

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# The Cost of Milk: Environmental Deterioration vs. Profit in the New Zealand Dairy Industry

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of Master of Environmental Management

Institute of Agriculture and Environment  
Massey University  
Palmerston North  
New Zealand

Kyleisha Jade Foote

2014



## ABSTRACT

In the past two decades major increases in production have occurred in the New Zealand dairy industry. Between 1990 and 2012 the dairy cow population increased by 87%. Milk production increases were double this (195%) over the same period, while the land area used for dairy production increased by 46% between 1993 and 2012. This intensification of production has required the use of externally sourced inputs, particularly an increase in fertiliser, feed supplements, and irrigation.

Dairy intensification has been associated with increased environmental impacts. Water quality in lakes, rivers and streams is declining, particularly in catchments with a predominance of dairy farms. Soil physical properties are worse on dairy land than other farming types and soil contamination on dairy land is reaching concerning levels. Furthermore, dairy farms are responsible for about a quarter of New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, there are a range of offshore impacts relating to the importation of products used for dairy farm production under this intensified regime. New Zealand's 'clean green' brand is important for the dairy industry as well as other primary producers and international tourism. New Zealand's environment must live up to this brand to provide creditability to its products.

These environmental impacts not paid for by the farmer are termed environmental externalities. Most of the pollution caused by dairy farming is not currently remedied or paid for by the dairy industry. Hence, the public is largely left to deal with these externalities, both regarding the economic costs and the environmental degradation that occurs. The aim of this thesis was to compare the cost of the environmental impacts of dairy farming in New Zealand with the economic value (export revenue) of dairy and thus establish a clearer position and understanding of the actualised value of this industry. A conservative estimate of the economic costs of some of the externalities and imports were over \$19 billion, much higher than the 2012 dairy export revenue of \$11.6 billion. It is likely that this is a severe underestimate of the actual value of the environmental externalities given all that was not measured.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my Supervisor, Dr Mike Joy, for his on-going encouragement, advice, patience, support and enthusiasm in the topic. Mike helped develop the principle idea of the thesis and provided on-going discussions for the development of the topic. He was always willing to help and provided inspiration right from my under-graduate study.

Thanks to John Holland for his on-going huge support during my post-graduate study, for encouraging my progress and providing me with adequate resources throughout my study. Thanks also to the Ecology department for assistance and provision of resources, and staff for being extremely helpful.

I would like to thank my family for being extremely encouraging and supportive. Particularly to Shayna, for being there when I needed it, for listening and providing encouragement; to Katy, for being willing to provide assistance in my studies; to my parents for their endless support and nourishment and making student life more financially bearable for me; and to Ben, whose endless understanding and support encouraged me to keep going and who was always willing to discuss ideas.

I would like to give thanks to my fellow students in the Ecology department who provided advice, understanding and encouragement. Thanks especially to Matt Krna, Tessa Roberts, Chifuyu Hawksby, Melody Mendez and Aurathai Phongchiewboon, among many others, for providing sound advice.

I am particularly grateful for the financial assistance awarded by Massey University through the Massey University Masterate Scholarship and from the Julie Alley Bursary.

Mihi ki ā Papatuanuku, mihi ki ngā tangata, mihi ki ngā awa.

For Mother Earth, for the people and for the rivers.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF TABLES	IX
<b><u>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</u></b>	<b><u>1</u></b>
1.1. PROBLEM STATEMENT	1
1.2. AIM OF RESEARCH	2
1.3. OBJECTIVES	2
1.4. METHODS	2
1.5. CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE	3
1.6. LIMITATIONS	4
1.7. OUTLINE OF THESIS	5
<b><u>CHAPTER 2. SETTING THE SCENE</u></b>	<b><u>6</u></b>
2.1. EXPORT REVENUE	8
2.2. PRODUCTION TRENDS	9
2.3. INPUT TRENDS	14
2.4. BORROWING FOR DAIRY	30
2.5. CONCLUSION	31
<b><u>CHAPTER 3. EXTERNALITIES: ENVIRONMENTAL TRADE-OFFS</u></b>	<b><u>32</u></b>
3.1. CONVENTIONAL ECONOMICS	32
3.2. THE PRODUCTION OF EXTERNALITIES	34
3.3. ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS	35
3.4. CONCLUSION	39
<b><u>CHAPTER 4. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT?</u></b>	<b><u>40</u></b>

