

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Vertical co-ordination in the New Zealand lamb
supply chain: implications for breeders, finishers and
processors**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master in Applied Science

**Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health
Massey University**

Oscar Efraín Montes de Oca Munguía

1999

Abstract

In 1998, the New Zealand sheep industry exported approximately 347,100 tonnes of sheepmeat to international markets. In 1996, the total number of sheep in the country was 47.3 million head with 9.5 million hectares dedicated to sheep and beef cattle enterprises. Traditionally, sheepmeat has been directed towards commodity markets, but a more recent strategy has been to target premium markets for specialised lamb cuts. Vertical co-ordination among participants in the New Zealand lamb meat supply chain (breeders, finishers, processors, marketers and retailers) is necessary to compete in premium markets overseas.

New Zealand's seasonal pastoral systems are characterised by their heavy dependence on external variation (i.e. weather, market prices). Seasonal pasture production determines a well-defined lamb supply pattern and affects the price that farmers receive for their produce. Adequate price setting for vertically co-ordinated participants is therefore necessary in order to achieve a consistent supply of sheepmeat for international markets.

Long-term contracts between New Zealand producers and processors would be a feasible vertical co-ordination mechanism. However, contracts can only be established if participants agree on product specifications and price. Farmers therefore need to know their cost of production on a \$/kg lamb meat basis in order to be able to negotiate a price for their sheep.

The aim of the research was to appraise the importance of vertical co-ordination through forward contracting for the New Zealand lamb industry and to assess measures to control the risk exposure of lamb producers and processors. The research also aimed to provide processors, finishers and breeders with a better understanding of producers' risk-return profiles.

The source of physical and financial information was the New Zealand Sheep and Beef Cattle Farm Survey for the 1995-96 season. The software Stockpol® was used to simulate the biological performance of sheep enterprises on different pastoral production systems. Activity-Based Costing (ABC) was then applied to determine cost of lamb production for participants in the supply chain. A discrete stochastic programming (DSP) model was also developed to evaluate the impact of variation in lamb production cost for participants under alternative conditions for business and financial risk. Risk was considered by simulating different weather conditions and by varying biological production and financial parameters.

The average cost of production of a kilogram of lamb meat at the farm gate for all farm classes was estimated at NZ\$ 2.88. This break-even point is the market price at which direct and overhead expenses, including the cost of capital, are covered. The average price received by farmers for lamb meat during the 1995-96 season analysed was NZ\$ 1.97/kg. This price was NZ\$2.33 /kg in 1997 and the estimate price for 1998 is NZ\$ 2.13 /kg. This cost of production varied for the farm case studies according to their financial structure, biological efficiency parameters (lambing percentage, wool production lamb growth rates) and wool and lamb purchase prices. The simulation results showed that pasture production and utilisation (influenced mainly by weather conditions and farm management skills) has a big impact on the cost of lamb

production. The modelling exercise suggested that a mix of contractual arrangements for the premium produce of the farm and spot market bargaining power for the remainder would be the optimum alternative for farm managers.

The use of ABC for farm planning purposes can be considered as a means to control both 'risk exposure' and 'risk impacts'. The assessment of cost of production under possible scenarios of DM production could be used to evaluate innovative contractual arrangements between producers and processors.

The study showed that supply chain synchronisation in the New Zealand lamb industry is necessary for targeting premium markets, and that a deep knowledge of participants' risk-return profiles is essential for building trust between participants in the supply chain. Traditionally, New Zealand farmers have worked in an adversarial environment, while new market requirements for their products require the opposite.

Title: Vertical co-ordination in the New Zealand lamb supply chain: implications for breeders, finishers and processors.
Author: Oscar Efraín Montes de Oca Munguía.
Year: 1999.
Degree: MAppSc (Agricultural Systems and Management).

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my most sincere appreciation to my supervisors Nicola Shadbolt and Warren Parker for their unlimited support, sympathy and patience during the completion of this thesis. I also acknowledge Chris Dake for his accurate comments and feedback.

