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Abstract

Post the global financial crisis there is a need for organisations to extract more value and productivity gains through innovation, supplier availability and efficiency. Key supplier value characteristics and associated supplier relationship management are important parts of supply chain management. In fact effective supplier relationship management and improving qualitative and quantitative levels of supplier measurement could be a competitive advantage of every company (Cusumano, 1991). Understanding Value from key supplier is not a new concept however customer of choice (Bew R., May 2007) is a relatively new concept which has superseded traditional views on key supplier management (Ming-Huei & Wen-Chiung, 2011) and is limited in its insights due to immaturity. The purpose of this study is to extend the knowledge not only in New Zealand and Australia Public Sector but other jurisdictions in the field of supplier value. This Thesis focuses on determining value priorities for public sector procurement. The research design is a quantitative study through survey using a control value set determined from pilot workshops in both jurisdictions. The delivery of a Value Characteristics Model (VCM) for the Public Sector extends the current body of knowledge. The framework developed can be used to determine the value priorities of a key supplier for the public sector.
Acknowledgements

I would like to offer my sincere thanks to all those who have helped me during my research and study into the topic of value.

To my supervisor, Professor Paul Childerhouse always an inspiration and has put me right on so many times teaching me how to move from my commercial bias back into academia.

A special acknowledgement is due to the following people who without their support this research would not have even past first base;

- The workshop and input provided by Procurement Functional Leadership Group Public Sector New Zealand.
- In Australia Paul Dobing Executive Director, NSW Procurement.
- The NSW Government Procurement Leadership Group for their thought leadership during their workshop.

To all my colleagues and friends when I couldn’t see the wood for the trees; you helped me get back to gaining a full understating of basic statistics after 30 years or so away from some of these concepts a big thank you.

All respondents of the survey without your feedback none of this would have been possible.

A special thanks to my wife Fiona who has supported me through may hours and late nights reading literature, investigating and preparing proposals, developing models and surveys and analysing the data received.

And to Clare Archer who spent her valuable time taking me through the nuances of the IBM SPSS statistics program.

Finally, I acknowledge the research approval obtained from the Massey University Ethics Committee on 26th February 2014. In doing so I make the following statement:

“This project has been evaluated by peer review and judged to be low risk. Consequently, it has not been reviewed by one of the University’s Human Ethics Committees. The researcher named above is responsible for the ethical conduct of this research”.

If you have any concerns about the conduct of this research that you wish to raise with someone other than the researcher, please contact Professor John O’Neill, Director (Research Ethics), telephone 06 350 5249, email: humanethics@massey.ac.nz.
# Table of Contents

Abstract ........................................................................................................................................ iii

List of Tables ................................................................................................................................ viii

List of Figures ............................................................................................................................. ix

1.1 Introduction .......................................................................................................................... 1

1.1.1 Chapter introduction ..................................................................................................... 1

1.2 The Public Sector of New Zealand and New South Wales .............................................. 1

1.3 Research Aim .................................................................................................................... 1

1.4 Scope of Research .............................................................................................................. 3

1.5 Significance of Research ................................................................................................... 3

1.6 Research Questions .......................................................................................................... 5

1.7 Thesis Outline ................................................................................................................... 6

Chapter 2: Literature Review ..................................................................................................... 7

2.1 Introduction ........................................................................................................................ 7

2.2 Definition of Key Supplier (Customer of Choice) .............................................................. 7

2.3 Customer of Choice and Key Account Management .......................................................... 8

2.4 Economic Benefit = Value ............................................................................................... 11

2.5 Relationship Value Creation - Supplier and Buyer Dyad ................................................ 13

2.6 Identifying Key Suppliers ................................................................................................. 18

2.7 Public Procurement ......................................................................................................... 19

2.8 Customer Value .............................................................................................................. 20

2.9 Literature Summary ......................................................................................................... 21

Chapter 3: Methodology .......................................................................................................... 23

3.1 Introduction ........................................................................................................................ 23

3.2 Research Objectives and Supporting Hypothesis ............................................................. 24

3.3 Research Philosophy ......................................................................................................... 25

3.3.1 Epistemological .......................................................................................................... 25

3.3.2 Ontological ................................................................................................................ 26

3.4 Research Design – Quantitative v Qualitative & Mixed methods ..................................... 27

3.4.1 Research Philosophy .................................................................................................. 27

3.4.2 Quantitative Research ............................................................................................... 27

3.4.3 Qualitative Research ................................................................................................. 28