4.1. REGULATORY MANAGEMENT	40
4.2. VOLUNTARY INITIATIVES	52
4.3. ECONOMIC INITIATIVES	54
4.4. CONCLUSION	56
<b><u>CHAPTER 5. WATER</u></b>	<b><u>57</u></b>
5.1. WATER QUALITY	58
5.2. WATER QUANTITY	73
5.3. IMPACTS OF CONTAMINANTS IN WATER	75
5.4. CONCLUSION	88
<b><u>CHAPTER 6. LAND</u></b>	<b><u>89</u></b>
6.1. BIODIVERSITY LOSS	91
6.2. SOIL QUALITY PARAMETERS	92
6.3. SOIL COMPACTION	93
6.4. SOIL FERTILITY	95
6.5. SOIL CONTAMINATION	97
6.6. CONCLUSION	105
<b><u>CHAPTER 7. ATMOSPHERE</u></b>	<b><u>106</u></b>
7.1. AGRICULTURAL EMISSIONS	108
7.2. NEW ZEALAND DAIRY FARMING EMISSIONS	110
7.3. CONCLUSION	116
<b><u>CHAPTER 8. OFFSHORE IMPACTS</u></b>	<b><u>117</u></b>
8.1. FERTILISERS	118
8.2. PALM KERNEL	124
8.3. CONCLUSION	129
<b><u>CHAPTER 9. VALUATION OF IMPACTS</u></b>	<b><u>130</u></b>
9.1. WATER	131

9.2. LAND	150
9.3. ATMOSPHERE	151
9.4. NEW ZEALAND'S CLEAN GREEN IMAGE	152
9.5. SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS	153
<b>CHAPTER 10. DISCUSSION: THE TRUE VALUE OF MILK</b>	<b>156</b>
10.1. PRODUCTION AND INPUTS	158
10.2. EXTERNALITIES AND MANAGEMENT	164
10.3. WATER	166
10.4. LAND	169
10.5. ATMOSPHERE	170
10.6. CLEAN GREEN IMAGE	171
10.7. COSTS OF DAIRY FARMING	173
10.8. FARM MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	175
10.9. CONCLUSION	179
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>202</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1: AN OVERVIEW OF DAIRY FARM PRODUCTION IN NEW ZEALAND. ....	7
FIGURE 2.2: EXPORT REVENUE TRENDS OF SOME SECTORS IN NEW ZEALAND BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. ....	9
FIGURE 2.3: PROPORTION OF NEW ZEALAND’S AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY LAND AREA IN DIFFERENT LAND-USES IN 2012. .....	10
FIGURE 2.4: TOTAL DAIRY CATTLE (INCLUDING BOBBY CALVES) IN THE NORTH AND SOUTH ISLANDS BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. ....	11
FIGURE 2.5: NUMBER OF DAIRY HERDS AND AVERAGE HERD SIZE OF NEW ZEALAND DAIRY FARMS BETWEEN 1975 AND 2012. .....	12
FIGURE 2.6: TOTAL MILKSOLIDS (MS) PRODUCED (1990-2012) AND AVERAGE KG MS PRODUCED PER HECTARE (BLUE LINE) AND PER COW (RED LINE) (1993-2012) IN NEW ZEALAND. ....	13
FIGURE 2.7: SUMMARY OF DAIRY PRODUCTION TRENDS IN LAST TWO DECADES. ....	13
FIGURE 2.8: THE MAIN INPUTS USED ON NEW ZEALAND DAIRY FARMS. ....	14
FIGURE 2.9: TONNES OF UREA FERTILISER USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON ALL FARMS AND ONLY DAIRY FARMS IN 1996, 2002, 2007 AND 2012. ....	17
FIGURE 2.10: THE QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF UREA IMPORTED INTO NEW ZEALAND BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. .....	17
FIGURE 2.11: TONNES OF AMMONIUM SULPHATE USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON ALL FARMS AND ONLY DAIRY FARMS IN 2002, 2007 AND 2012. ....	18
FIGURE 2.12: THE QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF AMMONIUM SULPHATE IMPORTED INTO NEW ZEALAND BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. ....	18
FIGURE 2.13: TONNES OF PHOSPHATE FERTILISER USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON ALL FARMS AND ONLY DAIRY FARMS IN 1996, 2002, 2007 AND 2012. ....	20
FIGURE 2.14: QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINES) OF IMPORTED SUPERPHOSPHATE AND PHOSPHATIC FERTILISERS. ....	20
FIGURE 2.15: QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF ROCK PHOSPHATE IMPORTS BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. ....	21
FIGURE 2.16: TONNES OF DAP FERTILISER USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON ALL FARMS AND ONLY DAIRY FARMS IN 1996, 2002, 2007 AND 2012. ....	22
FIGURE 2.17: QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF DAP FERTILISER IMPORTS TO NEW ZEALAND BETWEEN 1990 AND 2012. ....	22
FIGURE 2.18: TONNES OF POTASSIUM FERTILISER USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON ALL FARMS AND ONLY DAIRY FARMS IN 2002, 2007 AND 2012. ....	23
FIGURE 2.19: QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF POTASSIUM FERTILISER IMPORTS TO NEW ZEALAND FROM 1990-2012. .....	23
FIGURE 2.20: QUANTITY (BARS) AND COST (LINE) OF PALM KERNEL EXPELLER (PKE) IMPORTS INTO NEW ZEALAND FROM 1999 TO 2012. ....	25
FIGURE 2.21: THE TOTAL PRODUCTION AND EXPORTS OF PKE FOR THE TOP FIVE PKE PRODUCING COUNTRIES AND THE REST OF THE WORLD (OTHER) IN 2012. ....	26

