

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**MR16 LED Retrofit Lamps:
Quality, Consistency and Reliability**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of**

**Master of Engineering
in
Environmental Technology & Sustainable Energy**

**at Massey University, Albany,
New Zealand.**

Susan M. Mander

2014

Abstract

Light-emitting diode (LED) MR16 lamps have recently become part of the residential lighting market, bringing with them a new lighting paradigm. Consumers previously accustomed to halogen MR16 technology must now select suitable LED replacements based on their photometric information. In the wake of stories of exaggerated lumen outputs and inaccurate marketing literature, this research takes a snapshot of MR16 LED residential lighting in New Zealand to evaluate its status.

Forty-eight readily available products (six repetitions of eight types) were tested in Massey University's integrating sphere. Initial investigations focused on baseline photometric values to determine whether claims of halogen equivalency were justified and if manufacturers' photometric information was valid. Lamp quality was checked using ENERGY STAR as a basis, and variation across each six-lamp sample was checked to determine whether consistency could be assured to the consumer.

The effect of heat on LEDs was investigated through a 6,000 hour test. This saw groups of lamps running continuously in both downlights and free air in a simulated residential installation in Auckland, New Zealand. As well as analysing whether such mounting arrangements caused different levels of non-recoverable deterioration over time, the study also considered the general behaviour of all lamps over the test period.

The study had mixed results, and revealed that care must be taken when purchasing MR16 LED lamps in New Zealand due to these varied levels of quality.

Significantly, one lamp type was found to mechanically deteriorate over time such that it proved a fire risk. This was exacerbated by high temperature and the lamps mounted in downlights deteriorated 2,000 hours before their free air equivalents showed signs of similar behaviour.

With 25% of the lamps sampled in the study shown to be seriously flawed, it is clear that appropriate regulation is required in order to ensure that MR16 LED lamps sold in New Zealand are fit for purpose.

The study concludes by suggesting further areas for research which were limited by time constraints. All of these proposed investigations would enrich the existing findings.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology (SEAT) at Massey University, for giving me the opportunity to carry out this research.

In particular I would like to thank my supervisors Roy Speed and Robyn Phipps for their valuable input and advice.

Thanks to the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority (EECA) for providing significant financial support.

Thanks to Derek Holm of Vossloh Schwabe Deutschland GmbH (Auckland) and Cedric Williams of Advance Electrical Wholesalers (Wellington) for providing advice and additional equipment.

Thanks to Beatrix Jones of Massey University for advice on statistical analysis.

Thanks also to the following people who have all provided useful information which is quoted in this report:

Mikael Boulic, Massey University

Bryan King, Lighting Council New Zealand

Bryan Douglas, Lighting Council Australia

Owen Manley, Lighting Council Australia

Special thanks to John Duske for his proofreading skills.

Especial thanks to my husband Hayden for proofreading, and for also providing the photographs used in this report.

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Figures.....	x
Tables.....	xiv
Acronyms and Abbreviations	xv
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Literature Review	3
2.1 Introduction.....	3
2.2 Halogen MR16 Lamps	4
2.3 LED Replacement Lamps	8
2.4 Production of White Light.....	11
2.5 Colour Appearance of LED Replacement Lamps.....	12
2.5.1 Colour and the CIE Diagram.....	12
2.5.2 Correlated Colour Temperatures of Lamps.....	15
2.5.3 Colour Preferences.....	17
2.6 Colour Rendering.....	17
2.7 Heat in LED Lamps.....	19
2.8 Heat Removal Methods	20
2.9 Typical Lamp Temperatures	20
2.10 Efficacy.....	21
2.11 Power Supply	22
2.12 Life.....	22
2.13 Performance Standards	23
2.13.1 ENERGY STAR.....	24
2.13.2 NEMA	26
2.13.3 LM-79-08 Approved Method: Electrical and Photometric Measurements of Solid-State Lighting Products.....	26

2.13.4	LM-80-08 Approved Method: Measuring Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Sources	27
2.13.5	TM-21-11 Projecting Long Term Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Sources.....	27
2.13.6	Standards Under Development.....	28
2.14	LED Studies.....	28
2.14.1	CALiPER	28
2.14.2	GATEWAY Demonstrations.....	32
2.14.3	Southern California Edison	33
3	Experimental Aims	35
3.1	Aim 1 – Halogen Equivalency	35
3.2	Aim 2 – Manufacturers’ Claims	35
3.3	Aim 3 – Baseline Lamp Quality	36
3.4	Aim 4 – Consistency Within Type.....	36
3.5	Aim 5 – Effect of Heat	37
3.6	Aim 6 – Lighting Quality Over Time.....	38
4	Methodology	39
4.1	Lamps.....	39
4.1.1	General.....	39
4.1.2	Form Factor	41
4.1.3	Physical Similarities	42
4.1.4	Materials.....	43
4.1.5	LEDs and Drivers.....	43
4.1.6	Seasoning.....	44
4.2	Luminaires	44
4.3	Power Supply Used	46
4.4	Test Rig Construction	46
4.5	Test Rig Location.....	47
4.6	Temperature	49
4.6.1	Baseline Temperature Data	49

