

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Screening for nutrition risk and dysphagia among older adults newly admitted to age related residential care facilities in the Waitemata DHB region.

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for

the degree of

Master of Science in Nutrition and Dietetics

at Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand

Rebecca Stephanie Watkin

2014

ABSTRACT

Background: New Zealand has an ageing population, reflected by an older average population age and reduced mortality. Good nutrition is essential for successful ageing. Many factors are known to influence nutrition risk, and a high prevalence has been observed overseas in people living in age related residential care (ARRC) facilities. In New Zealand, there is limited data on both the prevalence of nutrition risk in ARRC facilities and the health factors that contribute to risk. The changing demographics of the population means that a greater understanding in this area will be important to develop strategies which support the maintenance of good nutrition status for longer, thus potentially reducing health burden. This study aims to determine nutrition risk and the risk of dysphagia (swallowing difficulties) in older adults recently admitted to an ARRC facility in the Waitemata District Health Board (DHB) region.

Methods: Fifty-six individuals aged ≥ 65 years (or ≥ 55 years for Māori and Pacific) who were admitted for the first time to an ARRC facility within the Waitemata DHB region were invited to participate in the study. Potential contributors to nutrition risk were explored using a questionnaire that asked about nutritional and non-nutritional risk factors. The Mini Nutritional Assessment®-SF (MNA®-SF) was used to determine level of nutrition risk. Risk of dysphagia was identified using the Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10). The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was carried out at the end of the interview and was used as a measure of cognitive function.

Results: A total of 53 participants with a mean age of 88 years were included. Overall, 91% of the participants were either malnourished (47%) or at risk of malnutrition (43%). Normal nutritional status was only prevalent in 9% of participants. Fifty-seven percent of participants were widowed, of which, 52% were malnourished. When malnourished participants were compared to those with normal nutritional status, malnourished participants were more likely to be underweight, in hospital level care, have a recent severe decrease in food intake, recent weight loss of greater than 3kg, have poorer mobility, experienced psychological stress or acute disease and have severe dementia or depression. Malnourished participants were more likely to report weight loss of greater than 3 kg than those at risk of malnutrition (56% vs. 13% respectively, $p = 0.03$; Fisher's exact test). Those who were malnourished had poorer mobility ($\chi^2 = 8.592$ $p = 0.003$) and were more likely to be at risk of dysphagia ($\chi^2 = 6.273$ $p = 0.01$) compared to those at risk of malnutrition. Participants in hospital level of care were also more likely to be at risk of dysphagia compared to those in rest home level of care ($\chi^2 = 4.627$ $p = 0.03$).

Conclusions: These findings suggest there may be a high prevalence of nutrition risk among older adults newly admitted to ARRC facilities within New Zealand and that existing poor nutrition may have contributed to the need to move into ARRC. The predisposing factors that affect nutrition status warrant further investigation so initiatives can be undertaken to avoid a change in living situation. The results highlight the need for nutrition screening and early intervention by a dietitian.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are a number of people I would like to acknowledge for the support they have provided that made this research possible.

My supervisor, Dr Carol Wham, for her positive encouragement, wealth of knowledge and experience.

I would like to thank Dr Jacqueline Allen for her help with the organisation and coordination of this study, and Dr Cheryl Gammon for her guidance and knowledge with statistics. I am thankful for Kaye Dennison, who was immensely supportive with carrying out training sessions and assisting with my participant recruitment. The time she put in was invaluable and for that I am very grateful.

Thank you to the Waitemata DHB NASC team for getting on board with the study and their assistance with participant recruitment. To Katrina Lenzie-Smith and the ARRC facilities in the Waitemata DHB region, thank you for your support of my research.

I am thankful to all of the participants who took part, for their willingness to share and talk with me during a difficult time of transition.

To my late Grandfather who I was lucky to have as a participant in my research, I am honoured that he was able to take part. I would also like to acknowledge my late Nana, Deirdre. Her legacy was my motivation to carry out research in this area and she is a constant source of inspiration for me.

