

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Investigating the Transport and Fate of Nitrogen from Farms to River in the Lower Rangitikei Catchment

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Earth Science

at Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand



**MASSEY
UNIVERSITY**

Stephen Brian Collins

2015

Abstract

A sound understanding of the transport and fate of leached nitrate-nitrogen (NO_3^- -N) in shallow groundwater is key to understanding the impacts of land use intensification on the quality of groundwater and surface water bodies. However, these are not well understood in the Lower Rangitikei catchment. This study was undertaken to assess the groundwater flow pattern and its interactions with the Rangitikei River; the redox conditions of the groundwater; and the extent of NO_3^- -N attenuation in shallow groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment.

Groundwater depths were collected from more than 100 wells to map the piezometric surface to inform the groundwater flow pattern within the study area. Groundwater interactions with the Rangitikei River were estimated qualitatively from two longitudinal river flow and water quality surveys (on 6th and 20th January 2015) under low-flow conditions. Fifteen wells were sampled and analysed in the study area during December 2014 to characterise the groundwater redox condition. A total of nine piezometers were installed at a range of depths (3 m and 6 m) on two dairy farms (sand country and river terrace) and one cropping farm (sand country). In these piezometers, NO_3^- -N, dissolved oxygen (DO) and other parameters were monitored over March, April and May 2015. Single-well push-pull tests were used to measure NO_3^- -N attenuation in shallow groundwater during May 2015.

Groundwater flow was largely influenced by the regional topography, particularly shallow groundwater (<30 m), where it flows from elevated areas such as Marton in a southerly direction towards the Rangitikei River. The longitudinal river flow and water quality surveys revealed a dynamic relationship between the river and the underlying aquifer. The surveys suggested groundwater discharges into the river both upstream and downstream of Bulls. The groundwater redox characterisation showed generally anoxic/reduced groundwater across the lower Rangitikei catchment area. Groundwater typically has a low DO concentration (<1 mg/L) with elevated levels of available electron donors, particularly dissolved organic carbon and Fe^{2+} . These groundwater characteristics provide for generally favourable conditions for NO_3^- -N reduction. Monitoring at the installed piezometers

showed a generally low NO_3^- -N concentration at these sites. The push-pull tests revealed NO_3^- -N reduction occurring at all three sites, with the rate of reduction varying between $0.04 \text{ mg N L}^{-1} \text{ hr}^{-1}$ to $1.57 \text{ mg N L}^{-1} \text{ hr}^{-1}$.

These results suggest that groundwater is likely to be connected with the Lower Rangitikei River. However, NO_3^- -N concentrations in the river and groundwater were generally low, especially for the river at low flows. This suggests NO_3^- -N may be undergoing reduction within shallow groundwater before it has a chance to seep into the river. Further evidence for appreciable levels of NO_3^- -N reduction in the shallow groundwater is provided by the redox characterisation of reduced groundwater and the push-pull tests. However, more spatial and temporal surveys and *in-situ* measurements of denitrification occurrence in the shallow groundwater of the study area are required.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the help and efforts of many people who have made this research possible.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors Dr Ranvir Singh and Dr Alan Palmer for not only providing me with this research topic, but also for their guidance and intellectual input.

I would also like to thank Horizons Regional Council, and in particular Ms Abby Matthews and Dr Jon Roygard, whose generous support with field surveys and related expenses, and resource consent applications, has made this work so much easier.

Thanks also go to the many land owners who have allowed me access to their properties and those who allowed me to install piezometers on their properties. Also to Denis Hocking who made himself available to show me the local sand country geomorphology.

To my colleague Aldrin Rivas who helped me with so many of the finer details of this study, as well as the many lab hours that he contributed to. I will also thank other Massey students and staff including David Feek, Ahmed Elwan and Andrew Neverman for their assistance in the field.

I would also like to thank Massey University for the generous Masterate Scholarship provided to me, as well as the Helen E Akers, Hurley Fraser and DG Bowler Postgraduate Scholarships that were awarded to me.

Finally, I would like to thank my family, friends and office colleagues who not only encouraged me, but who made this experience so enjoyable.

Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Abstract..... | i |
| Acknowledgements..... | iii |
| List of Figures | vi |
| List of Tables | viii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Study Objectives..... | 2 |
| 1.3 Thesis Outline..... | 2 |
| 2 Literature Review..... | 4 |
| 2.1 Groundwater – Surface Water Interactions..... | 4 |
| 2.2 Sources and Consequences of Nitrate in Groundwater | 9 |
| 2.3 Nitrate Attenuation via Denitrification in Groundwater | 14 |
| 2.4 Previous Studies on the Transport and Transformation of Nitrate in New Zealand Agricultural Catchments..... | 18 |
| 2.5 Conclusions..... | 20 |
| 3 The Study Area – Lower Rangitikei Catchment | 21 |
| 3.1 Climate and Land Use..... | 21 |
| 3.2 Soils | 23 |
| 3.3 Geology | 27 |
| 3.3.1 Wanganui Basin Development..... | 27 |
| 3.3.2 Main Geological Units..... | 30 |
| 3.4 Well Log Interpretation | 35 |
| 3.5 Conclusions..... | 43 |
| 4 Groundwater Flow and its Interactions with Surface Water..... | 45 |
| 4.1 Introduction and Objectives..... | 45 |
| 4.2 Methods and Materials..... | 45 |
| 4.2.1 Groundwater Level Survey..... | 45 |
| 4.2.2 Groundwater – Surface Water Interactions | 48 |
| 4.3 Results and Discussion | 52 |
| 4.3.1 Groundwater Flow Direction | 52 |