4.6.2	Maximum and Minimum Temperatures.....	51
4.6.3	Seasonal Variation.....	52
4.6.4	Temperature Differential	52
4.7	Time Frame	53
4.8	Test Parameters	53
4.9	Photometric Equipment.....	53
5	Halogen Equivalency	56
5.1	Benchmark	56
5.1.1	Product Catalogues	56
5.1.2	Laboratory Data	56
5.1.3	MEPS	57
5.2	General Luminous Flux Equivalency	58
5.3	Summary of Halogen Equivalency	60
6	Manufacturers' Claims	62
6.1	Luminous Flux	62
6.2	Correlated Colour Temperature	63
6.2.1	3000K Lamps	63
6.2.2	2700K and 2800K Lamps	64
6.3	Colour Rendering Index	65
6.4	Efficacy	66
6.5	Summary of Manufacturers' Claims	66
7	Baseline Lamp Quality	71
7.1	Luminous Flux	71
7.2	Colour Appearance.....	71
7.2.1	Correlated Colour Temperature	72
7.2.2	D_{uv}	73
7.2.3	Spectra	74
7.3	Colour Rendering.....	75
7.3.1	General CRI.....	75

7.3.2	R ₉	75
7.4	Efficacy	76
7.5	Physical Similarities	78
7.6	Summary of Baseline Lamp Quality	78
8	Consistency Within Type.....	82
8.1	Luminous Flux	82
8.2	Colour Appearance.....	83
8.2.1	Correlated Colour Temperature	83
8.2.2	D _{uv}	87
8.3	Colour Rendering.....	88
8.3.1	General CRI.....	88
8.3.2	R ₉	89
8.4	Efficacy	90
8.5	Physical Differences	90
8.6	Summary of Consistency Within Type	91
9	Additional Initial Findings	93
9.1	Electrical Compatibility.....	93
9.2	Lumen Output for AC and DC Operation.....	93
9.3	Summary of Additional Initial Findings	94
10	Interim Trends	95
10.1	Type H Lamps	95
10.2	Type F Lamps.....	96
10.3	Summary of Interim Trends.....	97
11	Effect of Heat	99
11.1	Catastrophic Failure.....	99
11.2	Luminous Flux	99
11.3	Correlated Colour Temperature	101
11.4	Summary for Effect of Heat.....	102
12	Lighting Quality Over Time	103

12.1	Luminous Flux	103
12.2	Colour Appearance.....	105
12.2.1	Correlated Colour Temperature	105
12.2.2	$\Delta u'v'$	106
12.3	Colour Rendering.....	112
12.3.1	General CRI.....	112
12.3.2	R_9	114
12.4	Efficacy	114
12.5	Lamp Quality Versus Mass	115
12.6	Lamp Envelope.....	116
12.7	Summary of Lighting Quality Over Time.....	118
13	Conclusions.....	120
13.1	Aim 1 – Halogen Equivalency	120
13.2	Aim 2 – Manufacturers’ Claims	121
13.3	Aim 3 – Baseline Lamp Quality	122
13.4	Aim 4 – Consistency Within Type.....	123
13.5	Aim 5 – Effect of Heat	124
13.6	Aim 6 – Lighting Quality Over Time.....	125
13.7	Additional Findings	127
13.8	Final Conclusion	128
14	Further Study	131
14.1	Recoverable Losses	131
14.2	AC versus DC.....	131
14.3	Temperature	132
14.4	Catastrophic Failure.....	132
14.5	CALiPER Studies.....	132
14.6	Mechanisms of Deterioration	133
14.7	Design	133
15	Bibliography	134