I want to express my gratitude to all the people that offered me their friendship during the last couple of years. They provided the encouragement that kept me going.

Finally, I want to sincerely thank the governments of Mexico and New Zealand for funding this once-in-a-life-time opportunity to undertake postgraduate study.

Table of contents

<i>Abstract</i>	ii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	iv
<i>Table of contents</i>	v
<i>List of Tables</i>	vii
<i>List of figures</i>	ix
1 General introduction	1
1.1 <i>The New Zealand lamb industry</i>	1
1.2 <i>Scope and purpose of the research</i>	2
1.3 <i>Outline of the study</i>	4
2 Vertical co-ordination in the New Zealand lamb supply chain	5
2.1 <i>Introduction</i>	5
2.2 <i>Benchmarking the industry</i>	6
2.2.1 <i>The search for competitive advantage</i>	7
2.2.2 <i>Customer driven production systems</i>	8
2.2.3 <i>Example of successful supplier co-ordination</i>	11
2.3 <i>Vertical co-ordination</i>	14
2.3.1 <i>Supply chain integration</i>	14
2.3.2 <i>Strategic alliances for competitive advantage</i>	15
2.3.3 <i>Contracts</i>	18
2.3.4 <i>Factors affecting vertical co-ordination in the lamb industry</i>	20
2.3.5 <i>Current developments in New Zealand</i>	22
2.4 <i>Concluding remarks</i>	23
3 Simulating lamb production systems	25
3.1 <i>Introduction</i>	25
3.1.1 <i>Data collection</i>	25
3.1.2 <i>Modelling agricultural systems</i>	26
3.1.3 <i>The use of Stockpol®</i>	27
3.1.4 <i>The lamb grading system</i>	28
3.2 <i>Materials and methods</i>	30
3.2.1 <i>Dry matter (DM) production</i>	31
3.2.2 <i>Livestock policies</i>	32
3.3 <i>Results</i>	33
3.4 <i>Discussion</i>	37
4 Costing lamb production	39
4.1 <i>Introduction</i>	39
4.1.1 <i>The ABC system</i>	39
4.1.2 <i>Cost elements</i>	41
4.2 <i>Materials and methods</i>	42
4.2.1 <i>Determining overhead costs for New Zealand farming systems</i>	42

4.2.2	The ABC system applied to pastoral systems	44
4.2.3	Break-even point (BEP) analysis	47
4.3	<i>Results</i>	48
4.4	<i>Discussion</i>	50
5	Considering risk in New Zealand lamb systems	55
5.1	<i>Introduction</i>	55
5.2	<i>Uncertainty and risk concepts</i>	55
5.2.1	Risk management in New Zealand pastoral systems	57
5.2.2	Meat contracts in New Zealand	59
5.3	<i>Discrete stochastic programming</i>	61
5.3.1	DSP applied to lamb systems	62
5.4	<i>Materials and methods</i>	62
5.4.1	Stochastic variables	65
5.5	<i>Results</i>	65
5.6	<i>Discussion</i>	69
5.6.1	Concluding remarks	70
6	General discussion	72
6.1	<i>Introduction</i>	72
6.2	<i>Evaluation of the methodology</i>	72
6.3	<i>Further research opportunities</i>	74
6.4	<i>Concluding remarks</i>	74
	References	76
	Appendix 1. Tables from The New Zealand Sheep and Beef Cattle Farm Survey 1995-96.	85
	Appendix 2. Discrete Stochastic Programming Model for the 7SIFin farm class.	93

