

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) and plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*);  
physiological and morphological responses to water stress,  
defoliation, and grazing preference with implications for the  
management of the Herb and Legume Mix**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

in

Plant Science



***Lydia Margaret Cranston***

***(nee Cave)***

**2014**



Supervisors:

Professor Paul Kenyon

Professor Peter Kemp

Professor Steve Morris



## Abstract

**Cranston L (2014) Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) and plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*); physiological and morphological responses to water stress, defoliation, and grazing preference with implications for the management of the Herb and Legume Mix. PhD Thesis, Massey University, New Zealand. 315 pp.**

Herb and legume sward mixes containing chicory (*Cichorium intybus*), plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) and white clover (*T. repens*) are being increasingly used by farmers to improve animal performance compared to perennial ryegrass and white clover swards. However, little is known about the agronomic properties of this Herb and Legume Mix. The objectives of this research were to examine key factors likely to affect the success of the Herb and Legume Mix as a perennial sward mix. This thesis included a series of glasshouse experiments, a grazing experiment (examining plant parameters and animal grazing preference) and a mowing experiment. The glasshouse experiments indicated that chicory and plantain have different strategies for coping with moisture stress. The results suggest plantain may be more productive under moderate drought due to its greater shoot mass fraction, whereas chicory may be more productive and persistent under severe drought due to its greater root mass and taproot diameter. The Herb and Legume Mix accumulated greater annual dry matter when removed under Hard grazing (post-grazing residual of 4cm) compared to Lax grazing (post-grazing residual of 8cm). Hard grazing favoured plantain growth and persistence, while Lax grazing favoured red clover growth and resulted in chicory with a larger taproot diameter. It was concluded that grazing management decisions should be determined by ensuring optimal management of chicory. Ewe lambs displayed grazing preference for species within the Herb and Legume Mix; however this varied between seasons and was affected by the species availability, vertical access and palatability. The Herb and Legume Mix had a greater herbage nutritive value than the ryegrass and white clover sward and had a more stable composition over time than pure swards of chicory and plantain under a wide range of defoliation regimes. The results suggest the Herb and Legume Mix might be a more flexible perennial forage option than pure swards of chicory and plantain. Overall the results of this thesis indicated that the Herb and Legume Mix can be successfully utilised in most New Zealand grazing systems as a perennial forage sward.



## Acknowledgements

I am honoured to have had the best supervisor team possible; Professor Paul Kenyon, Professor Peter Kemp and Professor Steve Morris.

Paul, I thank you for never turning me away when I came to your door with so many questions. Your constant support enabled me to keep on track and gave me the confidence to face another day. Your swift return of drafts was exceptional and the writing techniques I learned from you will continue to help me with my research career in the years to come.

Peter, I thank you for your patience. Every time I came to you in a panic, I left your office with a greater understanding and a new-found confidence. The calm manner in which you helped me to approach any challenge was immensely helpful. You have inspired me to always be the best I can be.

Steve, your quick turnaround of drafts has been much appreciated. Your comments were always insightful and often regarding things that I had overlooked. I have enjoyed your practical farming approach.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Associate Professor Nicolas Lopez-Villalobos. Your statistical support and kindness to me is greatly appreciated.

The financial assistance provided by Massey University Doctoral Scholarship, New Zealand Federation of Graduate Women Post-Graduate Fellowship, MacMillan Brown Agricultural Research Scholarship, John Hodgson Pastoral Science Scholarship, Helen E Akers PhD Scholarship, NZ Grasslands Trust Travel Assistance Award and the

IVABS postgraduate travel fund, for funding the research, my travel to the IGC conference and me personally is duly acknowledged.

My PhD would not have been possible without the tremendous technical support I received from Mark Osborne, Simon Orsborn, Kay Sinclair, Chris Rawlingson, Steve Ray, Lindsay Sylva, Lesley Taylor and Dr. Zhao He. Thanks also to the many summer students I was lucky enough to have help me including; Stacey, Ashley, Alex and Lachlan.

Mum (Bobbie), thank you for being my most valuable technician and for always making yourself available when I needed help (especially on public holidays). I have really enjoyed sharing my research with you. Thanks also for your help with editing and proof reading my thesis. Elliot your interest in my career and willingness to help with experimental work has been great. Dad (Alan) thanks for supporting my research and making use of a Herb and Legume Mix on your farm. Your practical questions regarding my research and management of a Herb and Legume Mix have helped ensure I am carrying out farmer credible research.