I would like to thank my parents, Robyn and Grant, for their endless support and encouragement, no matter what. I could not have done this without them. Lastly, I would like to thank my close friends who have stood by me throughout this journey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
ABBREVIATIONS.....	vi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION, AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Aims and objectives.....	4
1.2.1 Aims	4
1.2.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Thesis structure	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Health of older people.....	5
2.1.1 Ageing in place.....	5
2.1.2 Cultural influences on ageing in place	6
2.1.3 Health loss and causes of death	7
2.2 Health costs of older adults in age related residential care (ARRC).....	9
2.2.1 Maintenance of independence	10
2.2.2 Loss of independence	11
2.2.3 Declining physical function and health	12
2.2.4 Frailty and disability within New Zealand	13
2.2.5 Impact of ageing on the health system	14
2.3 Nutrition for healthy ageing.....	15
2.4 Changes in energy and nutrient requirements with age	18
2.5 Factors influencing nutrition risk in older adults	19
2.5.1 Weight change, body mass index and nutrition risk.....	21
2.5.2 Ethnicity and nutrition risk	23
2.5.3 Social factors and nutrition risk	25
2.5.3.1 Marital status.....	25

2.5.3.2	Living situation	26
2.5.3.3	Low income	28
2.5.3.4	Low education	29
2.5.3.5	Support services	30
2.5.4	Health factors and nutrition risk.....	31
2.5.4.1	Polypharmacy	31
2.5.4.2	Dental status	33
2.5.5	Psychological factors, cognition and nutrition risk.....	34
2.5.5.1	Depression	34
2.5.5.2	Reduced level of cognition	35
2.5.5.3	Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	36
2.5.6	Dysphagia and nutrition risk.....	36
2.5.6.1	Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10).....	38
2.6	Screening for nutrition risk in older adults.....	39
2.6.1	Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form (MNA®-SF).....	40
2.7	Summary	42
CHAPTER 3: METHODS.....		43
3.1	Participants	43
3.2	Participant recruitment.....	43
3.3	Inclusion and exclusion criteria.....	44
3.4	Ethics	44
3.5	Questionnaire	45
3.5.1	Pilot questionnaire.....	46
3.5.2	Participant characteristics	46
3.5.3	Health characteristics.....	47
3.5.4	Nutrition risk (Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form)	47
3.5.5	Dysphagia (Eating Assessment Tool, EAT-10).....	48
3.5.6	Assessment of respondent reliability.....	49
3.5.7	Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	49
3.5.8	Questionnaire completion	50
3.6	Data handling and statistical analysis.....	50

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	53
4.1 Recruitment	53
4.2 Participant characteristics	54
4.3 Health characteristics	59
4.3.1 Comorbidities	59
4.3.2 Medications	61
4.3.3 Dental status	62
4.3.4 Support services	63
4.3.5 Cognition	63
4.3.5.1 Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	63
4.3.5.2 Assessment of respondent reliability and understanding	64
4.3.6 Nutrition risk using Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form	64
4.4 Dysphagia (Eating Assessment Tool, EAT-10)	66
4.5 Nutrition risk (Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form, MNA®-SF) by level of age related residential care (ARRC)	66
4.5.1 Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form (MNA®-SF) questionnaire breakdown	67
4.6 Nutrition risk status	68
4.6.1 Demographic, health and social characteristics	68
4.6.2 Nutrition status according to the Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form (MNA®-SF) final score	71
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	73
5.1 Introduction	73
5.1.1 Characteristics of participants who were malnourished, at risk and with normal nutritional status	73
5.2 Dysphagia	82
5.3 Association between dysphagia and nutrition risk	83
5.4 Summary	84
5.5 Limitations	84
5.6 Strengths	85
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	86
CHAPTER 7: APPENDICES	88

