

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

*Learning through language:
Implications in a mathematics class*

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment
of the requirements
for the degree of
Master of Educational Studies (Mathematics)

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

Phillipa Mareea Arnold

1997

ABSTRACT

Mathematics is a subject that can be said to have a language all of its own. The language of mathematics, the language of teaching and the language of the students all impact on the mathematics classroom. With the ever-increasing numbers of ESOL and NESB students in our classrooms there is a need for an awareness of the benefits when we use language activities particularly in the mathematics classroom. The New Zealand Mathematics Curriculum has mathematical processes as a central focus. Communicating mathematical ideas is a sub-strand of mathematical processes. With these two thoughts as background stimulus this research examines the effect that *learning through language* activities used in a mathematics classroom have on student understanding and communication. *Learning through language* is active learning strategies for the classroom and is based on the philosophy that all teachers need an understanding of language processes. They can then build language-based interactive strategies into the teaching of their subject. *Learning through language* aims to help teachers cater for the language and learning needs of their students especially those from Non-English speaking backgrounds. The research findings indicate that the use of *learning through language* activities in the mathematics classroom has a positive effect on the willingness of students' to communicate in mathematics. There is also an indication that the quality of this communication has improved. Student understanding has not been affected by the use of these strategies, but it was difficult to draw any major conclusions based on evidence collected.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the support of my partner Ian. Ian has provided encouragement and moral support to get through the many hours of creative times and few hours of bad times.

I wish to express appreciation of the no-fuss way Dr. Gordon Knight has provided encouragement, inspiration, guidance and support. Gordon has been an influence in my mathematics education since I was an undergraduate student at Massey University.

I am indebted to the teachers who have used the activities in their classroom as part of the research phase, and whose valuable input and suggestions have fine-tuned the activities to where they are today. These teachers have met with me to discuss student reactions, administered pre and post-tests and questionnaires. Without these teachers this research would not have been completed. I thank you all for your help, enthusiasm and support.

I would like to acknowledge Andy Begg. Andy was the catalyst for my undertaking to complete a Masters Degree.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Purpose of the Study	1
1.2 Learning through Language	1
1.3 Justification	3
1.4 Research Questions	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Language, Communication and Mathematics	7
2.2.1 Introduction	7
2.2.2 Mathematics and Language	8
2.3 English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)	11
2.3.1 Introduction	11
2.3.2 Strategies for Teaching ESOL or NESB Students	12
2.4 Reading and Writing in the Mathematics Classroom	16
2.4.1 Introduction	16
2.4.2 Reading in the Mathematics Classroom	16
2.4.3 Writing in the Mathematics Classroom	18
2.5 Learning through Language	21
2.5.1 Introduction	21
2.5.2 Concrete Activities	22
2.5.3 Group Work	23
2.5.4 Cloze	24
2.5.5 Brainstorming	25
2.5.6 Clines	26

2.5.7	Co-operative Logic Problems	27
2.5.8	Definition Activity	27
2.5.9	Grids	27
2.5.10	Matching Activities	28
2.5.11	Picture Dictation	28
2.5.12	Sequencing	28
2.5.13	Writing to Learn	29
2.5.14	LTL Guide for preparing a unit of work	29
2.6	Algebra	31
2.6.1	Introduction	31
2.6.2	A Concrete Approach to Algebra	31
2.7	Summary	35
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Research Method	36
3.2	Internal Validity	39
3.3	Sample	40
3.3.1	Major Sample	40
3.3.2	Minor Sample	41
3.4	Instruments Used	42
3.4.1	Questionnaires	42
3.4.2	Informal Discussions	43
3.4.3	Pre and Post Tests	43
4	RESOURCE BOOK DEVELOPMENT	
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Initial Trial of Activities	44
4.3	Algebra Resource Book	45
4.3.1	Objectives	46
4.3.2	Proposed Teaching Sequence	47
4.3.3	Brainstorming Session	47
4.3.4	The Final Activities	49

	4.3.5	The Book	51
	4.4	Summary	51
5		PROCEDURES	
	5.1	Introduction	52
	5.2	Phase One – Trial of Learning through Language Activities	52
	5.3	Phase Two – Main Research Unit on Algebra	54
	5.4	Phase Three – Main Research Unit on Algebra – Second School	56
6		RESULTS	
	6.1	Introduction	57
	6.2	Student Understanding	58
		6.2.1 Introduction	58
		6.2.2 Mastery – Individual Questions	59
		6.2.3 Total Number of Questions Mastered	60
		6.2.4 Teacher Response to Student Understanding	64
	6.3	Willingness to Communicate Mathematical Ideas	66
		6.3.1 Introduction	66
		6.3.2 Students Who Completed Two Questionnaires	67
		6.3.2.1 Statements in the upward movement category	68
		6.3.2.2 Statements in the downward movement category	68
		6.3.2.3 Summary	69
		6.3.3 End of Algebra Unit Questionnaire	70
	6.4	Quality of Mathematical Communication	74
		6.4.1 Introduction	74
		6.4.2 Responses from Teachers	74
	6.5	Summary	75
7		DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	
	7.1	Student Understanding	76
		7.1.1 Mastery – Individual Questions	76

7.1.2	Total number of Questions Mastered	77
7.1.3	Teacher Responses	78
7.1.4	Summary	78
7.2	Willingness to Communicate Mathematical Ideas	79
7.2.1	Introduction	79
7.2.2	Group Work	80
7.2.3	Talking and Listening	82
7.2.4	Reading and Writing	84
7.2.5	Summary	85
7.3	Quality of Mathematical Communication	85
8	CONCLUSIONS	
8.1	Learning through Language Activities	86
8.2	Suggestions for Future Research	88
8.2.1	Suggestions for Improvement of Current Research	88
8.2.2	Questions arising from Current Research	89
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	91
	APPENDICES	
1	Activities used in Term One	101
2	Algebra – A Teacher’s Resource Book	117
3	Pre and Post Tests	150
4	Test Results	153
5	Questionnaire	171
6	Questionnaire Statements and Summary of Results	173
7	Notes from Teacher Meetings	184

LIST OF FIGURES

1	Triad Model	22
2	A water temperature cline	26
3	Model of the relationships between a sentence, its meaning, and its expression in mathematical notation	33
4	The action research spiral	36
5	The action research spiral applied to current research	38
6	Percentage of students achieving mastery in each question	59
7	School A, Class One	60
8	School B, Class One	60
9	School B, Class Two	60
10	School B, Class Three	61
11	School B, Class Four	61
12	School B, Class Five	61
13	School B, Class Six	61

LIST OF TABLES

1	LTL Guide for preparing a unit of work	30
2	Student Group A	41
3	Student Group B	41
4	Advantages and disadvantages of using questionnaires	42
5	Development stages of resource book	45
6	Proposed teaching sequence	47
7	Outcomes from brainstorming session	47
8	LTL activities used in the Resource Book	49
9	Number of students sitting pre and/or post test	58
10	Breakdown of student numbers by class	58
11	Students for whom the level of mastery dropped from pre-test to post-test	62
12	Students who maintained the same level of mastery in the same question	63
13	Students who maintained the same level of mastery, one question different	63
14	Number of students who completed the questionnaire	66
15	Summary of statement category	67
16	Statements that fell into the “agreed” category when most popular option was taken	72