

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Perspectives on Euthanasia: A Qualitative
Investigation of a Selected Sample of Health
Professionals and Lay People**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Education (Adult Education)**

At Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand

Wilma Tielemans

2004

Acknowledgements

There are many people to whom I feel grateful for their help and cooperation especially the participants who made time available to be interviewed, showed interest and shared personal views and experiences. Without them this project would not have eventuated. My interest in ethical issues has always been stimulated by the work I do as a health professional and in particular with the end of life experiences in New Zealand and in the Netherlands.

My employer has given me financial support in the form of an advanced degree grant, so much needed time could be made available to work on this study. For this I am extremely grateful.

Also thanks to my supervisors Michelle Knight and especially Rachel Page who made time available for meetings, feedback and ongoing support. Paper thesis coordinator Marg Gilling has given me great ongoing emotional support during the, at times, stressful periods during this project.

My husband, John Ruck, has lived with me through the development of this project. John has put up with the paper piles in the study initially, and eventually spreading throughout the house covering dining and coffee tables while giving me practical and emotional support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	II
List of Tables	VII
Glossary	VIII
Abstract	IX
Chapter 1 - Introduction	1
1.1 Preface	1
1.2 Introduction	2
1.2.1 The researcher	2
1.2.2 Relevance of the practice of euthanasia	4
1.2.3 Research question and aims for this study	6
1.3 Thesis outline	7
Chapter 2 - Literature review	10
2.1 Ethics and bioethics	11
2.2 Definitions of euthanasia, assisted suicide and mercy killing	14
2.3 Ethical principles	18
2.4 Legal issues	25
2.5 The New Zealand situation	28
2.5.1 Euthanasia- the Martin case	32
2.5.2 Mercy killing- the Janine Albury Thompson case	33
2.5.3 Allowing to die- the baby L case	35
2.5.4 Futile care- the Rau Williams case	37
2.6 Advance directives (living wills)	38
2.7 Education of ethics to health professionals	40
2.7.1 Education in context	42
2.7.2 Research on teaching practices pertaining to ethics	44

2.7.3	Knowledge and learning	47
2.7.3.1	Informal learning	48
2.7.3.2	Formal education and learning	49
2.7.3.3	Knowledge and values	51
2.8	Summary	53
Chapter 3 - Methodology		54
3.1	Methodology	55
3.1.1	Data analysis	57
3.1.2	Validity	58
3.1.3	Reliability	59
3.1.4	Generalisability	61
3.2	The participants	61
3.2.1	Selection of participants	61
3.2.2	Consent	64
3.2.3	Wellbeing of participants	64
3.2.4	Confidentiality	65
3.3	Problems and limitations of the study	65
3.4	Ethical approval	66
3.5	Ethical issues pertaining the researcher	67
Chapter 4 - Results		69
4.1	Definition of euthanasia	70
4.2	Understanding and knowledge of euthanasia	73
4.3	Should euthanasia be practised and or legalised in New Zealand?	74
4.4	Values, ethical and legal issues that should be taken into consideration	78
4.5	Sources of knowledge and personal experience	82
4.6	Suffering and spirituality	84
4.7	Summary	86
Chapter 5 - Discussion of the data		88
5.1	Definition of euthanasia: differences between the participants	88

representing the health professionals and the general population group	
5.1.1	Definitions of euthanasia; sources of knowledge 90
5.1.2	Perceptions on what constitutes euthanasia 91
5.2	Understanding and knowledge of euthanasia 95
5.3	Should euthanasia be practised and or legalised in New Zealand? 99
5.4	Values, ethical and legal issues that should be taken into consideration 107
5.5	Sources of knowledge 111
5.5.1	Learning through education and experience 111
5.5.2	Informal learning 116
5.5.3	Tacit knowledge 119
5.6	Suffering and spirituality 122
5.7	Summary 125
Chapter 6 - Recommendations	127
6.1	Furthering the debate on euthanasia 127
6.2	Recommendations for education 128
6.2.1	Recommendations for education of health professionals 128
6.2.2	Recommendations for education of the general public 128
6.3	Suggestions for future research 129
Chapter 7 – Conclusion	130
7.1	Defining euthanasia 130
7.2	Research project 131
7.2.1	Limitations of the project 132
7.3	Knowledge and education 132
7.4	Summary 133
Postscript	135
Relevance of the practice of euthanasia	135
Relevance of the research topic	136
The participants	137

References	138
Appendices	144
Appendix A	144
Appendix B	151
Appendix C	152

List of Tables

Table		Page
Table 3.1	Data pertaining to health professionals	62
Table 3.2	Data pertaining to the general population	63
Table 4.1	Number of participants from the health professional and general population providing a correct, partially correct or incorrect definition of euthanasia	71
Table 4.2	Opinions for or against the practice of euthanasia and of legislation of euthanasia	75

Glossary

This glossary contains the terms indicated with a number in the text of the project.