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Marketing methods of 45 large US pork packer-producer co-ordination arrangements.	13
Table 2.2 Customer-supplier alliances compared to market transactions.	17
Table 2.3 Characteristics of some strategic alliances.	18
Table 2.4 Farmer attributes to establish strategic alliances in the lamb supply chain.	21
Table 3.1 Classification of lamb meat carcasses for sale to the New Zealand market.	28
Table 3.2 Average lamb schedule prices (1995-96).	29
Table 3.3 Nomenclature for the farm classes used in the study.	30
Table 3.4 Physical characteristics of the farm classes simulated.	31
Table 3.5 Crop & cultivation areas (ha) for the farm classes simulated.	32
Table 3.6 Livestock policies and production parameters of the farm classes simulated.	32
Table 3.7 Dry matter utilisation for the simulated farm systems for the 1995-96 season.	33
Table 3.8 Revenue generated from sheep enterprises per tonne DM consumed.	35
Table 3.9 Farm classes comparison of sheep production volume, wool and lamb revenues per ssu.	37
Table 4.1 Total costs associated with a sheep breeding enterprise.	42
Table 4.2 Operating expenses and cost of capital for all farm classes, season 1995-96.	43
Table 4.3 Metabolisable energy (ME) requirements of a 50 kg ewe for maintenance and production activities.	47
Table 4.4 Wool and meat cost of production composition for all farm classes, season 1995-96.	49
Table 4.5 Wool and lamb meat BEP analysis for all farm classes in the 1995-96 season.	49
Table 4.6 Lamb meat BEP analysis for all farm classes in the 1995-96 season.	50
Table 4.7 Sheep revenue composition for all farm classes.	50
Table 4.8 Sheep cost of production composition for all farm classes.	51
Table 4.9 Impact of wool production and price on lamb meat BEP (\$/kg), for all farm classes.	51
Table 4.10 Lamb meat cost analysis for all farm classes, season 1995-96.	52

Table 4.11 Lamb meat BEP before tax and cost of capital for all farm classes, season 1995-96.	53
Table 5.1 Types of uncertainty in the food supply chain.	56
Table 5.2 Importance farmers attach to different sources of risk.	58
Table 5.3 The importance attached to different risk management responses and their use by sheep and beef cattle farmers in New Zealand.	58
Table 5.4 Progressive Meats Limited performance bonus system – an example.	60
Table 5.5 Physical and financial characteristics of the status quo system.	63
Table 5.6 Rainfall 1994-95 to 1997-98 for the Gore District (mm).	64
Table 5.7 Simulation results for three mating dates under three scenarios of DM production for the 7SIFin farm class.	66
Table 5.8 Discrete stochastic programming (DSP) model solution for an April 10 mating date and lambs committed under three rainfall scenarios.	68

List of figures

Figure 2.1 Customer order management framework.	9
Figure 2.2 Mobil Oil's current and future supplier relationships.	11
Figure 2.3 The environment for, and determinants of, contractual arrangements.	19
Figure 3.1 The basic steps of systems simulation.	26
Figure 3.2 New Zealand 1995 export lamb production and average price per head by meat grade.	30
Figure 3.3 Pasture growth curve for the Canterbury–North Otago region, medium rainfall and flat slope.	31
Figure 3.4 Simulated sheep and cattle intakes per hectare and the area in pasture for all farm classes.	34
Figure 3.5 Sheep enterprise production and sheep numbers at opening (1 July) for all farm classes.	35
Figure 3.6 Gross farm revenue for all farm classes, season 1995-96.	36
Figure 3.7 Relationship between sheep production and revenue per ssu for all farm classes.	37
Figure 4.1 General model of the Activity-Based costing system.	40
Figure 4.2 ABC system applied to a pastoral breeding lamb production system.	44
Figure 4.3 Overhead cost allocation percentages for all farm classes.	48
Figure 5.1 Concepts of risk and risk management.	57
Figure 5.2 Progressive programme premium matrix.	60
Figure 5.3 Embedded decision tree for different mating dates and lamb drafting policies for the 7SIFin farm class.	64
Figure 5.4 Simulated lamb meat grading for three mating dates for the 7SIFin farm class.	66
Figure 5.5 Sales pattern for three mating dates for the 7SIFin farm class.	67
Figure 5.6 Cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the lamb meat BEP for three mating dates for the 7SIFin farm class.	67