flux decline of Alamin and calcite particle(I) on the 5 μ m membrane.....66

Figure 4-27. Comparison of flux decline of Alamin and calcite particle(II) on the 25 μ m membrane66

Figure 5-1. Small electrode arrangement for examining electrophoretic movement of particles67

Figure 5-2. Four states of the particle in the membrane unit71

Figure 5-3. The relative velocity of deposited particle and fluid in the laminar-sub layer72

Figure 5-4. Schematic diagram of the of Alamin particle distribution after electric field was applied (examined with microscope, 10x10)78

Figure 5-5. Green color precipitate among Alamin powder(white) after 24 hour..... 79

Figure 5-6. The "frosted" surface of the central bar (used as anode)..... 80

Figure 5-7. Corrosion trace on membrane adapter 80

Figure 5-8. Simulative electrolyte experiment, Electrodes gap: 3mm.

Electrode current: 0.08A(equivalent to 80A/m²) 81

Figure 5-9. Corrosion of the stainless steel anode after electrolyzing
the Alamin solution for 24 hours. Alamin particle
concentration was 0.7%(w/v). The electrode current
was 0.08A(equivalent to 80A/m²). Voltage applied
was 10V(equivalent to 36V/cm of electric field strength)..... 81

Figure 5-10. Cleaned electrodes. (a)Anode and (b)cathode82

Figure 5-11. Cathodic deposit 82

Figure 5-12. Dependence of corrosion rate on chlorion concentration
(under electric field strength of 33.3V/cm)..... 83

Figure 5-13. Circulation of the chloride in different
oxidative state in the recycle filtration system.....84

Figure 5-14. Initial period of anodic corrosion 86

Figure 5-15. The distribution of Electric field strength on the membrane surface
..... 87

Figure 6-1. Effectiveness of backflushing on the 25µm membrane89

Figure 6-2. Effectiveness of different cleaning methods, single or in combination.....90

Figure 9-1. Measurement of the specific weight and porosity of Alamin 97

List of Tables

Table 2-1. Some important membrane separation processes	8
Table 2-2. Various forms of precipitated calcium salts	16
Table 2-3. Composition of whey and whey permeate	16
Table 3-1. Calibration of the readings of pressure gauge and transducers in low pressure condition	26
Table 3-2. Calibration of the readings of P_{per} and P_{in} in higher pressure condition	27
Table 3-3. Some characteristics of calcite and Alamin particles	31
Table 4-1. Measurement results of the maximum pore size	35
Table 5-1. Electrophoretic speed of Alamin particle	68
Table 5-2. Gas bubble appearing voltage	77