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Abstract

The measurement of service quality, using a two part customer questionnaire called SERVQUAL, has been described in the literature by a number of authors. The model itself, was developed from research conducted in the credit card, long distance telephone, banking, repair and maintenance service industries.

The model utilises a technique called disconfirmation which is a measure of the gap between similar components of the two questionnaires that the customers receive. For this project, it is a measure of the difference between the perception and the expectation of the service that elective surgical patients received at a provincial public hospital. i.e. Service Quality = perception - expectation.

The basis for this project has been the Tomes and Ng (1995) healthcare modification of the original SERVQUAL, which they used with some success, in a public hospital in England. The service quality was measured over a number of aspects of the service called dimensions. In this case there are eight dimensions, namely; Understanding of Illness, Relationship of Mutual Respect, Dignity, Empathy, Physical Environment, Food, Religious needs and Cultural needs. No evidence could be found of the application of the technique within the health sector in New Zealand.

This project has attempted to assess the usefulness of this disconfirmation based technique, as a measure service quality, from the patients point of view, in a provincial hospital in New Zealand.
DECLARATION

I declare that this research report is my own, unaided work. It is being submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy at Massey University. It has not been submitted before for any degree or examination in any other University.

Malcolm Rees
(Name of candidate)

20 day of December, 2000.
Acknowledgments

I wish to acknowledge my supervisor, Professor Don Barnes, Professor of Manufacturing and Quality systems, for his advice and guidance throughout this project.

I wish to acknowledge my second supervisor, Don Houston, Lecturer, Quality Management Systems, for his advice and guidance throughout this project.

I wish to thank my family; Lorraine, Nick and Tim for their support and patience whilst I have spent time undertaking this project.

I wish to thank my employers, The New Zealand Defence Force, for their pro-active support of my academic endeavours.
# Table of Contents

1. **INTRODUCTION** .................................................................................................................. 14

2. **SIGNIFICANT PRIOR RESEARCH** ....................................................................................... 16

   2.1 **HEALTH REFORMS IN NEW ZEALAND** ...................................................................... 16

   2.2 **WHAT IS QUALITY?** ................................................................................................. 21

   2.3 **BACKGROUND TO QUALITY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORKS WITHIN HEALTHCARE** .............................................................................................................. 21

      2.3.1 Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) within Healthcare ..................................... 23

      2.3.2 Total Quality Management (TQM) within Healthcare ............................................. 25

      2.3.3 Malcolm Baldrige Quality Programme for Healthcare 1999 .................................. 26

      2.3.4 Quality Systems In New Zealand Healthcare ........................................................ 27

      2.3.5 Safety Standard in New Zealand .............................................................................. 27

      2.3.6 Healthcare Customers/Stakeholders ........................................................................ 28

      2.3.7 Healthcare Service Provision ................................................................................... 31

      2.3.8 Measurement of Technical Quality within Healthcare ............................................ 35

      2.3.9 Measurement of Functional Quality ......................................................................... 36

   2.4 **SERVQUAL; THE BACKGROUND** ............................................................................ 40

      2.4.1 Gap 1: Customers’ Expectation - Management Perception Gap ............................ 40

      2.4.2 Gap 2: Management Perception - Service Quality Specification .......................... 40

      2.4.3 Gap 3: Service Quality Specification - Service Delivery Gap .................................. 42

      2.4.4 Gap 4: Service Delivery - External Communications Gap ...................................... 42

      2.4.5 Gap 5: Customers’ Expectations - Customers’ Perception Gap .............................. 42

      2.4.6 The Disconfirmation ................................................................................................. 43

      2.4.7 Importance of Dimensions ...................................................................................... 43

      2.4.8 Customer Satisfaction ............................................................................................. 44
5. RESEARCH RESULTS .......................................................... 65

