

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Effect of nutrient limitation on the Mediterranean  
flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Entomology

Massey University  
New Zealand

**Santhi Priya Bhavanam**

**2016**



## ABSTRACT

The Mediterranean flour moth, *Ephestia kuehniella* is a cosmopolitan pest of stored products that now has wide distribution in flour/feed mills in New Zealand. Understanding how individual behaviour and life-history strategies evolve in response to environmental variation will help predict the population dynamics and allow us to develop environmentally safe pest control measures.

This study investigated effects of food shortage and the responses this stimulated in *E. kuehniella*. I artificially created food stress environment by rearing *E. kuehniella* larvae at five different population densities of 50, 100, 200, 400 & 800 on a constant amount of diet (50 g). Population density had a detrimental effect on *E. kuehniella* fitness. At higher population density (800) due to food shortage larval period was prolonged, percent survival and pupal mass of both sexes decreased. Poor nutrition during the larval stage also effected adult morphology and reproductive output. Female fecundity decreased with increased population density. Females that developed at high population density (800) emerged with small head, thorax and forewing, but food stressed females developed large abdomens relative to their body mass. There were no significant changes in female ovipositor length in response to nutrient limitation indicating that under poor environmental conditions females allocate more resources to reproduction and in particular to traits that influence offspring. In males, head and thorax width decreased with increased population density. Males at higher population density had large forewings relative to their body mass, possibly to aid movement to new habitats. Genital traits were insensitive to food shortage resulting from crowding. Although males at population densities of 400 & 800 produced fewer eupyrene

sperm they had similar mating frequency and transferred similar numbers of sperm indicating that male development responds to juvenile environment. Males and females use visual and chemical cues to assess quality of potential mates during mate selection and prefer individuals that developed at high population density (800) compared to low population density (200) when their weights were matched, probably to obtain direct and indirect genetic benefits. *Ephestia kuehniella* obtains indirect genetic benefits through mate choice decisions. Body size has a heritable component and large parents produce large sons and daughters. Mother body mass influences offspring growth rate and daughter developmental period is shortened with increase in mother body mass but no such effect was observed on son developmental period indicating a non-genetic maternal effects. On the other hand, fathers do not have a notable influence on offspring growth rate and as a result the offspring of large fathers took longer to develop. Similarly, sons and daughters of polyandrous and cross culture females were heavier and polyandry increased female fitness especially in stressful conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am so very grateful to my chief supervisor Steve Trewick for accepting to be my supervisor at the eleventh hour. I am so thankful for his patience, encouragement and taking the time to guide and provide direction for my doctoral study. In the really short time span I had, discussing and working with him provided great insight and approach of writing, I wish I had worked with him from the start.

I would also like to thank Cory Matthew for being my co-supervisor in the last minute and for his academic advice. My previous supervisors Qiao Wang and Xiong Zhao taught me valuable life lessons, so thank you.

Thanks to Marlena Kruger for trusting me and facilitating the change of supervisory team. Additionally, very special thanks go to Amanda McVitty for her support during the change of supervisors. I thank too, Georg Zellmer and Sylvia Hooker for their support and advice.

Kay Sinclair helped by taking me out for insect collection, Turks Poultry farm gave me permission to collect insects. I would like to acknowledge Bioforce for providing a culture of the predatory hemipteran *Orius vicinus* for my research. Thanks also to Jonathan Marhsall for his thoughtful guidance on statistical matters. I express my thankfulness to other technical staff – Chris Rawlingson, Paul Barrett and Tracy Harris, Institute secretaries – Denise Stewart, Sharon Wright and Liza Haarhoff. Thanks also go to the International Student Support office, IT services, Graduate Research School and library staff. I would also like to thank Massey University for providing scholarships during my doctoral study.

Mom (Eswaramma) and Dad (Reddy Bhavanam), I never realized the effort you put in to make me feel comfortable and happy until I am on my own. I failed in every stage of my PhD life until Kranthi Bhavanam my brother stepped in; without his support, encouragement and advice completion of this PhD would have been an impossible task! So a very big thanks go to all my family.

This thesis consists of 8 chapters – General Introduction (Chapter 1), six experimental chapters (Chapters 2–7) and a General Discussion (Chapter 8). Chapters 3–7 are formatted ready for submission to peer-reviewed science journals and Chapter 2 has already been published. For this reason, each chapter is a standalone with its own Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion and hence there is some repetition in the chapters. For every chapter the table and figure numbering refreshes but each chapter has distinct running header for clarity. A single combined reference section is presented after Chapter 8.





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements .....	v
List of tables and figures.....	xi
CHAPTER 1 General Introduction.....	1
CHAPTER 2 Effect of nutritional stress through larval crowding on survival, development and reproductive output of the Mediterranean flour moth, <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> Zeller .....	19
Abstract .....	21
Introduction.....	22
Materials and methods .....	23
Results.....	25
Discussion.....	28
CHAPTER 3 Effect of nutritional limitation on female phenotype in relation to fecundity.....	33
Abstract .....	35
Introduction.....	36
Materials and methods .....	40
Results.....	44
Discussion.....	50
CHAPTER 4 Effect of larval crowding and nutritional limitation on male phenotype, reproductive investment and strategy in <i>Ephestia kuehniella</i> (Insecta:Lepidoptera).....	57
Abstract .....	59
Introduction.....	61
Materials and methods .....	64
Results.....	67
Discussion.....	72

CHAPTER 5	Are mates from more demanding environments preferable? .....	79
	Abstract .....	81
	Introduction.....	83
	Materials and methods .....	85
	Results.....	88
	Discussion.....	89
CHAPTER 6	Heritability of parental body mass and its effects on offspring life-history traits in flour moth, <i>Ephesia kuehniella</i> Zeller .....	95
	Abstract .....	97
	Introduction.....	98
	Materials and methods .....	100
	Results.....	103
	Discussion.....	108
CHAPTER 7	Does polyandry provide genetic benefits? .....	113
	Abstract .....	115
	Introduction.....	116
	Materials and methods .....	119
	Results.....	124
	Discussion.....	129
CHAPTER 8	General Discussion.....	135
	References .....	143

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

	Page
CHAPTER 1	
FIGURE 1	Resource allocation pattern.....7
CHAPTER 2	
FIGURE 1	Nutrition limitation on female fecundity ..... 27
FIGURE 2	Correlation between fecundity and fertility ..... 28
TABLE 1	Nutrient limitation on larval period and survival..... 26
TABLE 2	Nutrient limitation on male and female pupal mass..... 26
CHAPTER 3	
FIGURE 1	Summary of nutrient limitation effect on females ..... 38
FIGURE 2	Diagram presenting female morphology ..... 41
FIGURE 3	Slope comparison of morphometric traits ..... 47
FIGURE 4	Female daily oviposition pattern..... 49
FIGURE 5	Egg deposition depth on larval survival and egg predation..... 50
TABLE 1	Nutrient limitation on female morphometric traits..... 45
TABLE 2	Regression analysis of morphometric traits..... 46
CHAPTER 4	
FIGURE 1	Diagram presenting male morphology ..... 65
FIGURE 2	Slope comparison of morphometric traits ..... 70
FIGURE 3	Nutrient limitation on male reproductive success ..... 71
TABLE 1	Nutrient limitation on male morphometric traits..... 68
TABLE 2	Regression analysis of morphometric traits..... 69

## CHAPTER 5

TABLE 1 Weight-matched females fecundity and fertility ..... 88

TABLE 2 Weight-matched males reproductive output ..... 89

## CHAPTER 6

FIGURE 1 Relationship between parent body mass and offspring body mass ..... 104

FIGURE 2 Maternal and paternal effects on offspring life-history traits ..... 106

FIGURE 3 Relationship between parent body mass and offspring life-history traits ..... 107

TABLE 1 Heritability estimates of body mass ..... 103

TABLE 2 Estimates of generalized linear mixed model ..... 105

## CHAPTER 7

FIGURE 1 Experimental design and mating procedure ..... 122

FIGURE 2 Polyandry effect on first generation offspring ..... 125

FIGURE 3 Polyandry effect on second generation offspring ..... 128

TABLE 1 Polyandry effect on offspring pupal mass ..... 126

TABLE 2 Polyandry effect on daughter fecundity ..... 127