My office mates and friends have made this PhD fun. It would not have been the same without you, particularly; Erin, Fiona, Georgina, April, Amy, Maria, Sharini, Doris and Gaby. All those coffee and lunch breaks we shared helped to keep me sane. I have so enjoyed sharing this journey with you.

Finally, Michael, thank you for listening to me every day while I struggled to cope with the highs and lows of a PhD. Your unconditional support and confidence in me and my career means the world to me and I can't wait to be the first Dr. Cranston.

## Foreword

This thesis is written so that the chapters can be readily converted into papers for publication. Therefore, each chapter contains a full and thorough discussion and the overall discussion chapter provides a succinct overview of the entire thesis content. Throughout the thesis the convention used for stating significance in tables is  $P = 0.05$ , as done in the journal *Animal Production Science*. The references from each chapter are combined and presented at the end of the thesis. It must be pointed out that this work is only focused on the performance of the plant species in the Herb and Legume Mix and not animal performance or weed control. Ultimately, this thesis aims to provide answers for grassland farmers utilising the Herb and Legume Mix.



# Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	v
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	vii
<b>Table of Contents</b> .....	xi
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	xvii
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	xxvii
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	1
<b>Chapter 2 A review of chicory and plantain and their potential to be utilised in a mixed sward for livestock production</b> .....	5
2.1 Introduction .....	7
2.2 Plantain ( <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> ) .....	7
2.2.1 Soil requirements .....	7
2.2.2 Sowing time and establishment .....	8
2.2.3 Herbage production and pattern of growth .....	8
2.2.4 Root structure, drought tolerance and freezing tolerance .....	10
2.2.5 Nutritive value .....	11
2.2.6 Palatability .....	23
2.2.7 Grazing management and persistence .....	25
2.2.8 Animal performance .....	26
2.3 Chicory ( <i>Cichorium intybus</i> ) .....	30
2.3.1 Soil requirements and fertiliser .....	30
2.3.2 Sowing time and establishment .....	31
2.3.3 Herbage production and pattern of growth .....	32
2.3.4 Drought tolerance, water use efficiency and freezing tolerance .....	33
2.3.5 Nutritive value .....	35
2.3.6 Palatability .....	38
2.3.7 Grazing management and persistence .....	39
2.3.8 Animal performance .....	44
2.4 Herb and Legume Sward Mixes .....	45
2.4.1 Development of the mix .....	45
2.4.2 Advantages of a Herb and Legume Sward Mix .....	50
2.4.3 Grazing management .....	52

	2.4.4	Animal performance .....	53
	2.5	Conclusions.....	55
<b>Chapter 3</b>		<b>Herbage production and biomass allocation of plantain (<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>) and chicory (<i>Cichorium intybus</i>) in response to defoliation following a period of moisture stress in glasshouse conditions .....</b>	<b>57</b>
	3.1	Abstract .....	59
	3.2	Introduction .....	60
	3.3	Materials and methods .....	61
		3.3.1 <i>Plant establishment</i> .....	61
		3.3.2 <i>Experimental design</i> .....	62
		3.3.3 <i>Measurements</i> .....	63
		3.3.4 <i>Statistical analysis</i> .....	65
	3.4	Results .....	66
		3.4.1 <i>Day 1 – Harvest 1</i> .....	66
		3.4.2 <i>Day 10 – Harvest 2</i> .....	67
		3.4.3 <i>Day 47 – Harvest 3</i> .....	69
		3.4.4 <i>Leaf growth analysis</i> .....	76
	3.5	Discussion .....	79
<b>Chapter 4</b>		<b>Morphological and physiological responses of plantain (<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>) and chicory (<i>Cichorium intybus</i>) to moisture stress and defoliation in glasshouse conditions .....</b>	<b>83</b>
	4.1	Abstract .....	85
	4.2	Introduction .....	86
	4.3	Materials and methods .....	88
		4.3.1 <i>Experiment 1: Response of plantain and chicory to moisture stress under varying defoliation frequencies</i> .....	89
		4.3.2 <i>Experiment 2: Physiological responses of plantain and chicory to moisture stress</i> .....	93
		4.3.3 <i>Experiment 3: Comparison of plantain and chicory rooting depth under field conditions</i> ....	97
	4.4	Results .....	98
		4.4.1 <i>Experiment 1: Response of plantain and chicory to moisture stress under varying defoliation frequencies</i> .....	98