FIGURE 2.22: GLOBAL IMPORTS AND CONSUMPTION OF PKE IN 2012 FOR THE TOP CONSUMERS. ....	27
FIGURE 2.23: DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL CONSUMPTIVE WATER ALLOCATION BY USE EXCLUDING HYDRO GENERATION TAKES IN NEW ZEALAND IN 2010. ....	28
FIGURE 2.24: REGIONAL COUNCIL ANNUAL WATER ALLOCATION (MM <sup>3</sup> /YEAR) FOR IRRIGATION IN 2010. ....	28
FIGURE 2.25: PROPORTION OF CONSENTED IRRIGATED LAND AREA IN DIFFERENT AGRICULTURAL USES IN 2010. ....	29
FIGURE 2.26: PERCENTAGE INCREASE IN THE IMPORTS (QUANTITY AND COST) OF PKE (WHITE BARS) AND UREA (GREY BARS) FOR DAIRY FARMING. ....	30
FIGURE 3.1: OVERVIEW OF THE COMPONENTS OF TOTAL ECONOMIC VALUE .....	36
FIGURE 4.1: KEY PROCESSES OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (1991) .....	42
FIGURE 5.1: MAJOR IMPACTS ON WATER FROM EXTERNALITIES OF DAIRY FARMING IN NEW ZEALAND. ....	59
FIGURE 5.2: COMMON SOURCES OF FRESHWATER WATER POLLUTION: LIVESTOCK POLLUTION; CROPS; INDUSTRY; CITIES, TOWNS AND ROADS; SEPTIC TANKS; AND HUMAN EFFLUENT. ....	60
FIGURE 5.3: SUITABILITY FOR RECREATION GRADES AT FRESHWATER AND COASTAL SITES USED FOR RECREATION AROUND NEW ZEALAND ASSESSED IN 2013. ....	62
FIGURE 5.4: RATIOS OF COLIFORM BACTERIA, NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND TOTAL SOLIDS IN WASTE FROM DAIRY AND BEEF COWS TO HUMAN EQUIVALENTS. ....	63
FIGURE 5.5: RATIOS OF COLIFORM BACTERIA, NITROGEN, PHOSPHORUS AND TOTAL SOLIDS IN WASTE FROM THE ENTIRE NEW ZEALAND DAIRY AND BEEF CATTLE POPULATIONS TO HUMAN EQUIVALENTS. ....	63
FIGURE 5.6: PATHWAYS OF PHOSPHORUS (LEFT) AND NITROGEN (RIGHT) MOVEMENT TO SURFACE AND GROUND WATER. ....	66
FIGURE 5.7: MEDIAN TOTAL NITROGEN MEASURED AT 100 WAIKATO RIVER AND STREAM SITES DURING 2000-2004 VERSUS PROPORTION OF EACH CATCHMENT IN PASTURE. ....	67
FIGURE 5.8: SOURCES OF NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS ENTERING STREAMS IN THE WAIKATO REGION .....	68
FIGURE 5.9: NITROGEN LOSS FROM DIFFERENT LAND USES IN THE WAIKATO REGION. ....	69
FIGURE 5.10: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STOCKING RATE AND NITROGEN YIELD FROM DAIRY FARMS. ....	69
FIGURE 5.11: SOURCE OF NITROGEN LOSS FROM A TYPICAL WAIKATO DAIRY FARM. ....	70
FIGURE 5.12: PROPORTION OF WAIKATO GROUNDWATER SITES UNDER DIFFERENT LAND USES WITH NITRATE LEVELS EXCEEDING OR BELOW DRINKING WATER GUIDELINES. ....	77
FIGURE 5.13: PREDICTED MEDIAN DISSOLVED INORGANIC NITROGEN (DIN) IN NZ RIVERS RELATIVE TO A THRESHOLD CONCENTRATION OF 0.8 MG/L BASED ON OBSERVATIONS AT 622 SITES, 2006-2012. ....	79
FIGURE 6.1: MAJOR IMPACTS ON LAND AND SOIL FROM DAIRY FARMING. ....	90
FIGURE 6.2: PERCENTAGE OF MONITORED WAIKATO DAIRY SOIL QUALITY SITES NOT MEETING SOIL QUALITY TARGETS FROM 2003-2009. ....	93
FIGURE 6.3: TOPSOIL CADMIUM LEVELS MEASURED IN NEW ZEALAND. ....	99
FIGURE 6.4: BOXPLOTS OF CADMIUM IN PASTURE SOILS BY FARM TYPE IN NEW ZEALAND. ....	100
FIGURE 7.1: NEW ZEALAND GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS BY SECTOR IN 2010 .....	107
FIGURE 7.2: IMPACTS FROM NEW ZEALAND GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. ....	107
FIGURE 7.3: NEW ZEALAND AGRICULTURAL GHG EMISSIONS – 1990-2012 .....	109
FIGURE 7.4: PROPORTION OF ENERGY INPUTS ON THE AVERAGE NON-IRRIGATED AND IRRIGATED DAIRY FARM. ....	111