3.4.4 Mixed Method Research ........................................................................................... 28

3.5 Research Methods ............................................................................................................ 29
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.5.1 Selection of Research Methodology</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.2 Review of selected research methodology</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Research questions</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7 Data Collection &amp; Problems</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8 Data Analysis &amp; methods</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9 Research Process</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Ethical Considerations</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.1 Participants</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.2 Researchers</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.3 Institutions-Communities-Groups</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10.4 Massey University</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11 Summary Methodologies</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 4: Stage 1 Inductive Research Analysis and Findings</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Introduction</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Public Sector Background and Context</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Workshops (Pilots)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Analysis</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5 Summary and Conclusion</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 5: Stage 2 Quantitative Research Analysis and Findings</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Introduction</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Data Transformation (coding)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 Descriptive Statistics</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.1 Respondents Profile</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4 Data &amp; Statistical Analysis</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.1 Hypothesis 1: The value characteristics can be selected by the frequency of choice</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.2 Hypothesis 2: The value characteristics in the Public Sector can be ranked in order of priority</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 3: The country of origin will influence the priority ranking of the value characteristics</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.3 Hypothesis 4: The length of time a person works in procurement will determine the value characteristic priority</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.4 Hypothesis 5: If value characteristics are ranked, then they can be clustered into common value characteristic sets determining homogeneity</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5 Summary</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter 6: Discussion and Recommendations</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.1 Introduction ............................................................................................................................................ 72
6.2 Question 1 – The Type of Procurement your Key Suppliers deliver .................................................. 73
6.3 Question 2 – From the control list of value characteristics – respondents required to tick most appropriate .................................................................................................................................................. 74
6.4 Question 3 – Rank in order of priority 1-10 with 1 being most important ............................................. 78
6.5 Question 6 – Time in Procurement –Number of years ........................................................................... 79
6.6 Priorities Change by Country .................................................................................................................. 82
6.7 Clustered Common Values of Value Priorities .................................................................................... 83
6.8 Summary .................................................................................................................................................. 86
Chapter 7: Conclusion and Further Research ............................................................................................... 88

7.1 Obtain a control set of characteristics that determine value from key suppliers in the New Zealand and New South Wales Public Sector ............................................................................................................. 88
7.2 Rank the control set in order of significance ......................................................................................... 89
7.3 Determine the appropriate value characteristics from a key supplier ................................................ 89
7.4 Determine the value priorities for public sector procurement ............................................................... 90
7.5 How do these priorities change by country? ......................................................................................... 90
7.6 Determine how they are influenced by experience .............................................................................. 90
7.7 Obtain a common set of value characteristics for NZ and NSW by grouping into clusters .......... 91
7.8 Links between previous studies and objectives ................................................................................ 91
7.9 Research limitations ............................................................................................................................. 93
7.10 Summary ............................................................................................................................................... 94

References ................................................................................................................................................... 96

Appendix 1 Summary of Literature Values review ...................................................................................... 100
Appendix 2 Questionnaire Template and Letters ....................................................................................... 101
    New South Wales Letter ......................................................................................................................... 105
    All of Government New Zealand Letter ................................................................................................. 106
Appendix 3 Inductive Workshop (Pilot) Phase 1 Results ............................................................................ 107
Appendix 4 Inductive Workshop Phase 2 ................................................................................................... 108
Appendix 5 Data coding .............................................................................................................................. 109
Appendix 6 Data Analysis Results ............................................................................................................ 111
List of Tables