4.4.2	<i>Experiment 2: Physiological responses of plantain and chicory to moisture stress .....</i>	114
4.4.3	<i>Experiment 3: Comparison of plantain and chicory rooting depth under field conditions ....</i>	124
4.5	Discussion .....	125
4.5.1	<i>Morphological differences between chicory and plantain .....</i>	125
4.5.2	<i>Effect of defoliation frequency and water treatment .....</i>	128
4.5.3	<i>Physiological differences between chicory and plantain .....</i>	131
4.6	Conclusions .....	133
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>The effects of post-grazing height on sward production, plant density, taproot diameter and botanical composition of a Herb and Legume Mix .....</b>	<b>135</b>
5.1	Abstract .....	137
5.2	Introduction .....	138
5.3	Materials and methods .....	140
5.3.1	<i>Site preparation and sowing .....</i>	140
5.3.2	<i>Experiment design .....</i>	141
5.3.3	<i>Climate and fertiliser .....</i>	143
5.3.4	<i>Pre- and post-grazing herbage mass .....</i>	143
5.3.5	<i>Apparent dry matter removal and total dry matter removal per year .....</i>	145
5.3.6	<i>Net herbage accumulation .....</i>	145
5.3.7	<i>Total number of grazing days .....</i>	145
5.3.8	<i>Botanical composition and leaf percentage .....</i>	145
5.3.9	<i>Nutritive value .....</i>	146
5.3.10	<i>Plant density and sward coverage .....</i>	146
5.3.11	<i>Water-soluble carbohydrate reserve content of roots .....</i>	148
5.4	Results .....	150
5.4.1	<i>Pre- and post-grazing herbage mass and apparent dry matter removal .....</i>	150
5.4.2	<i>Total apparent dry matter removal per year and total number of grazing days .....</i>	151
5.4.3	<i>Net herbage accumulation .....</i>	152
5.4.4	<i>Botanical composition .....</i>	153

5.4.5	<i>Leaf percentage in chicory and plantain during year two</i> .....	156
5.4.6	<i>Nutritive value</i> .....	157
5.4.7	<i>Plant density</i> .....	160
5.4.8	<i>Shoot density</i> .....	162
5.4.9	<i>Number of crowns per plant</i> .....	163
5.4.10	<i>Taproot diameter</i> .....	163
5.4.11	<i>Water-soluble carbohydrate reserve content of roots</i> .....	165
5.5	Discussion .....	170
5.6	Conclusion .....	177

<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Ewe lamb diet selection on plantain (<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>) and on a Herb and Legume Mix, including plantain, chicory (<i>Cichorium intybus</i>), red clover (<i>Trifolium pretense</i>) and white clover (<i>Trifolium repens</i>)</b> .....	179
6.1	Abstract .....	181
6.2	Introduction .....	182
6.3	Materials and methods .....	184
	6.3.1 <i>Experiment 1</i> .....	184
	6.3.2 <i>Experiment 2</i> .....	189
	6.3.3 <i>Statistical analyses</i> .....	191
6.4	Results .....	194
	6.4.1 <i>Experiment 1</i> .....	194
	6.4.2 <i>Experiment 2</i> .....	200
6.5	Discussion .....	203
6.6	Conclusions .....	207

<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>The herbage production and plant density of pure swards of plantain and chicory compared with a Herb and Legume Mix and a perennial ryegrass and white clover mix in response to the frequency and height of mowing</b> .....	209
7.1	Abstract .....	211
7.2	Introduction .....	212
7.3	Materials and methods .....	214
	7.3.1 <i>Site preparation</i> .....	214
	7.3.2 <i>Experimental design</i> .....	216
	7.3.3 <i>Measurements</i> .....	217