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4.3.2 | Groundwater – Surface Water Interactions | 56 |
| 4.3.3 | River Water Quality..... | 59 |
| 4.4 | Conclusions..... | 62 |
| 5 | Groundwater Chemistry and Redox Conditions | 63 |
| 5.1 | Introduction and Objectives..... | 63 |
| 5.2 | Methods and Materials | 63 |
| 5.3 | Results and Discussion | 65 |
| 5.3.1 | Groundwater Chemistry | 65 |
| 5.3.2 | Hydrochemical Facies and Groundwater Classification | 76 |
| 5.3.3 | Groundwater Redox Conditions | 80 |
| 5.4 | Conclusions..... | 85 |
| 6 | Measuring Shallow Groundwater Denitrification..... | 86 |
| 6.1 | Introduction and Objectives..... | 86 |
| 6.2 | Methods and Materials | 86 |
| 6.2.1 | Site Selection and Piezometer Installation | 86 |
| 6.2.2 | Shallow Groundwater Monitoring..... | 90 |
| 6.2.3 | Push-Pull Tests | 90 |
| 6.2.4 | Analytical Methods | 92 |
| 6.3 | Results and Discussion | 93 |
| 6.3.1 | Shallow Groundwater Chemistry and Redox Conditions | 93 |
| 6.3.2 | Shallow Groundwater Denitrification | 97 |
| 6.4 | Conclusions..... | 104 |
| 7 | Summary and Conclusions..... | 106 |
| | References | 113 |
| | Appendix: Well Log Descriptions | 122 |