5.1 RESPONSE RATES ......................................................... 65

5.2 INTER-QUARTILE RANGE AS A MEASURE OF SPREAD FROM THE MEAN ......... 66

5.3 MISSING DATA ............................................................. 69

5.4 QUESTION ORDER EFFECTS ............................................. 71

5.5 RESPONSE ORDER EFFECTS ............................................ 73

5.6 SCALE ANCHORING ....................................................... 74

5.7 THE SERVQUAL RESULTS ............................................... 75

5.7.1 Service Quality Dimensions Ranked by Importance .............................. 76

5.7.2 Weighted Mean Scores .................................................................. 77

5.7.3 Understanding of Illness Service Quality Dimension ............................ 79

5.7.4 Relationship of Mutual Respect Service Quality Dimension ................. 82

5.7.5 Dignity Service Quality Dimension ............................................ 86

5.7.6 Physical Environment Service Quality Dimension ............................. 89

5.7.7 Empathy Service Quality Dimension ......................................... 92

5.7.8 Tangibles, Food Service Quality Dimension .................................... 97

5.7.9 Cultural Needs Service Quality Dimension ................................... 101

5.7.10 Religious Needs Service Quality Dimension ................................ 103

5.8 FACTOR ANALYSIS .......................................................... 105

5.9 DEMOGRAPHIC RESULTS ................................................. 106

5.9.1 Gender by Age Distribution .................................................. 106

5.9.2 Gender by Age Importance Ratings ........................................... 108

5.9.3 Gender by Ethnic Identity and Length of Stay in Hospital .................... 112

5.9.4 Ethnic Responses .................................................................... 112

VII
7.1.2  Service Dimension Gap Conclusions................................................................. 148
7.1.3  Weighted Mean Scores......................................................................................... 151
7.2    STATISTICAL APPROACH ..................................................................................... 152
7.3    OPEN QUESTIONS ................................................................................................. 153
7.4    DEMOGRAPHIC RESULTS ..................................................................................... 154
  7.4.1  Results by Gender................................................................................................ 154
  7.4.2  Importance Ratings Higher for Females than for Males................................. 154
  7.4.3  Results of Ethnic Identity................................................................................. 155
  7.4.4  Results by Length of Stay in Hospital.............................................................. 155
7.5    QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN ................................................................................... 156
  7.5.1  Questionnaire Bias............................................................................................. 156
7.6    THE SAMPLE GROUP ............................................................................................ 156
7.7    PATIENT, HOSPITAL, HEALTH FUNDING AUTHORITY RELATIONSHIP .......... 157
  7.7.1  The Value of SERVQUAL.................................................................................. 157

8.    RECOMMENDATIONS................................................................................................. 158
  8.1    LONGITUDINAL APPLICATION OF THE SURVEY TOOL................................. 158
  8.2    THE APPROACH TO QUALITY IMPROVEMENT FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRE 158
    8.2.1  The Approach to Quality Improvement from the SERVQUAL Responses.. 158
    8.2.2  The Approach to Quality Improvement from the Weighted Mean Responses 159
    8.2.3  The Approach to Quality Improvement from the Open Ended Responses.... 159
  8.3    CONSTRUCT VALIDITY ......................................................................................... 160
  8.4    DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ......................................................................... 160
  8.5    QUESTIONNAIRE RE-DEVELOPMENT............................................................... 160
  8.6    PATIENT SATISFACTION APPROACHES............................................................ 161
8.7 PATIENT, HEALTH FUNDING AUTHORITY, HEALTH PROVIDER RELATIONSHIP...... 161
8.8 RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY .................................................................................. 161

9. REFERENCES ................................................................................................................. 162

10. BIBLIOGRAPHY .......................................................................................................... 174

10.1 QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN AND ANALYSIS ................................................................ 174
10.2 RESEARCH METHODS ............................................................................................... 174
10.3 COMPUTING ................................................................................................................ 174
10.4 THESIS FORMATTING ................................................................................................ 175
10.5 STATISTICS .................................................................................................................. 175

11. APPENDICIES ............................................................................................................. 176

11.1 APPENDIX 1 CUSTOMER SERVICE QUESTIONNAIRE PART 1.................................. 177
11.2 APPENDIX 2 CUSTOMER SERVICE QUESTIONNAIRE PART 2.................................. 181
11.3 APPENDIX 3 QUESTIONNAIRE INFORMATION SHEETS ........................................... 185
11.3.1 Handout Number One sent out with Questionnaire Part One .................................... 185
11.3.2 Handout Number Two which sent out with Questionnaire Part Two ......................... 186
11.3.3 Handout Number Three. Reminder for Part Two ....................................................... 187
11.3.4 Demographic Section of the Questionnaire ............................................................... 188
11.4 APPENDIX 4 ETHICS APPROVALS ............................................................................ 189
11.4.1 Massey University Ethics Committee ................................................................. 189
11.4.2 Approval from The Manawatu/ Wanganui Ethics Committee ................................... 190
11.5 APPENDIX 5 FACTOR ANALYSIS .............................................................................. 191
List of Figures

FIGURE 2-1 CREDENCE QUALITY CONTINUUM .......................................................... 32
FIGURE 2-2. MAJOR THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE’S ON QUALITY .............................. 33
FIGURE 2-3 THE HYPOTHETICAL STRUCTURE OF SUPPORT SERVICES .................... 34
FIGURE 2-4 A CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR SERVICE QUALITY .................................. 41
FIGURE 2-5 CUSTOMER SATISFACTION MODEL ...................................................... 45
FIGURE 2-6 THE CHRONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT OF A HEALTHCARE BASED SERVQUAL MODEL ........................................................................................................... 49
FIGURE 4-1 RESPONSE RATE CALCULATION .......................................................... 63
FIGURE 5-1. GAP SCORES WHERE INTER-QUARTILE RANGE WAS THREE OR GREATER .... 67
FIGURE 5-2 BEFORE RESPONSES FOR QUESTIONS WITH ZERO INTER-QUARTILE RANGES ... 68
FIGURE 5-3 QUESTIONS 20 AND 30 SHOWING THE MISSING DATA ............................ 69
FIGURE 5-4 DISTRIBUTION OF BEFORE SCORES FOR QUESTION 20 AND 30 .......................... 70
FIGURE 5-5 POSSIBLE QUESTION ORDER EFFECTS .................................................. 72
FIGURE 5-6 MEAN OF DIMENSIONS RANKED IN DESCENDING ORDER OF IMPORTANCE ...... 76
FIGURE 5-7 WEIGHTED MEAN FOR QUESTIONS WITH POSITIVE SCORES .................... 77
FIGURE 5-8 WEIGHTED MEAN FOR QUESTIONS WITH NEGATIVE SCORES .................... 77
FIGURE 5-9 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 1:
  UNDERSTANDING OF ILLNESS .................................................................................. 79
FIGURE 5-10 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 2:
  RELATIONSHIP OF MUTUAL RESPECT .................................................................. 82
FIGURE 5-11 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 3: DIGNITY .. 86
FIGURE 5-12 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 4: PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT ........................................................................................................... 89
FIGURE 5-13 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 5: EMPATHY. 92
FIGURE 5-14 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE DIMENSION 6: TANGIBLES;  