	7.3.4	<i>Climatic measurements</i> .....	218
	7.3.5	<i>Statistical analysis</i> .....	219
7.4		<b>Results</b> .....	223
	7.4.1	<i>Herbage production</i> .....	223
	7.4.2	<i>Botanical composition and leaf percentage</i> .....	228
	7.4.3	<i>Plant density</i> .....	241
	7.4.4	<i>Taproot diameter of species – chicory and plantain only</i> .....	242
	7.4.5	<i>Herbage nutritive value</i> .....	246
7.5		<b>Discussion</b> .....	248
	7.5.1	<i>Herbage production</i> .....	249
	7.5.2	<i>Herbage nutritive value</i> .....	252
	7.5.3	<i>Botanical composition</i> .....	254
	7.5.4	<i>Plant density and taproot diameter of herb based sward types</i> .....	256
7.6		<b>Practical conclusions</b> .....	261
	7.6.1	<i>Chicory Sward</i> .....	261
	7.6.2	<i>Plantain Sward</i> .....	261
	7.6.3	<i>Herb and Legume Mix</i> .....	262
	7.6.4	<i>Ryegrass and White Clover Sward</i> .....	262
<b>Chapter 8</b>		<b>Overall discussion</b> .....	263
	8.1	<b>Introduction</b> .....	265
	8.2	<b>Morphology/Physiology</b> .....	266
	8.3	<b>Herbage production and development under defoliation</b> .....	268
	8.4	<b>Animal factors</b> .....	270
	8.5	<b>Further work</b> .....	271
	8.6	<b>Practical implications</b> .....	273
	8.7	<b>Main conclusions</b> .....	274
		<b>References</b> .....	277
		<b>Appendix 1</b> .....	311
		<b>Appendix 2</b> .....	313
		<b>Appendix 3</b> .....	315



## List of Tables

Table 2.1 Annual herbage yield (t DM/ha) for pure swards of plantain across a number of studies. ....	9
Table 2.2 Chemical composition and nutritive value data of perennial ryegrass: (total nitrogen (Total N), dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), acid detergent fibre (ADF), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and metabolisable energy content (ME)) across a number of studies.....	13
Table 2.3 Chemical composition and nutritive value data of plantain: (total nitrogen (Total N), dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), acid detergent fibre (ADF), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and metabolisable energy content (ME)) across a number of studies.....	13
Table 2.4 Carbohydrate and lignin content (soluble carbohydrates, soluble sugars and starch, pectin, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) of perennial ryegrass across a number of studies. ....	16
Table 2.5 Carbohydrate and lignin content (soluble carbohydrates, soluble sugars and starch, pectin, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin) of chicory, plantain, red clover and white clover across a number of studies. ....	17
Table 2.6 Chemical composition and nutritive value data of chicory: (total nitrogen (Total N), dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), acid detergent fibre (ADF), neutral	

detergent fibre (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD) and metabolisable energy content (ME)) across a number of studies. ....	19
Table 2.7 The macronutrient content (Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Sodium (Na), Sulfur (S)) and ash content of perennial ryegrass across a number of studies. ....	20
Table 2.8 The macronutrient content (Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Sodium (Na), Sulfur (S)) and ash content of chicory and plantain across a number of studies. ....	21
Table 2.9 The macronutrient content (Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K), Calcium (Ca), Magnesium (Mg), Sodium (Na), Sulfur (S)) and ash content of red clover and white clover across a number of studies. ....	22
Table 2.10 The micronutrient content (Manganese (Mn), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Boron (B), Selenium (Se), Cobalt (Co), Iron (Fe), Molybdenum (Mo) of perennial ryegrass, chicory, plantain and white clover across a number of studies. ....	23
Table 2.11 Lamb live weight gain (g/day) during spring on various pasture species. Means within rows with different letters are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	28
Table 2.12 Lamb live weight gain (g/day) during summer and autumn on various pasture species. Means within rows with different letters are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	29
Table 2.13 Annual herbage yield (t DM/ha) for pure swards of chicory across a number studies. ....	34

Table 2.14 Chemical composition and nutritive value data of red clover and white clover: (total nitrogen (Total N), dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), acid detergent fibre (ADF), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) and metabolisable energy content (ME)) across a number of studies.....	47
Table 2.15 Annual herbage yield (t DM/ha) for pure swards of red clover and white clover across a number of studies within New Zealand.....	48
Table 2.16 Average chemical composition (crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), organic matter digestibility (OMD), and metabolisable energy content (ME)) of a herb and legume sward mix compared to perennial ryegrass and white clover pasture across a number of studies. Means within studies and columns with different letters are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	51
Table 2.17 Live weight gain (g/day) of lambs grazing a herb and legume mix; containing chicory, plantain, red and white clover in comparison with perennial ryegrass and white clover pasture during spring and summer. Means within rows with different superscripts are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	53
Table 3.1 Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) at Day 1 on absolute shoot mass, taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass, shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means $\pm$ s.e. Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	67
Table 3.2 Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) and water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) at Day 10 on absolute shoot mass, relative shoot growth rate	