Appendix 1: Patient information sheet	88
Appendix 2a: Informed consent form	94
Appendix 2b: Informed consent form – Vulnerable participants	98
Appendix 3: Subject recruitment flyer	102
Appendix 4: Ethics documents (Health and Disabilities Ethics Committee and Waitemata DHB)	103
Appendix 5: Māori Research Review	108
Appendix 6: Questionnaire	110
Appendix 7: Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form (MNA®-SF)	117
Appendix 8: Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10)	118
Appendix 9: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	119
Appendix 10: Comorbidity examples and their relevant condition group	120
Appendix 11: Comorbidities according to participant identification number	122
Appendix 12: Study protocol	127
CHAPTER 8: REFERENCES	143

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Body mass index classification

Table 2: Questions in the EAT-10

Table 3: Participant characteristics

Table 4: Anthropometry and gender comparisons

Table 5: Demographic, health and social characteristics by level of ARRC status

Table 6: Frequency of comorbidity/disease occurrence

Table 7: Comorbidities and gender comparisons

Table 8: Regular prescribed medications and gender comparison

Table 9: Five most common regular prescribed medications and their possible side effects

Table 10: Dental status and gender comparisons

Table 11: Cognition (MoCA) and gender comparison

Table 12: MNA®-SF final score breakdown and gender comparison

Table 13: MNA®-SF questionnaire breakdown and gender comparison

Table 14: EAT-10 and gender comparison

Table 15: MNA®-SF final score and level of ARRC comparison

Table 16: MNA®-SF questionnaire breakdown and level of ARRC comparison

Table 17: Demographic, health and social characteristics by MNA®-SF nutrition risk status

Table 18: Nutrition status according to the MNA®-SF final score

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Age-sex population pyramids and projections, 1961 – 2061 (Statistics New Zealand, 2012)

Figure 2: Health loss in relation to leading condition groups measured by disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) (Ministry of Health, 2013b)

Figure 3: Key determinants of nutrition-related health (Ministry of Health, 2013a)

Figure 4: Study flow

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
ARRC	Age related residential care
AD	Alzheimer's Disease
ADL	Activity of daily living
ANSI	Australian Nutrition Screening Initiative
BMI	Body mass index
BMR	Basal metabolic rate
BPSD	Behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia
BRIGHT	Brief Risk Identification Geriatric Health Tool
CAD	Coronary artery disease
CC	Calf circumference
CHD	Coronary heart disease
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder

CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DALY	Disability-adjusted life year
DHB	District Health Board
DST	Dysphagia Self-Test
EAT-10	10-item Eating Assessment Tool
EAT-20	20-item Eating Assessment Tool
GDP	Gross domestic profit
GDS	Geriatric Depression Scale
GIT	Gastrointestinal tract
GORD	Gastro oesophageal reflux disease
GUSS	Gugging Swallowing Screen
HDEC	Health and Disability Ethics Committee
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases 10 th revision
IHD	Ischaemic heart disease
IU	International Units
MCI	Mild cognitive impairment
MIHL	Minimum income for healthy living
MMSE	Mini Mental State Examination
MNA®	Mini Nutritional Assessment®
MNA®-SF	Mini Nutritional Assessment®-Short Form

MoCA	Montreal Cognitive Assessment
MOW	Meals on Wheels
MST	Malnutrition Screening Tool
MUST	Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool
NASC	Needs Assessment Service Coordination
NCEA	National Certificate of Educational Achievement
NHI	National Health Index
NSTEMI	Non ST segment myocardial infarction
NZBD	New Zealand Burden of Disease, Injuries and Risk Factors Study
OD	Oropharyngeal dysphagia
OTC	Over-the-counter
PEM	Protein-energy malnutrition
ROC	Receiver operating characteristic
SCREEN II	Seniors in the Community: Risk Evaluation for Eating and Nutrition, Version II
SD	Standard deviation
SGA	Subjective Global Assessment
VFS	Videofluoroscopy
WHO	World Health Organization
WI	Weight index
y	years