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ABSTRACT

Collaborative Practice: A practice approach to joint working between agencies providing mental health services

This project was undertaken to explore principles important to good collaborative practice and to identify practice guidelines. It explores the "how to do" collaborative practice successfully. The project did not set out to provide solutions to specific situations. It did set out to explore a process which could provide a culture for the collaborative addressing of issues. The proposed approach involved working interactively with key people, trialling a model of collaboration which used the clinical practice of case management and applying this to work between agencies. Through this participative trial key components of collaboration were identified and incorporated into a proposal for future practice. The project methodology used action research.
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APPENDICES

APPENDIX I
This shows the format of the questionnaire which was used pre- and post-project. This version seeks Agency satisfaction with Mental Health Service agencies. The version for Mental Health Service staff asked identically worded questions.

APPENDIX II
FORMING PARTNERSHIPS: This shows the overhead which summarizes the challenges identified in the literature and which was used as a basis for discussion in the Working Group.

APPENDIX III
This shows the overhead which summarized attributes of case management recognised by the working group and used as a basis for discussion.

APPENDIX IV
Appendix IV presents 9 overheads which were prepared to summarize project discussions, information and findings.

APPENDIX V
Figures showing graphing of results of questionnaire.
See discussion pp. 82-88.
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