(Day 1- Day 10), taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass, relative root growth rate (Day 1- Day 10) and shoot mass fraction. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 68

Table 3.3 Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation treatment (No-Defoliation and Early-Defoliation and Late-Defoliation) at Day 47 on absolute shoot mass, relative shoot growth rate (Day 10 – Day 47), shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and treatments having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 71

Table 3.4 Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation treatment (No-Defoliation and Early-Defoliation and Late-Defoliation) at Day 47 on taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass and relative root growth rate (Day 10 - Day 47). Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and treatments having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 74

Table 3.5 Effect of treatment (combination of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) and water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry)) on accumulated leaf elongation (mm) for each day, with the water treatment period taking place between Day 1 and Day 10. Means within rows with different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 77

Table 4.1 Effect of plant species (chicory and plantain) and water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) on the average volume of water ( $m^3/m^3$ ) in each pot between 31 May and 15 August 2012 (when all water treatments were all successfully established).

Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and rows having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 98

Table 4.2 Experiment 1: Effect of plant species (plantain and chicory) at Day 1 on absolute shoot mass, taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass, shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Prior to Day 1 plants were grown under optimum water conditions. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 99

Table 4.3 Experiment 1: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly and Fortnightly and Three-Weekly) at Day 42 on absolute shoot mass, relative shoot growth rate (Day 1-42), shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and main effects having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 100

Table 4.4 Experiment 1: Interactions: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly and Fortnightly and Three-Weekly) at Day 42 on taproot diameter, root length and absolute root mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and treatments having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 103

Table 4.5 Experiment 1: Main Effects: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly and Fortnightly and Three-Weekly) at Day 42 on taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass and relative root growth rate (Day 1-42). Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e.

Means within columns and treatments having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 105

Table 4.6 Experiment 1: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly and Fortnightly and Three-Weekly) at Day 98 on shoot mass grown during water treatment period and during recovery period, relative shoot growth rate (Day 42-98), shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and main effects having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 108

Table 4.7 Experiment 1: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly and Fortnightly and Three-Weekly) at Day 98 on taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass and relative root growth rate (Day 42-98). Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and main effects having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 112

Table 4.8 Experiment 2: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) at Day 1 (Harvest 1) on absolute shoot mass, taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass, shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 116

Table 4.9 Experiment 2: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) and water treatment (Optimum and Very-Dry) at Day 6 (end of treatment period; Harvest 2) on

absolute shoot mass, relative shoot growth rate (between Days 1-6), shoot mass fraction, number of live leaves per plant and leaf mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and main effects are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 117

Table 4.10 Experiment 2: Effect of plant species (Plantain and Chicory) and water treatment (Optimum and Very-Dry) at Day 6 (end of treatment period; Harvest 2) on taproot diameter, root length, absolute root mass, relative root growth rate (between Days 1-6). Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns and main effects having different letters are significantly at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 118

Table 4.11 Experiment 3: Effect of species (chicory and plantain) and soil depth profile (0-10 cm and 10-20 cm) on root mass. Data presented as means  $\pm$  s.e. Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . Means within rows having different symbols ( $\dagger$ ,  $\pounds$ ) are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 124

Table 5.1 Grazing periods within the seasons (spring, summer and autumn) over the growing years. .... 142

Table 5.2 Mean monthly 10cm soil temperature, maximum and minimum air temperatures ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), total monthly rainfall and irrigation water applied (mm) between September 2011 and August 2013 compared with the 10-year mean. .... 144

Table 5.3 Plant density and taproot diameter sample collection dates. .... 147

Table 5.4 Effect of grazing treatment (Hard and Lax), season (Spring and Summer and Autumn) and year (Year One; 2011-2012 and Year Two; 2012-2013) on the pre- and post-grazing herbage mass (DM basis) and apparent DM removal at each grazing event

(mean  $\pm$  s.e.). Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 151

Table 5.5 The effect of grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) and year (Year One; 2011-2012 and Year Two; 2012-2013) on the total apparent DM removed and the total number of grazing days (mean  $\pm$  s.e.). Means within columns and parameters having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 152

Table 5.6 Effect of season (Spring and Summer and Autumn) and grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) on the percentage of leaf in chicory and plantain dry matter during year two. Means within columns and parameters with different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 157