1. Active euthanasia occurs in those instances in which someone takes active means, such as a lethal injection, to bring about someone's death. Generally it is understood that the act is performed by a medical practitioner. The reason to perform euthanasia is to relieve a person who suffers a terminal illness or incurable disease of intolerable suffering. A distinction is made between voluntary (with patient consent) and involuntary (against the patient's wishes) euthanasia.

2. Passive euthanasia involves the intentional withholding of treatment. A distinction is made between voluntary (with patient consent) and involuntary euthanasia (without patient's knowledge). According to New Zealand law, withholding treatment does not constitute passive euthanasia.

3. Autonomy recognizes the individual's right to self-determination (according to beliefs, values and a life plan).

4. Beneficence means, "to prevent harm and promote good".

5. Nonmaleficence embodies the concept "not to inflict harm".

6. Justice deals with the concept of fairness; all people are required to bear an equal amount of benefits and burdens. The concept is sometimes used to advocate euthanasia since some individual's burdens are much more than those of others.

7. Vitalism This principle holds that life is a primary good.

8. Incompetent is defined as not having the necessary ability or skill to do something. In the context of end of life situations it often refers to a condition that renders the patient incapable of making an appropriate choice. Conditions such as a cerebral vascular accident, Alzheimer's disease or clinical depression fall in this category.

9. Competent is defined as having sufficient skill or knowledge to make a decision (Collins, 1999).

10. Understanding is defined by Collins (1999) as 'an appreciation, awareness, comprehension, grasp, or insight'.

11. Knowledge is defined as 'the facts or experiences known by a person or group of people, pertains more to feeling certain of the truth or facts' (Collins, 1999).

12. Spirituality; relating to a person's beliefs as oppose to his or her physical or material needs (Collins, 1999).

13. Suffering; to undergo or subjected to physical pain or mental distress (Collins, 1999).

Abstract

As a topic the practice of euthanasia is regularly in the news in New Zealand. It is also practised covertly such as withdrawal of treatment, mercy killing and withholding of futile treatment. Knowledge and perceptions regarding these practices differ. This study explores the possible difference(s) in knowledge base between health professionals and the general population in respect to euthanasia. This project, designed as a qualitative study was guided by the following research question: What is the knowledge base and decision-making process between lay people and health professionals regarding the practice of euthanasia?

The research was done through a qualitative approach by means of interview. In total fourteen participants from the greater Wellington area were involved in the research project, seven participants representing health professionals and seven participants representing the general population. The interview was designed to capture the knowledge base and insights and values of individuals with respect to euthanasia. Comparing the group of health professionals with the group of individuals from the general population resulted in some quantitative data.

The study initially looked at descriptive data pertaining to the topic of euthanasia, education and learning. Interviewing participants and analysing their responses in regards to the practice of euthanasia explored the cognitive and normative layers of knowledge. Educational theory was used to explain what was happening in relation to an individual's knowledge base regarding euthanasia.

The study found that the groups differed in educational background with respect to ethics and the topic of euthanasia with a higher percentage of the health professionals having received education pertaining to ethics and euthanasia. This may explain the fact that five health professionals were able to state a correct definition of euthanasia as opposed to two participants from the general population group. In both groups six of the seven participants were against legalisation of the practice of euthanasia;

however, five of the seven participants from the general population group and four of the seven participants representing health professionals were pro euthanasia.

Various ways of informal learning contributed to the knowledge base of the participants. Informal learning resulted in all participants being able to discuss issues related to the practice of euthanasia that were relevant, important and related to their value system. In this respect, there was no difference between the two groups.

This study has highlighted the difference in knowledge base between health professionals and the general population group. This difference being is the ability to define the term euthanasia. All participants in this study were able to voice opinions on whether euthanasia is practiced and should be practiced or legalised. This study showed that informal learning was important in the acquisition of knowledge and that participants from the general population group were less confident in their knowledge regarding the topic of euthanasia. In view of the findings of this study, it is recommended that since, euthanasia is practiced, an open debate at national level should take place. In view of the ethical issues encountered in everyday living, it is recommended that all New Zealanders are educated regarding ethical issues concerning euthanasia .