

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE EFFECTS OF TILLAGE PRACTICES ON SOIL MICROBIAL
BIOMASS AND CO₂ EMISSION**

A THESIS

PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR

THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF APPLIED SCIENCE

IN

AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

AT

INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND ENGINEERING

MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH

NEW ZEALAND

TEHSEEN ASLAM

1998



ABSTRACT

Conversion of permanent pasture land to forage crop rotation by conventional tillage and reversion to pasture, for recovery of nutrients is a common practice in New Zealand. Because of their effects on soil physical, chemical and biological degradation, and the extent to which these soil management practices are sustainable is not fully known.

To evaluate short- and long-term impact of tillage induced changes in soil physical, chemical and biological properties, a quad replicated field experiment was established at Massey University, Turitea campus in 1995. Permanent pasture land was converted to a double crop rotation using conventional (CT) and no-tillage (NT) practices on the Ohakea silt loam soil. The overall aim of this research programme is to develop a sustainable land use management for pasture-based arable cropping to suit local farming conditions.

The present study investigated the effects of CT and NT practices on soil biological status and CO₂ emission. The test crops were summer fodder maize (*Zea mays L.*) and winter oats (*Avena sativa*). An adjacent permanent pasture (PP) was used as a control.

Soil samples were collected at 0-100 mm in summer, 0-50 and 50-100 mm depths in autumn and winter before or after crop harvest. The 'fresh' field moist, sieved samples were used for the measurement of microbial biomass carbon (MBC), nitrogen (MBN), phosphorus (MBP) and basal soil respiration. Earthworm population and biomass were extrusion with formaldehyde. Field CO₂ emission was measured at 3-4 weeks interval for one year.

After two years of continuous cropping, overall nutrients status (organic C, total N and total P) in NT remained similar to that in PP. In CT the nutrient

levels were significantly lower. Earthworm population and live mass were also significantly lower in CT as compared to PP and NT treatments. However, there was no differences in plant establishment, crop dry matter yield, soil temperature and soil pH (0-100 mm depth) between the two tillage (NT and CT) systems.

Higher levels of MBC, MBN and MBP were found in NT as compared with CT at 0-100 mm depth throughout the three seasons studied. When samples were analysed separately from two depths i.e. 0-50 and 50-100 mm, the microbial biomass contents were higher in surface soil (0-50 mm depth) as compared with 50-100 mm depth. Microbial biomass contents at 50-100 mm layer did not differ significantly among the three treatments. At 0-100 mm depth, MBC declined by 29%, MBN by 32% and MBP by 33% with two years (4 crops) of CT. Such a decline in microbial biomass is an early indication of future decline in soil organic matter. Soil organic matter (total C) had also declined by 22% (from 35,316 to 27,608 kg ha⁻¹) with CT. No such decline occurred either in MBC, MBN and MBP or organic matter with NT.

Basal soil respiration data indicated that microbial biomass activity in CT was 38% lower than in NT at 0-50 mm depth. However, at 50-100 mm depth, the activity was 25% higher in CT as compared with NT. Metabolic quotient (qCO₂) did not differ among the three treatments at 0-50 and 50-100 mm soil depths.

Field CO₂ emission from PP was significantly higher as compared to NT and CT treatments. The two tillage practices did not influence the CO₂ emission measured both shortly after tillage and during crop growth period. The annual estimated carbon loss through CO₂ emission was 34 t C ha⁻¹year⁻¹ in PP, 24 t C ha⁻¹year⁻¹ in NT and 21 t C ha⁻¹year⁻¹ in CT treatment. Field CO₂ emission was generally higher in summer and autumn as compared to winter and spring.

Overall, this study, which spanned two cropping seasons, clearly showed that 2 years cropping with CT resulted in a decline in soil biological status and organic matter. The decline in soil biological status is likely to affect crop yields in CT over the longer period. Conversely, NT cropping was efficient in sustaining soil biological status and organic matter. NT had similar influence on soil biological status as clover based PP during a short-period. Therefore, it is concluded that NT may be used as an effective tool to enhance soil productivity while promoting agricultural sustainability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my chief supervisor Dr. M. A. Choudhary and co-supervisor Dr. Surinder Saggar (Landcare Research Ltd) for their teaching, supervision, guidance, patience, encouragement and providing constructive ideas during the course of my study.

I wish to express my thanks to all staff and post-graduate students in Agricultural Engineering, Massey University, for their help and friendship. Special thanks to Messrs. Dexter McGhie, Leo Bolter, Gerard Harrigan, and Russell Watson, for their help in the conduct of field experiments, and for the construction of research equipments used in the study.