Table 5.7 The effect of year (Year One; 2011-2012 and Year Two; 2012-2013), season (Spring and Summer and Autumn) and grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) on nutritive value traits of the sward (mean  $\pm$  s.e.): organic matter digestibility (OMD, % dry matter (DM)), ash content (ash %DM), crude protein (protein %DM), neutral detergent fibre (NDF %DM), acid detergent fibre (ADF %DM) and metabolisable energy (ME MJ/kg DM). Means within columns having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 159

Table 5.8 Effect of grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) on the plant density (plants per m<sup>2</sup>), number of crowns per plant and taproot diameter (mm) during year one, two and three and the shoot density (shoots per m<sup>2</sup>) during year two and three. All data presented as means with standard errors. Means within parameters (within columns and rows) having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 162

Table 5.9 Effect of grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) on the fructan content (mg/g) in the roots of Chicory and Plantain over Year Two (mean $\pm$ s.e.). Means within columns and rows having different letters are significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . .....	169
Table 6.1 Sward height (cm) and herbage masses (kg DM/ha) pre-grazing (mean $\pm$ s.e.) for hard- and lax-grazing treatments and for each season. Means within columns and parameters followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . ....	195
Table 6.2 The effect of season on nutritive-value traits (mean $\pm$ s.e.): OMD (%DM), organic matter digestibility; Ash (%DM), ash content; CP (%DM), crude protein; NDF (%DM), neutral detergent fibre; ADF (%DM), acid detergent fibre; and ME (MJ/kg DM), metabolisable energy. Means within columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . .....	190
Table 6.3 The effect of number of weeks since plantain was previously grazed on pre- and post-grazing sward height and the average number of ewe lambs recorded as grazing at each record. Means within columns followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . .....	200
Table 6.4 The effect of number of weeks since plantain was previously grazed and ‘eaten’ and ‘not eaten’ samples of plantain on nutritive-value traits (mean $\pm$ s.e.): OMD (%DM), organic matter digestibility; Ash (%DM), ash content; CP (%DM), crude protein; NDF (%DM), neutral detergent fibre; ADF (%DM), acid detergent fibre; and ME (MJ/kg DM), metabolisable energy. Means within columns and parameters followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ .....	202
Table 7.1 Established swards and sowing rates. ....	216

Table 7.2 Mean monthly 100 mm soil temperature, maximum and minimum daily air temperatures (°C) and total monthly rainfall and irrigation applied (mm). ..... 219

Table 7.3 Plant density for each sward type at Week 0 and Week 24 (mean ± SE). Means within each sward type both within and between weeks with different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 242

Table 7.4 The effect of defoliation treatment (fortnightly 25 mm defoliation (F2-H25) versus six weekly 70 mm defoliation (F6-H75)) and sward type at 27 February 2013 (Week 23) on nutritive value traits (mean ± s.e.): organic matter digestibility (OMD, % dry matter (DM)), ash content (ash %DM), protein (CP %DM), neutral detergent fibre (NDF %DM), acid detergent fibre (ADF %DM) and metabolisable energy (ME MJ/kg DM). Means within columns and parameters having different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . ..... 247

Appendix 1: Table 1: Live weight gain (g/day) of deer during spring, summer and autumn on various pasture species in New Zealand.....307

## List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Rates of accumulated leaf elongation of the youngest leaves of chicory and plantain grown as monocultures subjected to three levels of water treatment (Optimum, Dry and Very-Dry) between Days 0 and 10. Linear regression equations are  $y=76.50x + 73.18$ ,  $r^2=0.9236$  (Chicory-Optimum; ●, b),  $y=74.32x + 92.85$ ,  $r^2=0.9515$  (Chicory-Dry; ○, c),  $y=80.00x + 78.24$ ,  $r^2=0.9743$  (Chicory-Very-Dry; ▲, a),  $y=77.93x - 15.69$ ,  $r^2=0.9705$  (Plantain- Optimum;△, ab),  $y=75.33x + 28.26$ ,  $r^2=0.9392$  (Plantain-Dry; ◆, bc),  $y=71.40x + 35.57$ ,  $r^2=0.9763$  (Plantain-Very-Dry; ◇, d). Linear regression equations followed by the same letter indicate that slopes of linear regressions are not significantly different (ANCOVA:  $P > 0.05$ ). ..... 78

Figure 4.1 Experiment 1: Effect of water treatment and defoliation frequency at week two of the treatment period. .... 91

