

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Institutionalization of Academic Finance**

A dissertation presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Management

at Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

**Mian Muhammad Atif**

**2014**

## **Abstract**

By utilizing Foucauldian theoretical and methodological framework this thesis studies the institutionalization of academic finance. Specifically, this thesis proposes an approach to the study of institutions through analysis of its promulgated subject position and sees institutionalization as the process through which power relations are actualized.

Utilizing archival resources to understand the institutionalization of institutional discourse of academic finance during its transformative phase (1940s-1970s), I argue that institutions create its subject positions through its Space, Text and Practice facets. Space, as defined in this thesis, represents the facet of institutional discourse through which the subject is individualized and is rendered examinable for his Practice against the Text. Where Text is defined in terms of the institutionalized norms and expectations of the institutional discourse and Practice represents the conduct of the subject within the Space of the institution.

Through studying the history of business schools, its theories and the modes of existence of the institutional discourse of academic finance, the thesis argues that current Space, Text and Practice of finance was made possible through the emergence of discourse of scienticism, the world-view of philanthropic foundations, access to and availability of large data, prestige of market, the overwhelming majority of economists in the faculty of business schools and through techniques of self-formation and mobilization of resources and efforts.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my Father, Qavi Ullah, who left me during my PhD  
and my daughter, Aiza Atif, whom I have yet to meet in person.

## **Acknowledgement**

The process of writing this thesis has been nothing less than a spectacle of enriching relationships and inspiring experiences with supervisors, fellow researchers, friends and family. Each of whom have contributed to my understanding of myself as a human being, a researcher and my conceptualization of this final copy. Above all I feel that it has been a privilege to work on this project and to interact with a range of different people who have made this process an inspiring and productive experience. In the following I will express my gratitude for the ways in which these people have contributed to my work and made it possible.

First and foremost I would like to thank Craig Prichard, my main supervisor, who has tirelessly provided an immense support and constructive feedback throughout the process. Craig has been both a supervisor and a mentor. Without Craig's constant and unconditional support this thesis would not have been possible. Deborah Russell, my co-supervisor, has provided the opportunity and helped me expand my arguments by continuously challenging me to clarify my arguments and to work consistently with the elimination of discrepancies. And last but by no means the least, the contribution of my co-supervisor, Ralph Stablein. Ralph has been an inspiration throughout the thesis, in fact, my interest in the area of CMS and institutional theory came from direct influence of him. Thank you Craig, Deborah and Ralph for opening up this wonderful world for me, where I now know myself a lot better than I ever had.

I would also like to thank my friends for their support and help, especially Murad Ali, Assad Razzaq, Zia-ur-Rehman, Majid Suhail, Khalid Khan, Robin, Sajid Khan, Dr. Wajid Hussain, Dr. Muhammad Shuaib, Dr. Imran Muhammad and many more who have been a direct influence on me and without whom the process of writing up the thesis would have been very difficult.

Finally, I would like to show my gratitude and acknowledge my family for supporting me every step on the way in the ways they all have done.

Thank you all for all your help and support during the ups and downs.

Atif

# Table of Contents

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Abstract.....   | i   |
| Acknowledgement .....   | iii |
| Table of Contents.....  | iv  |
| List of Figures.....  | vi  |
| List of Tables .....  | vii |
| Chapter 1: Introduction.....  | 1   |
| 1.1 Motivation and Overview .....   | 1   |
| 1.2 Academic Finance: An Overview of its Present.....   | 5   |
| 1.3 Structure of the Thesis .....   | 19  |
| Chapter 2: Literature Review I: Institutional Theory .....  | 21  |
| 2.1 Institutional Theory: A Short Review.....   | 22  |
| 2.2 Approaches to Understanding Institutionalization.....   | 28  |
| 2.2.1 Institutional Work.....   | 29  |
| 2.2.2 Institutional Logic.....  | 36  |
| 2.2 Concluding Comments.....  | 42  |
| Chapter 3: Literature Review II: Power.....   | 43  |
| 3.1 Perspectives on Power .....   | 44  |
| 3.1.1 Sovereign Power .....   | 44  |
| 3.1.2 Power/Knowledge.....  | 48  |
| 3.2 Concluding Comments.....  | 55  |
| Chapter 4: Methodology and Method.....  | 57  |
| 4.1 Studying Institutionalization: A <i>Review</i> of the Reviews .....                           | 58  |
| 4.2 Foucault's Power/Knowledge and Institutionalization.....                                      | 65  |
| 4.3 The Theoretical Framework for Institutional Analysis.....                                     | 73  |
| 4.3.1 Space.....  | 77  |
| 4.3.2 Text .....  | 79  |
| 4.3.3 Practice.....   | 81  |
| 4.4 The Empirical Framework .....   | 87  |
| Chapter 5: Number Tells it All: How Statistical Methods Came to Dominate Academic<br>Finance..... | 92  |
| 5.1 Introduction.....   | 92  |

