

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Team Error in Air Traffic Control: recognition, detection and recovery.

ANNE RISHBETH ISAAC

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Master of Ergonomics
at Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

June 2002

Acknowledgements

No work of this nature is a solo effort. There are many people who have been willing to share their ideas and time in order for these studies to be a success. Firstly my discussions and continued debate with Steve Shorrocks, Mike O'Leary, James Reason and Rene Amalberti have been excellent in the development of my thinking. However without the support and encouragement of the air traffic controllers this work would never have been possible. For this I would like to thank my ATC friends in Australia and New Zealand, the controllers from Dutch Mil., Greg Hindson and all those who were such a vital technical support in the simulation studies.

I would also like to acknowledge the help given by the members of the Safety Group at ATC, the Netherlands, who when heavily involved in their own incident investigations were always happy to help me out in my work.

Thanks also to Pink, Emily and Floyd who after waiting so patiently may now be able to have some attention. However the biggest thanks goes to my husband, who gave me the space and support to pursue this work, the encouragement that I was doing something valuable but more importantly, as a controller, was my greatest critic.

Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
PREFACE	ix
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The air traffic control environment	1
1.2 Human error in the air traffic control system	3
1.3 The need for the present approach	4
1.4 The significance of the research	5
1.5 Hypotheses	5
CHAPTER 2. HUMAN ERROR	6
2.1 Review of human error	6
2.2 Human error in air traffic management	9
CHAPTER 3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS	14
3.1 Human error modelling	14
3.2 The present and future air traffic management context	23
3.2.1 Current air traffic management tasks, individual behaviour and equipment	23
3.2.2 Future air traffic management	24

3.3	An enhanced model of human information processing	25
CHAPTER 4. AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT TEAM ERROR CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORKS		30
4.1	Teams and teamwork	30
4.2	Team performance issues in air traffic management	34
4.2.1	Team dynamics	35
4.2.2	Working with other teams	37
4.3	Development of a team error model in air traffic management	38
4.3.1	Task analysis in air traffic control	39
4.3.2	Team tasks and processes analyses	42
CHAPTER 5. EXPERIMENTAL WORK		48
5.1	Study 1 – Analysis of human error in air traffic management incidents	48
5.1.1	The conceptual framework	49
5.1.2	Principle of the classification system	50
5.1.3	Extending the classification to include teamwork	52
5.1.4	Findings and implications	64
5.2	Study 2 – Teamwork and safety in air traffic management	64
5.2.1	Stability and reliability	66
5.2.2	Demographic statistics	67
5.2.3	Descriptive statistics	67
5.2.4	Results from the team and safety questionnaire	69
5.2.5	Findings and implications	72
5.3	Study 3 - Observation of team error in simulation	73
5.3.1	Errors in air traffic management	74
5.3.2	Observing errors in the air traffic management environment	75
5.3.3	Observation of human errors in air traffic control simulation	76
5.3.4	The first simulation exercise	76
5.3.5	The second simulation exercise	82

5.3.6 Findings and implications	93
CHAPTER 6. DISCUSSION	95
CHAPTER 7. CONCLUSIONS	99
7.1 Limitations of the study	101
7.2 Recommendations for future work	101
References	102
Appendices	119
Appendix A Graphical link between the human error approaches	120
B The human error in ATM (HERA) technique and example	122
C The Air Traffic Control Team Safety Questionnaire	142

List of Tables	Page
1.1 Functional positions and their cognitive activities in air traffic control	2
2.1 Summary of human error studies in air traffic management	10
3.1 Review of error taxonomies, models and classifications	15
3.2 Tasks, behaviour and equipment in ATM	23
4.1 Functional positions and their co-ordination activities in air traffic control	35
4.2 Core task processes and the relation to team members	43
5.1.1 Relation between error detail, error mechanism and information processing level	51
5.1.2 Summary of air traffic management incident cases	53
5.1.3 Identification of error or violation types within the air traffic management occurrences	54
5.1.4 Identification of the error mechanism within the error	56
5.1.5 Identification of the information processing failures within the error	57
5.1.6 Identification of the contextual conditions surrounding the errors	59
5.1.7 Key to the Joint Error Development of Incidents – JEDI	63
5.2.1 Breakdown of demographic data	67
5.2.2 Responses regarding section 1 of the ATCTSQ	68
5.2.3 Responses regarding section 2 of the ATCTSQ	70
5.3.1 Results of the errors observed	79
5.3.2 Summary of the error analyses	80
5.3.3 Summary of the initial observed data	84
5.3.4 Details of correct actions, expert judgement deviations and performance errors	89
5.3.5 Violations within the expert judgement deviation and performance error categories	90
5.3.6 Summary of the error analyses	91
5.3.7 Task categories of the errors and violations	92

List of Figures	Page
2.1 Situation – Control matrix	8
3.1 An enhanced model of human information processing	26
4.1 A model of teamwork	32
4.2 The inter-relations of air traffic control task processes	41
4.3 A new model of teamwork in air traffic control – Dynamic Sets	47
5.1.1 Spheres of influence of error detail, error mechanism, information processing levels and contextual conditions	52
5.1.2 The distribution of error/ violation types	55
5.1.3 The distribution of error mechanisms	57
5.1.4 The distribution of information processing levels	59
5.1.5 The distribution of contextual conditions	60
5.1.6 Joint Error Development of Incidents – JEDI	62
5.2.1 Basic concepts found in the Air Traffic Control Team Safety questionnaire	65
5.2.2 Graph indicating the results of the team safety questionnaire	70
5.3.1 The relationship between seriousness and frequency	74
5.3.2 The observation setting	78
5.3.3 Categories derived from the observations	85

ABSTRACT

'Accidents appear to be the result of highly complex coincidences which could rarely be foreseen by those involved ... accidents do not occur because people gamble and lose, they occur because people do not believe that the accident that is about to occur, is at all possible.'