Figure 4.2 Effect of species (Chicory (black) and Plantain (grey)), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly (1) and Fortnightly (2) and Three-Weekly (3)) on the relative shoot growth rate (B) between Days 42-98. Vertical bars represent s.e. .... 106

Figure 4.3 Effect of water treatment (Optimum (dark grey) and Dry (light grey) and Very-Dry (white)) and defoliation frequency (Weekly (1) and Fortnightly (2) and Three-Weekly (3)) on absolute shoot mass grown during the recovery period (Day 85 - 98). Vertical bars represent s.e. .... 107

Figure 4.4 Effect of species (Chicory (black) and Plantain (grey)) and defoliation frequency (Weekly (1) and Fortnightly (2) and Three-Weekly (3)) on absolute root mass at Day 98. Vertical bars represent s.e..... 111

Figure 4.5 Effect of species (Chicory (black) and Plantain (grey)), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly (1) and Fortnightly (2) and Three-Weekly (3)) on the relative root growth rate (A) between Days 42-98. Vertical bars represent s.e..... 113

Figure 4.6 Effect of species (Chicory (black) and Plantain (grey)), water treatment (Optimum and Dry and Very-Dry) and defoliation frequency (Weekly (1) and Fortnightly (2) and Three-Weekly (3)) on root length at Day 98. Vertical bars represent s.e. .... 114

Figure 4.7 Change in soil volumetric water content of the four species by water treatment combinations; Chicory-Optimum (●), Plantain-Optimum (○), Chicory-Very-Dry (▼) and Plantain-Very-Dry (△) over the five day treatment period. Vertical bars represent s.e..... 115

Figure 4.8 Effect of species (chicory (black) and plantain (white)) and water treatment (Optimum (circle) and Very-Dry (triangle)) on the photosynthetic rate (A), leaf temperature (B), evapotranspiration rate (C) and leaf water potential measured by pressure chamber (D) of plants during the water treatment period (Days 1-5). Vertical bars represent s.e. .... 120

Figure 4.9 Effect of the species (Chicory (black) and Plantain (white)) and water treatment (Optimum (circle) and Very-Dry (triangle)) on leaf water potential (A) and

osmotic potential (B) of plants (measured via vapour pressure osmometry) during the water treatment period (Days 1-5). Vertical bars represent s.e.....	122
Figure 5.1 Experimental layout displaying eight plots replicated in four blocks. ....	141
Figure 5.2 Measuring the taproot diameter at the widest part of the root in chicory (left) and plantain (right).....	148
Figure 5.3 Effect of season (Spring and Summer and Autumn) and grazing treatment (Hard and Lax) on the botanical composition (chicory (black), plantain (blue), red clover (red), white clover (white) and weed (green)) of the sward during Year One and Two. All spring and autumn values include a s.e. of 3.23% and all summer values include a s.e. of 4.57%. ....	155
Figure 5.4 Change in plant density of Chicory (●) and Plantain (○) (Figure A) and Red Clover (▲) and White Clover (△) (Figure B) within the sward over two years. Vertical bars represent s.e. Note species split for ease of interpretation. ....	161
Figure 5.5 Effect of species (Chicory (●) and Plantain (○)) on taproot diameter (mm) over time. Vertical bars represent s.e. ....	164
Figure 5.6. The effect of species (Chicory (●) and Plantain (○)) on the root content of glucose (A), fructose (B), sucrose (C), fructan (D) and the total water soluble carbohydrate (E) during Year Two. Vertical bars represent s.e.....	166
Figure 6.1 Experiment design of Experiment 2, with each replicate (block) containing all four plantain treatments of varying weeks since it was previously grazed, and fences between treatments (plots) removed before sheep observation period. ....	189

Figure 6.2 Botanical composition of chicory (black), plantain (blue), red clover (red), white clover (white) and weed (green) within each sward stratum (<4, 4–8 and >8 cm) during early spring (top left), late spring (bottom left), summer (top right) and autumn (bottom right). All species within each stratum and season include a s.e. of 3.17%.... 193

Figure 6.3 Defoliation rate over the first 3 days of grazing of tagged plant species chicory (●), plantain (▽), red clover (■) and white clover (◇) during early spring (top left), late spring (bottom left), summer (top right) and autumn (bottom right). The error bars denote the s.e of difference among days, species and seasons..... 194