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: The study area shaded green is the coastal Groundwater Management Zone of the Rangitikei River, approximately 850 km ² | 3 |
| Figure 2: Components of total catchment runoff contributing to streamflow. In (a) the directions of overland flow, interflow and baseflow are shown; and (b) the river hydrograph from a rainfall event (Hiscock & Bense, 2005)..... | 5 |
| Figure 3: Stream-aquifer interactions: (a) gaining stream; (b) losing stream; (c) losing stream; (d) flow-through stream (Hantush et al., 2011). | 6 |
| Figure 4: Low flow survey of the Rangitikei River on 25 March 2003 (Roygard & Carlyon, 2004). | 7 |
| Figure 5: Gaining stream (A) where groundwater seeps to surface water and a losing stream (B) where surface water leaks to groundwater. Where contour lines point in the upstream direction (C) the river is gaining, and where contour lines point downstream it is losing (Winter et al., 1998). | 8 |
| Figure 6: Schematic diagram of the entire N-cycle including N-fixation, ammonification, nitrification, and denitrification (van der Perk, 2012). | 10 |
| Figure 7: Thermodynamic sequence of electron acceptors for oxidation of organic carbon in the saturated zone (Rivett et al., 2008)..... | 15 |
| Figure 8: Average air temperatures 1971-2000 recorded at Ohakea Aerodrome (NIWA, 2015)..... | 22 |
| Figure 9: Average monthly potential evapotranspiration for 1954-1990, and average monthly rainfall 1981-2010 recorded at Ohakea Aerodrome (NIWA, 2015)..... | 23 |
| Figure 10: Soils of the Coastal Rangitikei as characterised by Cowie et al. (1967). Joint names are soil associations where soils exist in a topographically repeating and predictable pattern (McLaren & Cameron, 1994)..... | 24 |
| Figure 11: Relation of soil series to topography of the river flats (Cowie et al., 1967). | 26 |
| Figure 12: Locality map and geological setting of the Wanganui Basin (Carter et al., 1999). | 28 |
| Figure 13: Fold axes of the Manawatu anticlines overlaid on an Enhanced Thematic Mapper satellite image of the Wanganui Basin (Jackson, et al., 1998). | 30 |
| Figure 14: Simplified geological map showing the Q2 (Ohakean) and Q1 (Holocene) deposits with regional fault lines shown with their sense of direction. Well logs shown in blue are used to create subsequent cross sections while red ones were previously used for carbon dating (Figure 19). The nickpoint is the estimated former extent of Ohakean deposits which were subsequently eroded or covered by later deposits. | 36 |
| Figure 15: Cross Section A-B Santoft to Bulls with interpreted locations of various gravel units and fault lines. Orange units are reworked gravels; green units are Ohakean or later gravels. F = fault; U = up; D = down. Well legends can be seen in the Appendix. | 37 |
| Figure 16: Cross Section C-B Scott's Ferry to Bulls with interpreted locations of various gravel units and fault lines. Orange units are reworked gravels; green units are Ohakean or later gravels. F = fault; U = up; D = down. Well legends can be seen in the Appendix..... | 38 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 17: Cross Section A-C Santoft to Scott's Ferry with interpreted locations of various gravel units and fault lines. Orange units are reworked gravels; green units are Ohakean or later gravels. F = fault; U = up; D = down. Well legends can be seen in the Appendix..... | 39 |
| Figure 18: Ohakean gravels outcropping near 322041. A centre pivot irrigator can be seen in the background. | 41 |
| Figure 19: Cross section through the Holocene marine wedge south of Tangimoana (Clement, 2011 after Shepherd et al., 1986). Shells from T1 – T5 were used for radiocarbon dating and their locations are shown in Figure 14. | 44 |
| Figure 20: Location and depth of wells used in piezometric survey..... | 47 |
| Figure 21: Sites used for longitudinal river flow and water quality survey, 6 January 2015. | 50 |
| Figure 22: Sites used for longitudinal river flow and water quality survey, 20 January 2015. | 51 |
| Figure 23: Shallow groundwater flow from wells screened less than 30 m deep. Contours are masl. | 53 |
| Figure 24: Deep groundwater flow from wells screened greater than 30 m deep. Contours are masl. | 54 |
| Figure 25: Total oxidised nitrogen (mg/L) at the Onepuhi site..... | 60 |
| Figure 26: Total oxidised nitrogen (mg/L) at the Bulls Bridge site..... | 61 |
| Figure 27: Total oxidised nitrogen (mg/L) at the McKelvies site. | 61 |
| Figure 28: Location of groundwater sampling sites in the Lower Rangitikei catchment area, December 2014. . | 64 |
| Figure 29: Distribution of major cations in groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. . | 70 |
| Figure 30: Distribution of major anions in groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014... | 71 |
| Figure 31: Distribution of NO ₃ ⁻ -N in groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 75 |
| Figure 32: Piper diagram with results of the December 2014 groundwater survey. Colours are only for differentiation. | 76 |
| Figure 33: Piper diagram of major cation and anion data. Blue area indicates Group 1 water type and brown area indicates Group 2 water type (PDP, 2013)..... | 78 |
| Figure 34: Concentration of selected chemical constituents relative to well depth of groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 79 |
| Figure 35: Iron pan about 30 cm from the surface, though the depth can vary. The pan shows evidence of ferrous iron being oxidised at the oxic/anoxic interface. | 84 |
| Figure 36: Sanson Site..... | 89 |
| Figure 37: Bulls Site. | 89 |
| Figure 38: Santoft Site. | 90 |
| Figure 39: Shallow groundwater monitoring results over early 2015 for NO ₃ ⁻ -N, NH ₄ ⁺ -N and dissolved oxygen at piezometers installed at Sanson, Bulls and Santoft. | 95 |
| Figure 40: Shallow groundwater monitoring results over early 2015 for redox potential, pH, electrical conductivity and groundwater level at piezometers installed at Sanson, Bulls and Santoft. | 96 |
| Figure 41: Results of push-pull tests showing Bromide and Nitrate-N concentrations, the dilution corrected Nitrate-N concentration and the Nitrate-N/Bromide ratio. The denitrification rate is shown in red. | 100 |
| Figure 42: Accumulation of N ₂ O-N during the push-pull test. | 101 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1: Changes in farm livestock numbers in New Zealand between 1990 and 2007 (Quinn et al., 2009). | 9 |
| Table 2: Main subunits of the Middle and Late Pleistocene in stratigraphic order, youngest to oldest (Begg et al., 2005). | 33 |
| Table 3: Longitudinal river flow and water quality survey results for 6 January 2015. | 56 |
| Table 4: Longitudinal river flow and water quality survey results for 20 January 2015. | 56 |
| Table 5: Ion concentrations in mg/L of wells sampled in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 66 |
| Table 6: Ion concentrations in meq/L with CBE results of wells sampled in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 67 |
| Table 7: Water classification based on Total Dissolved Solids (Younger, 2007). | 72 |
| Table 8: Actual and estimated TDS of wells sampled in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 72 |
| Table 9: Concentration of minor ions collected from groundwater in the Lower Rangitikei catchment, December 2014. | 74 |
| Table 10: Threshold concentrations for identifying redox processes in aquifer systems (McMahon & Chapelle, 2008). | 81 |
| Table 11: Redox assignment of 15 groundwater wells surveyed in December 2014 in the Lower Rangitikei catchment. | 82 |
| Table 12: Details of the piezometers installed at sites around the study area. | 88 |
| Table 13: Test solution and background concentrations for Sanson-A (3 m)..... | 97 |
| Table 14: Test solution and background concentrations for Sanson-B (6 m)..... | 97 |
| Table 15: Test solution and background concentrations for Bulls-A (3 m)..... | 97 |
| Table 16: Test solution and background concentrations for Bulls-B (6 m)..... | 98 |
| Table 17: Test solution and background concentrations for Santoft-A (3 m) | 98 |