FIGURE 7.5: TOTAL ESTIMATED GHG EMISSIONS FROM DAIRY FARMS IN 1990 AND 2008-2012 BY DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF EMISSIONS.....	115
FIGURE 7.6: THE PROPORTION OF GHG EMISSIONS FROM DAIRY (BLUE), AND ALL AGRICULTURE INCLUDING DAIRY (RED), OUT OF NEW ZEALAND'S TOTAL GHG EMISSIONS (GREEN) IN 1990 AND 2012. ....	115
FIGURE 7.7: TOTAL GHG EMISSIONS (CO <sub>2</sub> -E) FROM DAIRY FARMING, ALL OF AGRICULTURE AND NEW ZEALAND IN 1990 AND 2012. ....	116
FIGURE 8.1: SOME OF THE OFFSHORE IMPACTS OF IMPORTED FERTILISER AND PALM KERNEL FOR USE IN THE NEW ZEALAND DAIRY INDUSTRY.....	117
FIGURE 8.2: PROPORTION OF MAIN IMPORTS OF ROCK PHOSPHATE INTO NEW ZEALAND IN 2012.....	120
FIGURE 9.1: VARIATION OF COSTS TO REMOVE NITRATE IN WATER THAT EXCEEDS NITRATE DRINKING WATER STANDARDS (11.3 MG N/L) ESTIMATED TO LEACH FROM NEW ZEALAND'S NATIONAL DAIRY LAND UNDER VARIOUS LEACHING RATES...	136
FIGURE 9.2: THE PROPORTION OF LAND IN DAIRY FARMING AND PROPORTION OF NUTRIENT LOADS (N AND P) FROM DAIRY LAND IN FOUR ROTORUA LAKE CATCHMENTS. ....	140