Figure 6.4 Diet selection index over the first 3 days of grazing of tagged plant species chicory (●), plantain (▽), red clover (■) and white clover (◇) during early spring (top left), late spring (bottom left), summer (top right) and autumn (bottom right). The error bars denote the s.e of difference between days, species and seasons. .... 195

Figure 7.1 Net herbage growth per day (kgDM/ha/day) for each sward type (Chicory Sward, Plantain Sward, Herb and Legume Mix, Ryegrass and White Clover Sward (Ryegrass)) within each defoliation frequency (F1 (black), F2 (white), F3 (red) and F6 (blue)). Vertical bars represent standard errors. Means within treatments with different letters are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ ..... 224

Figure 7.2 Total accumulated herbage yield (kgDM/ha) over the 24 week experiment period for each sward type (Chicory (top left), Plantain (top right), Herb and Legume (bottom left), Ryegrass and White Clover (bottom right) with all defoliation frequencies (1, 2, 3, 6 week defoliation) and defoliation heights (25 mm (black), 50 mm (light grey),

75 mm (dark grey)). Different letters (within sward types (graphs)) indicate treatments are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ . .....227

Figure 7.3 Botanical composition of Chicory Swards (%) under the defoliation frequencies ((2 weeks (top), 3 weeks (middle), 6 weeks (bottom)) for each defoliation height (25, 50 and 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Composition included chicory (grey), weed (green) and dead matter (orange). All components within each defoliation frequency and height include a s.e. of 6.14%. .....230

Figure 7.4 Leaf percentage (%) of chicory in the Chicory Sward, as affected by week and defoliation frequency. Vertical bars represent standard errors.....231

Figure 7.5 Botanical composition of Plantain Swards (%) under the defoliation frequencies (1 week (top left), 2 weeks (top right), 3 weeks (bottom left), 6 weeks (bottom right)) for each defoliation height (25, 50, 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Composition included plantain (blue), weed (green) and dead matter (orange). All components within each defoliation frequency and height include a s.e. of 7.06%. ...233

Figure 7.6 Leaf percentage (%) of plantain in the Plantain Sward under the defoliation frequencies (1 week (top left), 2 weeks (top right), 3 weeks (bottom left), 6 weeks (bottom right)) for each defoliation height (25, 50, 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Vertical bars represent s.e. ....234

Figure 7.7 Botanical composition of the Herb and Legume Mix (%) under the defoliation frequencies (1 week (top left), 2 weeks (top right), 3 weeks (bottom left), 6 weeks (bottom right)) for each defoliation height (25, 50, 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Composition included chicory (dark grey), plantain (blue), red clover (red), white clover

(light grey), weed (green), dead matter (orange). All components within each defoliation frequency and height include a s.e. of 5.58%. .....237

Figure 7.8 Leaf percentage (%) of chicory (grey) and plantain (blue) in the Herb and Legume Mix under the defoliation frequencies (1 week (top left), 2 weeks (top right), 3 weeks (bottom left), 6 weeks (bottom right)) for each defoliation height (25, 50, 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Vertical bars represent s.e. ....238

Figure 7.9 Botanical composition of Ryegrass and White Clover Sward (%) under the defoliation frequencies (1 week (top left), 2 weeks (top right), 3 weeks (bottom left), 6 weeks (bottom right)) for each defoliation height (25, 50, 75 mm) over the 24 weeks. Composition included ryegrass (purple), white clover (light grey), weed (green), dead matter (orange). All components within each defoliation frequency and height include a s.e. of 5.60%.....240

Figure 7.10 Taproot diameter (mm) in Chicory Sward (top left), Chicory in Herb and Legume Mix (top right), Plantain Sward (bottom left), Plantain in Herb and Legume Mix (bottom right) under the defoliation frequencies F1 (●), F2 (○), F3 (▼) and F6 (△) over the 24 week experiment. Vertical bars represent s.e.....245

Appendix 3: Figure 1: Total accumulated herbage yield (kgDM/ha) over the 24 week experiment period for each sward type (Chicory (top left), Plantain (top right), Herb and Legume Mix (bottom left), Ryegrass and White Clover (bottom right) with all defoliation frequencies (1, 2, 3, 6 week defoliation) and defoliation heights (25 mm (black), 50 mm (light grey), 75 mm (dark grey)). Different letters (within and across graphs) indicate treatments are significantly different at  $P = 0.05$ .....311