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ABSTRACT

Under the Children, Young Persons, and Their Families Act, 1989, a young person becomes an adult at seventeen. Young people in the statutory custody of the Chief Executive of Child, Youth and Family are automatically discharged on their seventeenth birthday.

The implications of mandatory discharge of custody at seventeen are unresearched in this country. Extensive studies overseas show that foster care adolescents tend to be unprepared for independent living, and that their transition to adult living most often requires, but does not receive, a major input of funding, services and support.

This study examines the nature, experiences and needs of adolescents encountering mandatory discharge in Aotearoa/New Zealand. It develops the understanding, through a theoretical framework which includes attachment, identity and ecological theories, that what happens before and throughout time in care affects individual readiness for discharge; and that preparation for discharge, coupled with a young person’s unique ability to respond to preparation, affects the ability to manage independently after care.

Analysing perceptions of the ‘child as a cost’, the study considers the economic and political environment in which discharge from care is effected in this country. The study reveals a system of care that provides accommodation for, and, at times, treats the adolescent, but which generally places insufficient priority on preparation for discharge and independent living after care.

The study challenges the appropriateness of a chronologically determined definition of adulthood in the light of a population of young people who have major life skill deficiencies, who are sorely in need of ongoing intervention to enhance and increase social competence, and who, rather than ‘achieving’ independence, simply ‘age out’ of care, their dependency needs transferring to other social agencies. The thesis concludes with recommendations for policy, social work, and further research.
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TITLES AND DESIGNATIONS

The Department of Social Welfare has undergone significant restructuring and name change over the past decade. On 1st May 1992 the New Zealand Children and Young Persons Service was established as one of three business units within the corporate body of the Department of Social Welfare. In July 1996 the Service was renamed the Children, Young Persons and Their Families Service, in line with the Children, Young Persons and Their Families 1989 legislation.

Following an amalgamation of the Children, Young Persons and Their Families Service and the New Zealand Community Funding Agency on 1st January 1999, the Children and Young Persons and Their Families Agency was formed. On 1st October 1999 the agency separated from the Department of Social Welfare to form the Department of Child, Youth and Family Services, commonly known as Child, Youth and Family. Until then guardianship and custody of children in statutory care had been vested with the Director-General of Social Welfare. With the establishment of Child, Youth and Family this responsibility transferred to the Chief Executive of Child, Youth and Family.

The young people whose circumstances are examined in this study were all under the custody and/or sole guardianship of the Director-General of Social Welfare at a time when the Department was known as the Children, Young Persons and Their Families Service. The Department has had three name changes during the life of the study, however, and some licence is therefore necessary in order to provide continuity and clarity to the thesis.

The contemporary terms of Chief Executive, Child Youth and Family (CECYF) and Child Youth and Family (CYF) are used throughout the thesis, irrespective of former titles. The references and citations in the thesis are the original designations and titles.
PREFACE

The Researcher

I was born in Invercargill in 1952, the second child of four. My family is Pakeha, of working class origin. I was raised for the first six years of my life in an extended family, with my parents and my mother's unmarried adult siblings providing strong nurturing and security. My upbringing was fairly typical of the times. My father worked at two jobs for much of my childhood and my mother was home-based as the primary caregiver. I attended a local school until I was seven, and then travelled each day from our suburb into the city to receive a Catholic education.

I survived the humdrum of my first job with the Invercargill Inland Revenue Department by involving myself outside office hours with the telephone counselling service, Youthline. After eight years I left Inland Revenue to take up a Bridge travel scholarship which became available to me through my Youthline involvement. I lived on an Israeli kibbutz for six months, then travelled through Europe, Scandinavia and the Eastern Bloc countries. In 1979 I returned to New Zealand, did voluntary social work at Catholic Social Services in Dunedin, and began extra-mural study in the Massey Bachelor of Social Work programme.

In 1980 I joined the Department of Social Welfare in Invercargill as a generic social worker. At that time the Department was introducing a Planning For Children programme to eliminate 'drift' for children in statutory foster care. Invercargill was selected as a pilot office for this new initiative. The induction that I received into foster care policy and practice was exceptionally well focused, thorough and relevant.

This factor, coupled with the professional development and ongoing mentoring that I was fortunate to receive from my supervisor in the first five years of my practice, and the learning that I had, and continue to have, from the children, young people, birth families and foster families that I encounter, has created in me an enduring commitment to the well-being of children in care. It springs from a belief that having been a part of a process which effects a child's coming into care, I have ethical and professional responsibilities to ensure that the child's total well-being is enhanced, rather than stunted, by the care experience.
This commitment is linked to an equally strong sense of accountability. For two decades I have been based in the same office, my home area, the community in which I was born, raised, educated and continue to live. The on-going ramifications of the decisions I contribute to in work hours continue to manifest themselves in even the most mundane of my out-of-work activities.

Often I encounter former foster children, their families, or their caregivers, who want to talk about how the Department impacted on their lives. In particular the children, now adults, ask about their care history, their birth or foster family connections and circumstances, or about earlier decisions or reasons for the Department's involvement in their lives. Some simply still feel the need to tell someone from the Department what or how they are doing. By far the most disturbing contacts are from those who had no words as children for their foster care experiences, but who return as adults to tell me what was 'done' to them whilst they were 'in care'.

All these experiences underpin my preoccupation with foster care. I continue to work with foster children and their birth and foster families, currently as a Practice Consultant. Many aspects of foster care practice continue to challenge me. Not least is the concern I feel at the relative 'invisibility' of foster children, and their 'ageing out' of statutory custody at seventeen years of age, often with very little ability to operate independently. This thesis emerges from an increasing sense of unease about the wisdom of mandatory discharge, and a genuine interest in exploring the readiness of foster adolescents in this country to embark on what is essentially compulsory independence.

---

1 Term coined by Jane Aldgate (1994:194).
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