Figures

Figure 2.1 Typical halogen lamp	5
Figure 2.2 Typical spectrum of a halogen MR16 lamp with an aluminium reflector.....	6
Figure 2.3 Typical spectrum of a halogen MR16 lamp with a dichroic reflector	6
Figure 2.4 Typical 230V (left) and 12V (right) MR16 halogen lamps	7
Figure 2.5 Electroluminescence (based on a diagram by Poplawski (2011, p. 6))	8
Figure 2.6 Example of LED package (Philips, 2013, p. 1)	9
Figure 2.7 Example of multiple LED packages.....	9
Figure 2.8 Retrofit lamps with poor form factor.....	10
Figure 2.9 Example of LED spectrum produced by blue LED combined with phosphors.....	11
Figure 2.10 Spectrum of LED module with discrete orange LEDs.....	12
Figure 2.11 Chromaticity diagram (1931) showing black body locus (Schubert, 2010, p. 308).....	13
Figure 2.12 Close-up of CIE 1960 (u, v) diagram, showing CCT lines and D_{uv} (Royer, Tuttle, Rosenfeld, & Miller, 2013, p. 3).....	14
Figure 2.13 Quadrangles used to specify CCT of LEDs (American National Standard Lighting Group, 2008, p. 12)	15
Figure 2.14 Cree's standard neutral and warm white chromaticity regions (Cree, 2013, p. 12).....	16
Figure 2.15 Representation of the colours used to calculate CRI (EYE Lighting International of North America Inc., 2014, p. 1).....	18
Figure 2.16 Omni-directional lamps tested under the DOE's CALiPER and Lighting Facts programs in 2008-2009 (left) and 2012 (right) (U.S. Department of Energy, 2013e, p. 1)	21
Figure 2.17 Illustration taken from report by Southern California Edison (Southern California Edison, 2009, p. 47)	33
Figure 4.1 Lamps used in the experiment compared to a typical halogen lamp (on left)	41

Figure 4.2 Standard dimensions of a 12V MR16 lamp (Miller & Curry, 2012, p. A3); amended by the author to include dimension M.....	41
Figure 4.3 Lamp type that exceeds maximum length; measurements that exceed ANSI maximum dimensions are shown in black.....	42
Figure 4.4 Different types of lamp with physical similarities	42
Figure 4.5 Different lamp housings	43
Figure 4.6 Different lamp types	44
Figure 4.7 Example of lamp mounted in ceramic holder in free air	45
Figure 4.8 Example of downlight used (excluding heat can).....	45
Figure 4.9 Test rig set up in laboratory showing lamps in downlights and free air	47
Figure 4.10 Temperatures by season – average and range	52
Figure 4.11 Integrating sphere used for testing (J.P. de la Chaumette, personal communication, August 9, 2013); close up of side-plate is shown on the right.....	55
Figure 5.1 Luminous flux at zero hours (for Types A, B, D, E and G) versus the 35W halogen MEPS minimum	58
Figure 5.2 Luminous flux at zero hours (for Types A, B, D, E and G) versus the halogen MEPS minimums.....	59
Figure 5.3 Average luminous flux at zero hours versus the halogen MEPS minimums	60
Figure 6.1 CCT at zero hours for 3000K lamps	64
Figure 6.2 CCT at zero hours for 2700K lamps	64
Figure 6.3 CCT at zero hours for 2800K lamps	65
Figure 6.4 Comparison of all lamp types against manufacturers' claims	67
Figure 6.5 Sample Lighting Facts label for U.S. Department of Energy (EverLED, 2014, p. 1)	68
Figure 6.6 Sample Lighting Facts label for Lighting Council Australia (B. Douglas, personal communication, January 13, 2014).....	69
Figure 7.1 CCT at zero hours for 3000K lamps	73
Figure 7.2 D_{uv} at zero hours for all 2700K and 3000K lamps.....	73
Figure 7.3 Spectra for all 3000K lamp types.....	74
Figure 7.4 CRI at zero hours.....	75
Figure 7.5 R_9 values at zero hours.....	76
Figure 7.6 Approximate effect of four lamp types with different R_9 values, when illuminating a red colour sample (U.S. Department of Energy, 2012, p. 3); adapted by the author for clarity.....	76

Figure 7.7 Efficacy at zero hours (including LED converters)	77
Figure 7.8 Estimated efficacy at zero hours (excluding LED converters)	77
Figure 7.9 Comparison of all lamp types against ENERGY STAR	80
Figure 8.1 Luminous flux at zero hours – average and range across six samples	83
Figure 8.2 CCT at zero hours – average and range across six samples	83
Figure 8.3 CCT at zero hours for Type C lamps	84
Figure 8.4 Variation across Type C	85
Figure 8.5 CCT at zero hours for Type F lamps	85
Figure 8.6 Variation across Type F	86
Figure 8.7 Variations across type (Type D)	86
Figure 8.8 D_{uv} at zero hours – average and range across six samples	87
Figure 8.9 D_{uv} values at zero hours for Type A lamps	87
Figure 8.10 D_{uv} at zero hours for Type F lamps	88
Figure 8.11 CRI at zero hours – average and range across six samples	89
Figure 8.12 R_g values at zero hours – average and range across six samples	89
Figure 8.13 Efficacy at zero hours (including LED converters) – average and range across six samples	90
Figure 8.14 Average variation between highest and lowest value in lamp sample across four metrics (flux, CCT, CRI and efficacy)	91
Figure 10.1 Example of Type F cracks after 3,000 hours	97
Figure 10.2 Example of Type F cracks after 6,000 hours	97
Figure 11.1 Percentage change in flux from zero hours to 6,000 hours	100
Figure 11.2 Percentage change in CCT from zero hours to 6,000 hours	101
Figure 12.1 Lumen maintenance at 6,000 hours	104
Figure 12.2 Percentage change in CCT from zero hours to 6,000 hours	105
Figure 12.3 $\Delta u'v'$ after 6,000 hours	107
Figure 12.4 CCT change for the Type A #3 lamp	107
Figure 12.5 CCT change for Type F lamps	108
Figure 12.6 Comparison of Type F spectra at zero hours up to 6,000 hours	109
Figure 12.7 Spectral power distribution from a study by Meneghini et al. (Meneghini et al., 2010, p. 115)	110
Figure 12.8 Representation of Type F lamp CCT at zero hours (left) and 6,000 hours (right)	111
Figure 12.9 CCT change for Type G lamps	112