Table 1: Key Supplier Definitions/Key Factors ............................................................................. 7
Table 2: Operational Definition of Relationship Value Dimension ............................................. 16
Table 3: Exploratory Factor Analysis ............................................................................................. 17
Table 5: Epistemology (Bryman & Bell, 2011) ............................................................................. 25
Table 6: Ontology (Bryman & Bell, 2011) .................................................................................... 26
Table 7: Authors Philosophical approach and method ................................................................. 27
Table 8: Comparison Quantitative v Qualitative (Bryman & Bell, 2011) ..................................... 28
Table 9: Comparison Quantitative v Qualitative (Gander preference) (Nueman 2006) ............ 29
Table 10: Synthesis of Research Method ...................................................................................... 30
Table 11: Methods, Strengths, Weaknesses and Mitigation options .......................................... 34
Table 12: NZ Public Sector ........................................................................................................... 42
Table 13: NSW Public Sector ........................................................................................................ 43
Table 14: NSW Procurement Working Group Pilot Outcome ...................................................... 46
Table 15: NZ Procurement Functional Leadership Group Pilot Outcome ................................ 47
Table 16: NZ Stated Owner Enterprise Procurement Team Outcome ......................................... 47
Table 17: The 26 Questionnaire Control Value Characteristics .................................................. 49
Table 18: The additional coding added to the output of questionnaire ...................................... 50
Table 19: Population and Responses from Survey .................................................................... 51
Table 20: Years in Procurement as a Practitioner ...................................................................... 51
Table 21: Type of Procurement per Jurisdiction ...................................................................... 52
Table 22: Selected Value characteristics % frequency by Rank (NZ) ........................................ 53
Table 23: Anova NZ & NSW ......................................................................................................... 55
Table 24: Homogeneity of Variance ............................................................................................ 56
Table 25: Robust Tests of Equality means .................................................................................. 58
Table 26: Anova NZ & NSW Value Priorities ............................................................................. 59
Table 27: Kruskal Wallis Test Hypothesis 2 ................................................................................ 60
Table 28: Descriptive Statistics Manova Hypothesis 3 ............................................................... 61
Table 29: Multivariate tests Hypothesis 3 ................................................................................... 62
Table 30: Levene’s test Homogeneity Hypothesis 3 .................................................................. 62
Table 31: Separate ANOVA’s between subjects and effects for Hypothesis 3 ........................... 63
Table 32: Factors and Number of respondents ......................................................................... 64
Table 33: Factors and Number of respondent’s descriptive statistics ...................................... 64
Table 34: Multivariate tests Hypothesis 4 .................................................................................. 65
Table 35: Levene’s test homogeneity Hypothesis 4 .................................................................. 66
Table 36: Separate ANOVA’s between subjects effects for Hypothesis 4 ............................... 67
Table 37: Simple correlation matrix off the diagonal ................................................................. 68
Table 38: Rotated Component matrix value >0.3 ........................................................................ 70
Table 39: KMO & Bartlett’s test .................................................................................................. 70
Table 40: Rotated Component Matrix, 8 Components ............................................................... 71
Table 41: Rotated Component Matrix, 4 Components ............................................................... 71
Table 44: Factors & number of respondents 3 categories .......................................................... 80
Table 45: Multivariate tests 3 categories .................................................................................... 80
Table 46: Levene’s test of homogeneity ...................................................................................... 81
Table 48: 6 Factor Rotated Component Matrix ................................................................. 83
Table 49: 6 Factor Reduced Values -Rotated Component Matrix ...................................... 84
Table 50: Value Characteristics ......................................................................................... 85
Table 51: Links between previous research and objectives .................................................. 93

List of Figures

Figure 1 & 2 Kraljic 4 Box matrix ....................................................................................... 8
Figure 3 17 item five factor measure .................................................................................. 9
Figure 4 Porters Value Chain ............................................................................................... 11
Figure 5 Supplier Preferencing Model (Steele & Court, 2000) ............................................. 12
Figure 6 (Catarina & Carlos) Frame work for analysis ....................................................... 15
Figure 7 Strategic Value Model using AHP ....................................................................... 18
Figure 8 Wicks high level framework model ..................................................................... 19
Figure 9 Conceptual research model .................................................................................. 22
Figure 10 Literature review through conceptual research model ....................................... 23
Figure 11 main steps in this quantitative research .............................................................. 31
Figure 12 Overview of the stages of data analysis ............................................................... 34
Figure 13 Neuman 2006 Hypothesis to measure .............................................................. 35
Figure 14 Zikmund et al 2010 Statistical choice .................................................................. 36
Figure 15 DCR Framework ................................................................................................. 37
Figure 16 Research Process ............................................................................................... 38
Figure 17 Research & Pilot Process .................................................................................... 39
Figure 18 NSW reform strategic directions ....................................................................... 44
Figure 19 Pie Chart Length of time working as a procurement practitioner ....................... 52
Figure 20 Pie Chart Type of Procurement by Jurisdiction .................................................. 52
Figure 21 Histogram selected value characteristics % frequency ....................................... 54
Figure 22 Scree Plot for 10 Components (PCA) .................................................................. 69
Figure 23 2014 Corruption Perception Index .................................................................... 75
Figure 24 Mapped Concept model with findings ............................................................... 86
Figure 25 Value Characteristics Model (VCM) ................................................................ 87
Figure 26 Concept Model with limitations ....................................................................... 94