|   |   |     |
|---|---|-----|
| 5.2   | The Standard History of Academic Finance.....                         | 95  |
| 5.3   | Locating Space, Text and Practice from Standard History.....          | 99  |
| 5.3.1   | Space.....  | 101 |
| 5.3.2   | Text.....   | 101 |
| 5.3.3   | Practice .....  | 102 |
| 5.4   | The Institutionalization of Statistical Methods in Finance .....      | 103 |
| 5.4.1   | The De-Institutionalization of Finance.....                           | 105 |
| 5.4.2   | The Opening for Alternatives .....                                    | 120 |
| 5.4.3   | The Institutionalization of New Finance .....                         | 127 |
| 5.5   | Conclusion .....  | 132 |
| Chapter 6: The Triumph of New Finance: An Institutional History of Efficient Market Hypothesis..... |   |     |
| Hypothesis.....   |   |     |
| 6.1   | Introduction.....   | 136 |
| 6.2   | Standard History of EMH .....   | 138 |
| 6.3   | Locating Space, Text and Practice.....                                | 145 |
| 6.3.1   | Space .....   | 147 |
| 6.3.2   | Text .....  | 147 |
| 6.3.3   | Practice.....   | 148 |
| 6.4   | Institutional history of EMH.....                                     | 148 |
| 6.5   | Conclusion .....  | 166 |
| Chapter 7: Instituting Practice: Institutionalization of Methodology of Academic Finance. 168       |   |     |
| 7.1   | Introduction.....   | 168 |
| 7.2   | Institutionalizing the Methodology of Academic Finance.....           | 172 |
| 7.3   | Conclusion .....  | 185 |
| Chapter 8: Conclusion.....  |   |     |
| 8.1   | Contribution to Organizational Institutionalism .....                 | 189 |
| 8.2   | Contribution to Foucauldian Discourse Analysis .....                  | 192 |
| 8.3   | Implications in Terms of my Conduct and Personal Research Agenda..... | 193 |
| References.....   |   | 196 |

## List of Figures

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4.1: The Constitution of a Discursive Field.....                       | 71  |
| Figure 4.2: Space, Text and Practice as Enablers of the Subject Position..... | 83  |
| Figure 4.3: Institutions as Product of Space, Text and Practice .....         | 85  |
| Figure 4.4: Understanding Institutionalization .....                          | 86  |
| Figure 6.1: Help Stamp out Index Funds .....                                  | 163 |

## List of Tables

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 2.1: Empirical Studies in Institutional Work in the Three Stages of Institutional Life Cycle .....   | 35  |
| Table 2.2: Empirical studies in Institutional Logic in institutional life cycle.....   | 41  |
| Table 3.1: Lukes Framework of Power.....   | 47  |
| Table 4.1: Differentiating Institutional Work, Intuitional Logic and Foucauldian Institutionalization .....  | 73  |
| Table 4.2: Emergence of Major Ideas in Finance by Initial Year of Decade .....   | 88  |
| Table 5.1: Expenditures of educational institutions related to the gross domestic product by level of institution: Selected years 1929-30 through 1980 ..... | 110 |
| Table 5.2: Degrees in business conferred by degree-granting institutions by level of degree: Selected years 1955-56 through 1980.....                        | 112 |
| Table 5.3: Projection of faculty requirements in US collegiate schools and departments of business 1954-1970.....  | 125 |
| Table 5.4: Calculated business faculty deficit, 1954-70.....   | 125 |
| Table 5.6: Distribution of doctorates held by full-time regular business faculty .....   | 128 |
| Table 7.1: Publication pattern of early modern finance theories.....   | 173 |
| Table: 7.2 Contribution to Journal of Finance (by type) .....  | 174 |
| Table 7.3: A comparison of the content of major organization finance texts (old finance) .   | 177 |
| Table 7.3: A comparison of three major basic finance text (new finance) .....  | 178 |