FOOD ........................................................................................................................................... 97

FIGURE 5-15 FOOD DIMENSION BY GENDER FOR AGE UNDER 60 YEARS ................................. 99

FIGURE 5-16 FOOD DIMENSION BY GENDER FOR AGE OVER 60 YEARS ................................. 99

FIGURE 5-17 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE OF DIMENSION 7: CULTURAL  
ASPECTS ........................................................................................................................................ 101

FIGURE 5-18 MEAN IMPORTANCE AND SERVQUAL SCORE FOR DIMENSION 8: RELIGIOUS  
NEEDS ......................................................................................................................................... 103

FIGURE 5-19 FREQUENCY OF MALE AND FEMALE RESPONDENTS BY AGE ......................... 107

FIGURE 5-20 QUESTIONS BY GENDER WITH LARGE DIFFERENCES IN IMPORTANCE ............ 108

FIGURE 5-21 LARGE IMPORTANCE GAP BY GENDER FOR AGE UNDER 60 YEARS ............... 109

FIGURE 5-22 LARGE IMPORTANCE GAP BY GENDER FOR AGE OVER 60 YEARS ................. 109

FIGURE 5-23 SERVQUAL SCORES WHERE IMPORTANCE RATING WAS DIFFERENT .......... 110

FIGURE 5-24 LENGTH OF STAY FOR TOTAL SAMPLE FRAME .................................................. 115

FIGURE 5-25 EMPATHY DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY .................................................... 118

FIGURE 5-26 MUTUAL RESPECT DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY .................................... 120

FIGURE 5-27 UNDERSTANDING OF ILLNESS DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY ............ 122

FIGURE 5-28 DIGNITY DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY ..................................................... 123

FIGURE 5-29 RELIGIOUS DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY ............................................... 125

FIGURE 5-30 TANGIBLES, FOOD BY LENGTH OF STAY ....................................................... 126

FIGURE 5-31 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY .................. 127

FIGURE 5-32 CULTURAL DIMENSION BY LENGTH OF STAY .................................................. 129
List of Tables

TABLE 1 UNDERSTANDING OF ILLNESS QUESTIONS .......................................................... 79
TABLE 2 MUTUAL RESPECT QUESTIONS ............................................................................. 82
TABLE 3 DIGNITY QUESTIONS ........................................................................................ 86
TABLE 4 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS ............................................................. 89
TABLE 5 EMPATHY QUESTIONS ......................................................................................... 92
TABLE 6 TANGIBLES, FOOD QUESTIONS ......................................................................... 97
TABLE 7 CULTURAL ASPECTS QUESTIONS ....................................................................... 101
TABLE 8 RELIGIOUS NEEDS QUESTIONS ......................................................................... 103
TABLE 9 GENDER BY AGE DISTRIBUTION ..................................................................... 106
TABLE 10 QUESTIONS WITH IMPORTANCE GENDER DIFFERENCES ................................. 110
TABLE 11 GENDER BY LENGTH OF STAY AND ETHNIC IDENTITY .................................. 112
TABLE 12 MAORI RESPONSES TO THE ETHNIC QUESTIONS .......................................... 113
TABLE 13 NO RESPONSE QUESTIONS FROM DAY STAY PATIENTS ................................. 116
TABLE 14 EMPATHY QUESTIONS ....................................................................................... 118
TABLE 15 MUTUAL RESPECT QUESTIONS ...................................................................... 120
TABLE 16 UNDERSTANDING OF ILLNESS QUESTIONS .................................................... 122
TABLE 17 DIGNITY QUESTIONS ......................................................................................... 123
TABLE 18 RELIGIOUS QUESTIONS ..................................................................................... 125
TABLE 19 TANGIBLES, FOOD QUESTION ......................................................................... 126
TABLE 20 PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT QUESTIONS ............................................................ 127
TABLE 21 CULTURAL QUESTIONS ..................................................................................... 129