Figure 12.10 Percentage change in CRI from zero hours to 6,000 hours ...	113
Figure 12.11 CRI change for Type F lamps.....	113
Figure 12.12 Percentage change in R_9 from zero hours to 6,000 hours	114
Figure 12.13 Lumen maintenance and efficacy maintenance at 6,000 hours	115
Figure 12.14 Percentage change in flux (zero hours to 6,000 hours) versus weight.	116
Figure 12.15 Luminous flux at zero hours for Type A lamps.....	117
Figure 12.16 Percentage change in flux from zero hours to 6,000 hours for Type A lamps.....	117
Figure 12.17 Change in photometric qualities of all lamp types after 6,000 hours.....	118

Tables

Table 2.1 Relevant ENERGY STAR requirements for MR16 LED lamps (EECA, 2011, pp. 3, 10 & 11).....	25
Table 4.1 Comparison of laboratory mean day and mean night temperatures with HEEP reference.....	50
Table 4.2 Comparison of laboratory maximum ceiling space temperature with Massey University Reference.....	51
Table 4.3 Overall maximum and minimum temperatures for the experiment (°C)	51
Table 5.1 Minimum lumen output as determined by Australian MEPS	57
Table 5.2 Comparison of lumen output figures discussed in Section 5.1	57
Table 6.1 Comparison of lumen output claimed on product labels with actual initial lumen output	62
Table 6.2 CCT values listed in literature.....	63
Table 6.3 Comparison of CRI stated in literature with actual CRI	65
Table 6.4 Comparison of efficacy stated in literature with actual initial efficacy	66
Table 7.1 ENERGY STAR CCT and D_{uv} requirements for MR16 LED lamps (EECA, 2011, p. 3).....	72
Table 7.2 Compliance with ENERGY STAR.....	79
Table 8.1 Consistency of lamp types.....	91
Table 12.1 ENERGY STAR requirements for lumen maintenance (EECA, 2011, p. 11).....	103

Acronyms and Abbreviations

$\Delta u'v'$	Colour difference recorded as the change in (u' , v') coordinates on the CIE 1976 diagram.
AC	Alternating Current
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CA	“Closed Abutted” rating of heat can which, under the now superseded downlight code, allowed ceiling insulation to be abutted to the luminaire
CALiPER	Commercially Available LED Product Evaluation and Reporting
CCT	Correlated Colour Temperature
CFL	Compact Fluorescent Lamp
CIE	International Commission on Illumination
CRI	Colour Rendering Index
DC	Direct Current
DOE	U.S. Department Of Energy
D_{uv}	Colour difference recorded as the change in (u , v) coordinates on the CIE 1960 diagram.
EECA	Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority
HEEP	Household Energy End-use Project
IESANZ	Illuminating Engineering Society of Australia and New Zealand
IESNA	Illuminating Engineering Society of North America
IR	Infra-red
K	Kelvin
KEMA	Consultancy company (now known as DNV KEMA)
LED	Light-emitting diode
LM-79	LM-79-08 Approved Method: Electrical and Photometric Measurements of Solid-State Lighting Products
LM-80	LM-80-08 Approved Method: Measuring Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Sources

lm/W	Lumens per Watt
MEPS	Minimum Energy Performance Standards
MR	Multifaceted Reflector
NEMA	National Electrical Manufacturers Association
NGLIA	Next Generation Lighting Industry Alliance
nm	Nanometre
P-N	Positive-Negative
R ₉	Saturated red value used for colour rendering
R _a	General colour rendering index
SDCM	Standard deviation of colour matching
SSL	Solid-state lighting
T _j	Junction temperature
TM-21	TM-21-11 Projecting Long Term Lumen Maintenance of LED Light Sources