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1: ESTIMATIONS OF LAND AREA IN DAIRY FARMING .....	10
TABLE 2.2: PROPORTION OF FERTILISER USED IN NEW ZEALAND ON DAIRY FARMS IN 2007 AND 2012.....	24
TABLE 2.3: ESTIMATED COST OF FERTILISERS IMPORTED INTO NEW ZEALAND FOR USE ON DAIRY FARMS FROM 2008-2012. .....	24
TABLE 2.4: AGRICULTURAL AND DAIRY FARM DEBT IN NEW ZEALAND.....	31
TABLE 4.1: ABATEMENT QUANTITY AND COST FOR SIMULATED POLICES TO REDUCE NITROGEN LEACHING FROM 31KG N/HA TO A SPECIFIED AMOUNT. ....	55
TABLE 6.1: CADMIUM LOADING AND YEAR TO REACH Cd SOIL GUIDELINES ON DAIRY AND PASTORAL LAND IN THE WAIKATO REGION.....	101
TABLE 7.1: TOTAL REPORTED GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IN NEW ZEALAND .....	108
TABLE 7.2: TOTAL ENERGY EMISSIONS FROM DAIRY FARMS BY MILKSOLIDS PROCESSED .....	112
TABLE 7.3: ESTIMATED ANIMAL EMISSIONS FROM DAIRY FARMING AND TOTAL AGRICULTURAL EMISSIONS IN 1990 AND 2008- 2012.....	113
TABLE 8.1: SUMMARY OF GHG EMISSIONS (KG CO <sub>2</sub> -E/KG FERTILISER) FOR VARIOUS IMPORTED FERTILISERS. ....	119
TABLE 8.2: GHG EMISSIONS FROM IMPORTED FERTILISERS FOR DAIRY FARMING IN NEW ZEALAND FOR 1990 AND 2008- 2012.....	119
TABLE 8.3: AREAS OF PALM OIL PLANTATION HARVESTED IN INDONESIA AND MALAYSIA IN 1990 AND 2008.....	125
TABLE 8.4: EMISSIONS ASSOCIATED WITH PKE IMPORTED INTO NEW ZEALAND .....	128
TABLE 9.1: ESTIMATIONS OF WATER EXCEEDING NITRATE DRINKING WATER STANDARDS FROM VARIOUS DAIRY FARM LEACHING RATES FOR ONE HECTARE, THE AVERAGE FARM SIZE, AND NATIONAL DAIRY LAND. ....	133
TABLE 9.2: ACTIONS CARRIED OUT IN THE ROTORUA LAKES AND ASSOCIATED COSTS .....	141
TABLE 9.3: AVERAGE COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF NUTRIENT REMOVAL FOR DIFFERENT TYPES OF WETLANDS .....	143
TABLE 9.4: SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY VALUATION STUDIES UNDERTAKEN IN NEW ZEALAND .....	147
TABLE 9.5: ACTIONS AND COSTS OF PASTURE DAMAGE FROM COMPACTION ON DAIRY FARMS.....	151
TABLE 9.6: COST OF COMPACTION DAMAGE FOR EFFECTED NATIONAL DAIRY FARMING AREA .....	151
TABLE 9.7: POTENTIAL COST OF DAIRY FARMING EMISSIONS WITH DIFFERENT CARBON PRICES .....	152
TABLE 9.8: SUMMARY OF THE AVAILABLE UPPER AND LOWER NATIONAL COSTS FROM SOME OF THE IMPACTS FROM DAIRY FARMING IN NEW ZEALAND.....	154
TABLE 9.9: COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH RESTORING SOME LOCAL/REGIONAL AREAS FROM DAIRY FARMING IMPACTS.....	155
TABLE 10.1: OVERVIEW OF IMPACTS FROM DAIRY FARMING.....	156
TABLE 10.2: SUMMARY OF SOME NATIONAL PRODUCTION COSTS EXCLUDED FROM DAIRY REVENUE .....	174
TABLE 10.3: COSTS OF WINTERING OPTIONS ON DAIRY FARMS.....	177