Wagenaar and Groeneweg (1987)

The air traffic management system is considered a high reliability, low risk activity. It is also seen as inherently safe despite the tightly coupled nature of the activities involved. Tightly coupled systems are characterised by time-dependant processes in which planned and unplanned interactions occur quickly and are also associated with the co-ordination of activities which must occur in a pre-defined sequence adhering to a set of strict rules and procedures. They are also very precise and vulnerable to unexpected events in which the activities must be undertaken correctly or not at all. All these characteristics can be found in the air traffic management (ATM) system and in particular in their 'team related' activities. In these systems there is little opportunity to improvise when things go wrong, and recovery is very time dependant.

However there is almost no existing information about the types of error found in the ATM system and the recognition, detection and recovery from the errors made. Therefore in order to obtain information to better understand these issues, three distinct but complimentary studies were undertaken.

In the first study a technique to identify both the cognitive and contextual factors of erroneous behaviour was developed. Several incidents were analysed using this technique, revealing that planning and decision making was the most problematic cognitive area and team difficulties accounted for the majority of the contextual conditions associated with the errors. Results also indicated the importance of the relationship of all the members of the air traffic management team – including pilots – and their role in the detection, recovery and management of the situation. This resulted in the development of a new technique – *the Joint Error Detection of Incidents – JEDI* – to be used in the exploration of the chronology of occurrences.

In the second study controllers were questioned with regard to their attitudes towards error, safety and teamwork. Findings from this work suggested the majority of controllers were

aware of the team interaction issues, but were more concerned with their relationship with other teams, particularly in emergencies and unusual situations.

In the third, a study was undertaken to record the erroneous activities and outstanding events during ATM simulation sessions. The results of these activities revealed that rather than controllers making errors, they were able, in the majority of the cases, to assess the outstanding event in relation to their professional knowledge and experience. These events have been termed '*Expert Judgement Deviations*' and consist of behaviour which enable the system to continue in its tightly coupled structure. This study was also able to determine the differences between errors and violations and again indicated that errors were mostly attributable to failures in planning and decision making. This study also highlighted the importance of team issues but demonstrated that the team, as defined in the literature, was probably not a suitable concept in such an environment. From the insights gained in this research it was argued that, although the team was an important concept, the separation of aircraft undertaken by controllers was an individual activity. However it was also realised that without the co-operation and co-ordination between controllers the overall goals of safety would be compromised. Therefore the term '*dynamic sets*' was introduced and used to describe the majority of the controllers work in the Air Traffic Management system.

PREFACE

The initial focus for this work evolved from two major European air traffic management projects under the auspices of the Eurocontrol EATCHIP and EATMP programmes. Within these projects I was the technical manager and leading research scientist. However despite their ground breaking work within the air traffic management system; Team Resource Management is now used throughout Europe, in Australia and Japan and the Human Error in ATM retrospective approach is used throughout Europe and the United States of America, there has been no effort to include the team and group dynamics within human error recognition, detection and recovery. It is for this reason that these issues are now tackled within this thesis. Initially the issues with regard to the present and future air traffic management system are discussed and the problems of changing systems, including new technology and procedures, are explored. This leads to the observation that the 'team' within this environment has never had a more important role in terms of the errors which are generated, and more importantly those errors which are recognised and managed. A detailed exploration of the team and the role it plays within the air traffic control environment reveals

there exists a well developed ability to deviate from accepted practice and that the team is capable of not only exacerbating critical events but also recovering from these potential threats to safety.

As a result of the earlier phases of this work the following articles have been either published or accepted for publication. The first two papers relate to the early developments regarding the human error identification within air traffic management incident analysis. The third refers to the work undertaken in the analyses of air traffic incidents and the last is associated with the work undertaken in the simulated air traffic control environment.

- Isaac, A.R., Shorrock, S.T. and Kirwan, B. (2002) Human Error in European Air Traffic Management: the HERA project. *Reliability Engineering and Safety Science* 75 (2) : 257-272
- Pounds, J and Isaac, A.R. (2002) Development of an FAA-EUROCONTROL approach to the analysis of human error in ATM. Report N0. DOT/FAA/AM/02 (in press).
- Isaac, A.R. (2002) Protecting the ATM system from human error: the JANUS approach. *Proceedings of the fifth AAPs symposium*. Ashgate:Aldershot (in press).
- Isaac, A.R. (2002) Human Error in Air Traffic Management: deviation or deviance? In P.T. McCabe (Ed) *Contemporary Ergonomics 2002* (in press).