

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**ATTRIBUTION - ITS APPLICATION TO JOB
SATISFACTION AND PROBLEM SOLVING**

A thesis completed in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Arts in Psychology at Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Helen Walker

1994

ABSTRACT

In the present study, unresolved issues associated with the meaning and measurement of causal attribution are addressed, and the implications for application to organisational behaviour are considered. Causal attributions, made by 233 New Zealand managers, about occupational success and failures, were measured with the Occupational Attributional Style Questionnaire (OASQ), (Furnham, Sadka and Brewin, 1992). Those attributions were examined in terms of their relationships to Problem Solving (as measured by the Social Problem Solving Inventory - Revised, D'Zurilla and Nezu, 1990) and Job Satisfaction, which was assessed with the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (Weiss, Dawis, England and Lofquist, 1967). As predicted, managers who had a more positive attributional style reported greater job satisfaction ($r = .22, p < .01$), and better problem solving attitudes ($r = .39, p < .001$) and problem solving skills ($r = .32, p < .001$). In accordance with the urging of Carver (1989), both a single score and the individual components of causal attribution were assessed in determining those relationships. It is concluded that a single score of attribution is both a superior predictor and at an appropriate level of abstraction. However, it is also concluded that the comparison between a single score and the components is necessary to enhance understanding. There is evidence that in naturalistic settings, the importance of an event to the individual may moderate relationships between attribution and other variables but the present study concludes that this is not the case in responding to measures such as the OASQ. It is concluded that causal attribution may be a useful construct in predicting organisational behaviour but refinement is required of its measure and its conceptual meaning. Implications for further research and theory development are noted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Judith Brook for her warmth, support and encouragement in this research.

My thanks to the many managers who made this study possible through their participation.

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Chapter One: Introduction</u>	1
Problem Solving.....	2
Job Satisfaction.....	6
Summary.....	9
<u>Chapter Two: Attribution - Meaning and Measurement.....</u>	11
Attribution - Its Meaning.....	11
Attribution - Its Measurement.....	20
<u>Chapter Three: Literature Review.....</u>	26
Concepts of Attribution.....	26
Attribution and Organisational Behaviour.....	28
Problem Solving and Attribution.....	31
Summary and Hypotheses.....	34
<u>Chapter Four: Method.....</u>	37
Sampling.....	37
Subjects.....	38
Instruments.....	39
Procedure.....	43
Data Analysis Strategy.....	44
<u>Chapter Five: Results.....</u>	47
Descriptive Statistics.....	47
Deriving a Single Score.....	49
Testing the Hypotheses.....	56
<u>Chapter Six: Discussion.....</u>	62
The Hypotheses.....	62
Issues Concerning the Meaning and Measurement of Attribution.....	66
Limitations of the Present Study.....	71
Application to Industrial Psychology.....	73
Conclusion.....	74

	Page
<u>References</u>	76
<u>Appendices:</u>	
Appendix A: Managerial Characteristic Survey Questionnaire.....	84
Appendix B: Managerial Characteristic Survey Letter Explaining Purpose of Study.....	101
Appendix C: Individualised Feedback.....	102

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	Comparison of Weiner's Theory and Abramson et al.'s Model	16
Table 2	Means, Standard Deviations, Alpha Coefficients and Range of SPSI-R and MSQ	47
Table 3	Means, Standard Deviations and Alpha Coefficient for Nine Attributional Scales	48
Table 4	Paired Sample <i>t</i> Tests for Attributions for Positive and Negative Events	49
Table 5	Correlations between Attributional Dimensions - Positive	50
Table 6	Regression Analysis of Attributional Dimensions on Job Satisfaction and Components of Problem Solving	51
Table 7	Multiple Regression Coefficients (Unstandardised) and Unique Variance of Attributional Dimensions on Job Satisfaction and Components of Problem Solving	52
Table 8	Factor Loading for Attributional Dimensions for Positive Events	54
Table 9	Factor Score Coefficient Matrix	55
Table 10	Correlations Between Factor Scores and Dependent Variables	56
Table 11	Correlations for Attributional Style Indices and the Dependent Variables (and Multiple R for Attributional Dimensions and the Dependent Variables)	57
Table 12	Correlations Between Attributional Dimensions, Job Satisfaction and Components of Problem Solving	58
Table 13	Mean Differences Between High and Low Importance Groups On Attributional Dimensions	59
Table 14	Correlations Between an Index of Attributional Style and the Dependent Variables on the Basis of Importance Groups	60