My thanks are also extended to Landcare Research Ltd. Palmerston North for use of laboratory facilities. I also thank the laboratory staff at Landcare Research, for their co-operation and friendship. Special thanks to Mrs. Carolyn Hedley and Mr. Gareth Salt for their help and guidance during laboratory experiments.

I am grateful to the New Zealand Official Development Assistance (NZODA) Programme, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Wellington, New Zealand for the postgraduate student scholarship.

I am thankful to Pakistan Agricultural Research Council, Government of Pakistan for leave of absence to avail NZODA scholarship.

Finally, I would also like to acknowledge my parents, family and friends for their love and constant encouragement during my studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Introduction	1
1.2 Research Objectives	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Tillage methods	6
2.3 Tillage interactions with soil properties	8
2.3.1 Effects on soil physical properties	11
2.3.2 Effects on soil chemical properties	13
2.4 Soil biochemical properties	16
2.4.1 Soil microbial biomass	17

2.4.2	Function of soil microbial biomass	17
2.4.3	Methods for measuring microbial biomass in soil	19
2.5	Tillage effect on microbial carbon, nitrogen & phosphorus	20
2.6	Soil respiration (CO ₂ emission)	25
2.6.1	Field soil CO ₂ emission measurement methods	26
2.6.1.1	Dynamic chamber method	26
2.6.1.2	Static chamber method	27
2.6.2	Climatic factors affecting field CO ₂ emission	27
2.6.2.1	Soil temperature	28
2.6.2.2	Soil moisture content	28
2.6.3	Basal soil respiration	29
2.6.4	Metabolic quotient (qCO ₂)	29
2.7	Tillage effect on field CO ₂ emissions	30
2.8	Tillage effect on earthworm population	32
2.9	Summary	35
CHAPTER 3 METHODS AND MATERIALS		36
3.1	Site description	36
3.1.1	Experimental design	36
3.1.2	Tillage operations	36
3.1.3	Crop rotation	39

3.1.3.1	Summer fodder maize establishment	39
3.1.3.2	Winter oats crop establishment	39
3.2	Soil sampling	39
3.3	Soil analyses	40
3.3.1	Soil moisture content	40
3.3.2	Soil pH	40
3.3.3	Total C	40
3.3.4	Total N and P	41
3.3.5	Microbial biomass carbon (MBC)	41
3.3.6	Microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN)	43
3.3.7	Microbial biomass phosphorus (MBP)	44
3.3.8	Basal soil respiration	45
3.3.9	Measurement of field CO ₂ emission	46
3.3.10	Measurement of soil moisture and temperature	47
3.3.11	Earthworm population	48
3.3.12	Soil Bulk density	48
3.4	Statistical analysis of data	48
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Tillage effects on crop establishment and DM production	50

4.2.1	Summer fodder maize	50
4.2.2	Winter oats	50
4.2.3	Pasture and crop dry matter yield	51
4.2.4	Weed growth	51
4.3	Tillage impact on soil pH, total C, N & P and earthworms	54
4.4	Tillage interactions with microbial biomass C, N & P	57
4.4.1	Effect of tillage on microbial biomass carbon	58
4.4.2	Effect of seasonal changes on MBC	60
4.4.3	Effect of tillage on microbial biomass nitrogen	61
4.4.4	Effect of seasonal changes on MBN	62
4.4.5	Effect of tillage on microbial biomass phosphorus	64
4.4.6	Effect of seasonal changes on MBN	66
4.4.7	Summary	67
4.5	Tillage effects on basal soil respiration	68
4.5.1	Effect on metabolic quotient	70
4.6	Tillage impact on field CO ₂ emission	71
4.6.1	Pilot experiment	71
4.6.2	Tillage and seasonal effects on field CO ₂ emissions	72
4.6.3	Seasonal effect on CO ₂ emission	75
4.6.3.1	Effect of soil moisture content	76
4.6.3.2	Effect of soil temperature	81

4.6.4	Tillage induced changes in short-term CO ₂ emission	84
4.6.4.1	Effect of soil moisture content	86
4.6.5	Summary	88
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	90
REFERENCES		94
APPENDICES		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Types and description of main tillage systems.	7
Table 2.2	Energy required for selected tillage systems.	8
Table 2.3	Soil indicators and frequency of monitoring.	10
Table 2.4	Short-term tillage effect on surface runoff and soil loss.	12
Table 2.5	Effect of tillage on soil and surface runoff and leachate	13
Table 2.6	Organic carbon (%) in two ohio soils under long-term soil tillage experiments.	14
Table 2.7	Tillage effect on surface water runoff and leachate pH.	16
Table 2.8	Key microbial population and nutrient levels between no-till (NT) and conventional tillage (CT) at two soil depths.	21
Table 2.9	Effects of selected tillage system and crop rotation on organic C, total N and microbial biomass C & N.	22
Table 2.10	Microbial biomass C and mineral N at the soil surface (0-50 mm) in permanent grassland soils.	22
Table 2.11	Organic C and microbial biomass C at 0-50 mm depth under three tillage systems.	25
Table 2.12	Earthworm numbers under tillage, no-tillage and grassland management.	34
Table 3.1	Summary of monthly climatological observation	37

data taken at 0900 hrs at Agri-Research station E05363, Palmerston North.

