

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**An Investigation into the Strength of the 52-week  
high Momentum Strategy in the United States**



**A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements of  
the degree of**

**Masters of Business Studies**

**in**

**Finance**

at Massey University, Palmerston North  
New Zealand

*Rachael Marie Cahan*

2008

## Abstract

---

This thesis extends the 52-week high momentum literature, which was first published by George and Hwang in 2004, by stressing the parameters of the trading strategy to investigate its robustness. George and Hwang, in their seminal paper, find that the ratio of a stock's close price to its 52-week high price is a good predictor of future returns.

The thesis stresses various parameters of the strategy - such as the percent of total stocks bought and sold each period – and applies the strategy over different time periods – such as bull and bear markets. The study finds that the strategy is more profitable over the later half of the data set due to underperformance in bear markets such as the 1929 market crash and subsequent Great Depression. The results also show a significant difference in profitability between bull and bear market periods.

The second half of the thesis looks at a new area in momentum, the absolute 52-week high. The strategy buys stocks whose price has increased over the previous six months, and who also close to their 52-week high price. Stocks are only bought (sold) if their price has increased (decreased) over the past six months and is close to (far from) the 52-week high price. The aim is to cut out stocks that are considered to be underperforming in the 52-week high momentum strategy, leaving only true winner and loser stocks. This strategy was found to increase the strength of the 52-

week high momentum strategy, and the results show that there is no longer a significant difference between bull and bear market returns.

## Acknowledgements

---

I would like to acknowledge the hard work, dedication and, most of all, guidance that my supervisor, Ben Marshall, has given me throughout my masters thesis.

In addition, I would also like to take this opportunity to thank Fong Mee Chin for her assistance with the data collection and administrative support. Her knowledge of the CRSP database was particularly helpful. Thanks also go to my husband, Jared Cahan, for his knowledge of SAS programming.

I would also like to extend thanks to CRSP for the allowing me to use their database and to Eugene Fama and Kenneth French for making the regression factor data publicly available.

# Table of Contents

---

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Literature Review</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Efficient Market Hypothesis	4
2.2.1 <i>Background</i>	4
2.2.2 <i>Supportive Empirical Studies</i>	5
2.2.2.1 <i>Correlation Tests</i>	5
2.2.2.2 <i>Runs Tests</i>	6
2.3. Behavioral Finance and Early Contrarian Literature	7
2.3.1 <i>Background</i>	7
2.3.2 <i>Long-Term Contrarian</i>	10
2.3.3 <i>Short-Term Contrarian</i>	13
2.4 Momentum Literature	15
2.4.1 <i>Individual Stock Price Momentum</i>	16
2.4.2 <i>Industry Momentum</i>	19
2.4.3 <i>Country Momentum</i>	20
2.4.4 <i>Directional Momentum/Acceleration Strategies</i>	20
2.4.5 <i>52-week High Momentum</i>	21
2.4.6 <i>Theoretical Explanations</i>	23
2.5 Profitability Issues	27
2.5.1 <i>Adjusting for Risk</i>	27
2.5.2 <i>Liquidity</i>	28
2.5.3 <i>Transaction Costs</i>	29

2.5.4	<i>Size</i>	30
2.5.5	<i>The January Effect</i>	30
2.5.6	<i>Bid-ask Bounce</i>	32
2.6	Conclusion	33
<b>3</b>	<b>Data</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>38</b>
4.1	Introduction	38
4.2	52-week high momentum trading strategy	38
4.3	Absolute 52-week high momentum	40
4.4	Fama French Three Factor Regression Model	42
4.5	Carhart Four Factor Regression Model	44
4.6	Transaction Costs	46
<b>5</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>48</b>
5.1	Introduction	48
5.2	52-Week High Momentum Trading Strategy	50
5.3	Portfolio percentage	53
5.4	52-Week High Momentum in 10 Year Increments	58
5.5	Bull and Bear Market Analysis	62
5.6	Absolute 52-Week High Momentum	69
5.7	Fama-French Three Factor Regression Model	83
5.8	Cahart Multi-Factor Regression Model	84
5.9	Transaction Costs	85
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>91</b>
	<b>Appendix One</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>Appendix Two</b>	<b>108</b>

## List of Tables

---

Table 1a – Number of different stocks in the data set split by 10 years	37
Table 2a - 52-week high momentum trading strategy	50
Table 2b – Returns after GH was published	51
Table 2c – Returns over all data	52
Table 3a – Returns using different portfolio percentages	54
Table 3b - Statistics on stocks in portfolios at different percentage levels	57
Table 4a – Returns over 10 year periods	59
Table 4b - Statistics on stocks in portfolios over the 10 year periods	61
Table 5a – Returns in bull and bear markets	64
Table 5b – Returns in bull and bear markets summary	66
Table 5c – Returns in bull and bear markets first half of the data	67
Table 5d – Returns in bull and bear markets second half of the data	68
Table 6a - