

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**NON-DESTRUCTIVE MEASUREMENTS OF INTERNAL
MATURITY OF FEIJOA (*Acca sellowiana*)**

A 90 credits thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the Master degree of AgriScience in Horticulture at Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Yuwei Duan

2015

ABSTRACT

Feijoa (*Acca sellowiana*) is a delicious fruit with a narrow window for harvest and a limited postharvest life. In New Zealand, feijoa fruit is required to have at least 6 weeks postharvest life in cool storage plus 6 days shelf life under room temperature in order to be exported to global markets. Slightly immature feijoa fruit (maturity stage 2) can be stored for more than 6 weeks plus few days' shelf life. However, the variation of maturity is large even among individual fruits harvested from the same tree at the same time, and currently, there is no way to segregate fruit non-destructively based on the internal maturity of feijoa at harvest time. The problem with current industry segregation practice is that the external features of feijoa, such as shape, size, and weight cannot segregate fruit with different maturity. It is inevitably that some more mature fruit will rot quickly and affect overall batch quality during storage. It is vital to have a non-destructive assessment of fruit internal maturity at harvest time. Then fruit with different maturity can be divided into different batches with more mature fruit put on sale on local market and less mature fruit put into storage. As a result, the fruit loss rate and overall fruit quality can be improved. Therefore, in this study, efforts were made to explore a non-destructive method to estimate the internal maturity of feijoa fruit and correlate that maturity with performance during storage.

The non-destructive measurements in this experiment included fruit weight, compression firmness, and skin colour. Four feijoa varieties: 'Kakariki', 'Barton', 'Anatoki', and 'Wiki-Tu' were selected for this experiment. For each variety, 945 fruit samples were harvested at approximately one week before becoming fully mature (standard commercial harvesting) and sent to the lab in Massey. All the fruit were divided into three groups based on their skin colour (from darkest green to lightest green). 45 fruit (three replicated batches of 15) from each colour group were measured immediately for weight, firmness, maturity, skin colour, Brix, and titratable acidity (TA). Then all the other fruit samples from the same colour group were randomly divided into three groups that were kept in cool storage for 4 weeks, 6 weeks and 8 weeks respectively. Once cool storage was completed, those samples

were taken out and firmness and skin colour were measured (non-destructive measurements). Then all the samples were retained at 20°C. Half of these fruits were assessed for quality attributes 3 days later, and the other half were measured 6 days after cool storage. All fruit were cut open for final visual assessment of maturity according to the maturity index developed by Plant & Food Research Institute. The data of internal maturity and initial fruit quality (weight, firmness, and skin colour) for each fruit was used to draw scatterplots in order to find out the correlation between estimated maturity at harvest, final fruit internal maturity and fruit quality after storage.

The correlation (R^2) between internal maturity and compression firmness found on ‘Kakariki’ was 0.6 to 0.5. The correlation for ‘Barton’ and ‘Wiki-Tu’ was weaker than that of ‘Kakariki’ (R^2 from 0.6 to 0.2). The correlation between firmness and internal maturity for samples of ‘Anatoki’ were weak. The simulated segregation based on firmness for ‘Kakariki’ and ‘Barton’ indicated that the firmness segregation at harvest time could be very useful on eliminating potentially bad fruit during cool storage. A non-destructive method for ‘Kakariki’ and ‘Barton’ fruit based on initial firmness is therefore now available that would allow successful segregation of fruit with potential for long term storage. However, this segregation would not work well on ‘Anatoki’. No significant correlation was found between skin colour, fruit weight and the internal maturity of feijoa fruit either at harvest time or after storage.

There was a very large variation in fruit quality at harvest time and during the storage periods. Samples of ‘Wiki-Tu’ indicated that this variety could have the best storage performance among the 4 varieties tested. ‘Anatoki’ may also have a reasonable storage potential with less flavour.

The feijoa fruit may not be stored well when covered with polyethylene film, as it may harm the storability of the fruit. Too immature fruit should not be harvested as well, as it would never be able to ripen properly during the postharvest period.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my great gratitude to all the people who helped me to bring this research project into fruition. Firstly, my profound appreciation goes to my master supervisors, Professor Julian Heyes, Professor of Postharvest Technology, Institute of Food, Nutrition & Human Health, Massey University, and Dr. Andrew East, Postharvest Physiologist, Massey University. I really appreciate their consistent guidance, encouragement, professionalism and critical feedback throughout my whole master studies. There is no possibility for me to finish this thesis without their help.

All the feijoa samples for my experiment were supplied by the Southern Belle Orchard and the Kaiaponi farm. Great gratitude is also given to the general manager of Kaiaponi Scott Wilson, the grower liaison manager David Hansen, and the owner of Southern Belle Orchard Frans for their hospitality and great assistance. I also would like to thank the New Zealand Feijoa Grower Association (NZFGA) for their generous sponsorship on the fruit samples.

I would also like to thank the lab manager Sue Nicholson at Massey lab, who taught me how to use the lab facilities and instruments that I needed, and many thanks also goes to Peter Jeffery, for his professional help with computer matters during my study.

During my master degree, I received financial support from Professor Julian Heyes, Professor Ren Jizhou Scholarship and Turners & Growers Research Grant. I am very grateful for their generosity and trust. This study was based on the previous researches from Abdul-Aziz Salim Al-Harthy and Srikanth Rupavatharam. I would like to thank them for the inspiration and guidance.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my partner for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. They are my fundamental motivation to finish this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BOTANICAL INFORMATION OF FEIJOA	4
1.1.1 Botanical description	4
1.1.2 Climate	5
1.1.3 Soil	5
1.2 FRUIT DEVELOPMENT	6
1.2.1 Flowering	6
1.2.2 Pollination	6
1.2.3 Fruit Growth and composition change.....	7
1.2.4 Fruit maturity and ripening	8
1.3 FRUIT STORAGE	10
1.3.1 Fruit quality changes during storage.....	11
1.3.2 Different approaches to extend storage life	11
1.4 CURRENT INDUSTRIAL HARVEST PRACTICES.....	12
1.4.1 Touch picking	13
1.4.2 Net harvesting	13

1.5 NON-DESTRUCTIVE METHODS FOR ASSESSING THE FRUIT QUALITY	14
1.5.1 Density	14
1.5.2 Mechanical Force.....	15
1.5.2.1 Deformation.....	16
1.5.2.2 Impact Force	17
1.5.3 Sonic properties	18
1.5.4 Optical properties.....	18
1.5.4.1 The principle of quality index.....	20
1.5.4.2 The application of optical properties in horticulture industry	
22	
1.5.5 Other techniques	23
1.5.5.1 Ultrasonic sensing.....	23
1.5.5.2 MRI	23
1.5.5.3 X-ray and Gamma ray.....	24
1.6 NON-DESTRUCTIVE MEASUREMENTS APPLICATION	24
1.6.1 Storability prediction	25
1.6.2 Produce segregation	25
1.7 CONCLUSION.....	26
2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	27
2.1 INTRODUCTION	27
2.2 MATERIALS.....	27
2.3 METHODS	28
2.4 QUALITY MEASURES	29
2.4.1 Firmness.....	29

2.4.2 Skin Colour	29
2.4.3 Weight.....	30
2.4.4 Inner maturity assessment.....	30
2.4.5 Brix	31
2.4.6 Titratable Acidity (TA)	31
2.5 THE ACTUAL TIME TABLE FOR MEASUREMENT.....	32
2.6 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	33
3 FRUIT QUALITY AT HARVEST TIME AND POST-HARVEST PERIODS	34
3.1 Fruit Weight.....	34
3.1.1 Fruit weight loss during the cool storage and the room temperature...	34
3.2 Compression Firmness.....	36
3.2.1 Firmness change and storage effects.....	37
3.3 Skin Colour	38
3.4 Fruit maturity	41
3.5 Total Soluble Solids (TSS)	48
3.6 Titratable Acidity (TA)	50
3.7 The quality attributes of fruit from different varieties with same maturity rating	51
4 NON-DESTRUCTIVE MEASUREMENTS AT HARVEST TIME TO ESTIMATE THE INTERNAL MATURITY OF FEIJOA AFTER THE COOL STORAGE AND AMBIENT TEMPERATURE STORAGE	53
4.1 The correlation between skin colour and maturity	53
4.2 The correlation between compression firmness and maturity	57
4.2.1 Setting up a firmness threshold at harvest can help to eliminate the potential bad fruit after the storage	63

4.3	The correlation between fruit weight and maturity.....	66
5	DISCUSSION	68
5.1	INTRODUCTION	68
5.2	ASSESSING THE FRUIT MATURITY BY FIRMNESS.....	68
5.3	ASSESSING THE FRUIT MATURITY BY SKIN COLOUR	70
5.4	POLYETHYLENE FILM PACKAGE MAY NOT BE IDEAL FOR FEIJOA	71
5.5	THE HUGE VARIATION BETWEEN DIFFERENT CULTIVARS AND INDIVIDUAL FRUIT AT HARVEST TIME.....	74
5.6	VERY IMMATURE FRUIT AT HARVEST MAY NOT RIPE PROPERLY DURING THE POST-HARVEST PERIODS	75
5.7	THE POTENTIAL VARIETY FOR EXPORTING.....	77
5.8	COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS	78
	LITERATURE CITED	80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 The commercial harvest date and fruit samples collection date of each variety	28
Table 2.2 The actual dates (days after sampling) of each measurement for each variety compared with the theoretical timings for that measurement.....	32
Table 3.1 The mean weight of samples from 4 feijoa varieties at the harvest time.....	34
Table 3.2 The mean area force of four feijoa varieties at harvest time	37
Table 3.3 The correlation coefficient of initial compression firmness and final compression firmness for four varieties during the cool storages and ambient temperature storages	38
Table 3.4 The quality attributes of very immature fruit (maturity rating 1 or A) of 4 varieties at harvest time. Significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) for means within a column are indicated by different letters.	52
Table 3.5 The quality attributes of mature fruit (maturity rating 3 or C) of 4 varieties at harvest time. Significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) for means within a column are indicated by different letters.	52
Table 4.1 The correlation coefficient of maturity after storage and initial compression firmness for four varieties.....	59
Table 4.2 The correlation coefficient of final maturity and final compression firmness for four varieties during the cool storages and ambient temperature storage	63
Table 4.3 The percentage of 4 types of fruit after the firmness segregation of ‘Kakariki’	64
Table 4.4 The percentage of 4 types of fruit after the firmness segregation of ‘Barton’	65
Table 4.5 The bad fruit rate with or without the firmness segregation for ‘Kakariki’ after storage.....	66
Table 4.6 The bad fruit rate with or without the firmness segregation for ‘Barton’ after storage	66
Table 5.1 The average skin colour (Hue) of samples from different skin colour group. Significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) for means within a column are indicated by different letters.....	71

Table 5.2 The percentage of very immature fruit for each feijoa variety during different lengths of cool storage and ambient temperature storage 77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Fruit development stages	9
Figure 2.1 The inner maturity index for feijoa	31
Figure 3.1 The average weight loss (%) of 4 feijoa cultivars after different lengths of cool storage and ambient temperature storage.....	36
Figure 3.2 The histogram of firmness of four varieties at harvest time.....	37
Figure 3.3 The average hue value of different feijoa varieties at harvest time and different lengths of cool storage and ambient storage	39
Figure 3.4 The spectral response of ‘Kakariki’ between 360 nm and 760 nm at harvest time	40
Figure 3.5 The spectral response of ‘Barton’ at harvest time between 360 nm and 760 nm	40
Figure 3.6 The spectral response of ‘Anatoki’ between 360 nm and 760 nm at harvest time	41
Figure 3.7 The spectral response of ‘Wiki-Tu’ between 360 nm and 760 nm at harvest time	41
Figure 3.8 The samples of ‘Kakariki’ with maturity rating of 1, 2, and 3 at harvest time	42
Figure 3.9 The samples of ‘Barton’ with maturity rating of 1, 1.6, and 2 at harvest time	43
Figure 3.10 The samples of ‘Anatoki’ with maturity rating of 1, 2, and 3 at harvest time	43
Figure 3.11 The samples of ‘Wiki-Tu’ with maturity rating of 1, 1.6, and 2.6 at harvest time.....	43
Figure 3.12 Boxplot of maturity distribution for ‘Kakariki’ during the cool storage and subsequent storage under room temperature.....	44
Figure 3.13 Boxplot of maturity distribution for ‘Barton’ during the cool storage and subsequent storage under room temperature.....	45
Figure 3.14 Boxplot of maturity distribution for ‘Anatoki’ during the cool storage and subsequent storage under room temperature.....	45

Figure 3.15 Boxplot of maturity distribution for ‘Wiki-Tu’ during the cool storage and subsequent storage under room temperature.....	46
Figure 3.16 The internal maturity condition of samples of ‘Kakariki’ (left) and ‘Barton’ (right) after 6 weeks’ cool storage and 3 days’ ambient temperature storage	47
Figure 3.17 The internal maturity condition of samples of ‘Anatoki’ (left) and ‘Wiki-tu’ (right) after 6 weeks’ cool storage and 3 days’ ambient temperature storage	48
Figure 3.18 The average total soluble solids of different feijoa varieties at different lengths of ambient temperature storage	49
Figure 3.19 The scatterplot of TSS and fruit maturity at harvest time for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), and ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D)	50
Figure 3.20 The average TA value of different feijoa varieties at different lengths of ambient temperature storage	51
Figure 4.1 The scatterplot of hue and fruit maturity for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), and ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D) at harvest time	54
Figure 4.2 The scatterplot of reflectance at 550nm and fruit maturity at harvest for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), and ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D) at harvest time	55
Figure 4.3 The scatterplot of reflectance at 670 nm and fruit maturity at harvest for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), and ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D) at harvest time	56
Figure 4.4 The scatterplot of reflectance at 720 nm and fruit maturity at harvest for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), and ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D) at harvest time	57
Figure 4.5 The scatterplot of initial compression force and fruit maturity at harvest for four feijoa varieties: ‘Kakariki’ (A), ‘Barton’ (B), ‘Anatoki’ (C), ‘Wiki-Tu’ (D) at harvest time	58
Figure 4.6 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 4 weeks cool storage plus 3 days’ ambient temperature storage for ‘Kakariki’	60
Figure 4.7 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 4 weeks cool storage plus 6 days’ ambient temperature storage for ‘Kakariki’	60

Figure 4.8 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 6 weeks cool storage plus 3 days' ambient temperature storage for 'Kakariki'	61
Figure 4.9 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 6 weeks cool storage plus 6 days' ambient temperature storage for 'Kakariki'	61
Figure 4.10 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 8 weeks cool storage plus 3 days' ambient temperature storage for 'Kakariki'	62
Figure 4.11 The scatterplot of initial fruit skin compression force and fruit internal maturity after 8 weeks cool storage plus 6 days' ambient temperature storage for 'Kakariki'	62
Figure 4.12 The scatterplot of initial fruit weight and fruit maturity at harvest time for four feijoa varieties: 'Kakariki' (A), 'Barton' (B), 'Anatoki' (C), and 'Wiki-Tu' (D)	67
Figure 5.1 Current common commercial packaging for New Zealand feijoa fruit	72
Figure 5.2 Samples of 'Kakariki' after 4 weeks' cool storage (left) and 8 weeks' cool storage (right).....	73