Table 4.1	Effects of tillage practices on maize seedling emergence.	50
Table 4.2	Effects of tillage practices on oats seedling emergence.	51
Table 4.3	Dry matter yield of winter oats in NT and CT.	52
Table 4.4	Weed dry matter yield in winter oats under CT and NT.	52
Table 4.5	Tillage practices effects on soil chemical properties (0-100 mm) two years after conversion from pasture to cropping.	56
Table 4.6	Soil pH & earthworm population in PP, NT & CT (June, 1997).	57
Table 4.7	MBC status in a silt loam soil after two years of NT and CT cropping following permanent pasture (PP).	59
Table 4.8	MBC status in a silt loam soil at different depths after two years of NT and CT cropping following permanent pasture (PP).	59
Table 4.9	Effects of tillage practices and permanent pasture on MBN.	63
Table 4.10	MBN status in PP, NT and CT at two soil depths.	63
Table 4.11	Effects of tillage practices and permanent pasture on MBP.	65

Table 4.12	MBP status in PP, NT and CT at 0-50 & 50-100 mm depths.	65
Table 4.13	Ratios of microbial nutrients between NT and CT at two soil depths.	67
Table 4.14	Basal soil CO ₂ under PP, NT & CT at 0-50 mm depth.	69
Table 4.15	Basal soil CO ₂ under PP, NT & CT at 50-100 mm depth.	69
Table 4.16	Metabolic quotient (qCO ₂) under PP, NT and CT treatments.	71
Table 4.17	Effects of tillage intensity and soil moisture on CO ₂ emission.	72
Table 4.18	Monthly field CO ₂ emissions from November 1996 to October 1997 from PP, NT & CT management.	73
Table 4.19	Seasonal field CO ₂ emissions in PP, NT & CT management.	76
Table 4.20	Soil moisture content at 50-100 mm depth during CO ₂ emission measurement in PP, NT and CT management.	77
Table 4.21	Soil moisture content at 0-50 mm depth during CO ₂ emission measurement in PP, NT and CT management.	78
Table 4.22	Soil temperature at 50 mm depth during CO ₂ emission measurement in PP, NT and CT management.	82

Table 4.23	Short-term field CO ₂ emissions in PP, NT and CT practices.	85
Table 4.24	Soil moisture content at 0-50 mm depth during Short-term CO ₂ emissions in PP, NT and CT.	87
Table 4.25	Soil moisture content at 50-100 mm depth during Short-term CO ₂ emissions in PP, NT and CT.	88

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 3.1	Experimental treatment design (plots layout).	38
Fig. 3.2	Soil extracts being analysed on shimadzu total organic carbon (TOC) analyser.	42
Fig. 3.3	Autoanalyser used to measure $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_4\text{-N}$.	43
Fig. 3.4	UV spectrophotometer used to measure P.	44
Fig. 3.5	Gas chromatography instrument used to measure basal soil respiration (CO_2).	45
Fig. 3.6	Schematic diagram of the static chambers used to collect field CO_2 emissions (size 110 mm dia. and 135 mm long).	46
Fig. 3.7	Field soil temperature measurements using thermo-couple concurrently during CO_2 emission measurement.	47
Fig. 4.1	Winter oats crop under CT and NT management.	51
Fig. 4.2	Seasonal changes in MBC at 0-100 mm depth in PP, NT and CT management.	61
Fig. 4.3	Seasonal changes in MBN in PP, NT and CT management.	64
Fig. 4.4	Seasonal changes in MBP (0-100 mm) depth in PP, NT and CT management.	66
Fig. 4.5	Seasonal variation in field CO_2 emissions from November 1996 to October 1997 in PP, NT and CT management.	79
Fig. 4.6	Regression analysis between SMC and long-term	80

(monthly) CO₂ emission in PP, NT and CT management.

- Fig. 4.7 Regression analysis between soil temperature at 50 mm depth CO₂ emission rates in PP, NT and CT management. 83
- Fig. 4.8 Regression analysis between SMC and short-term (daily) CO₂ emission rates in PP, NT and CT. 89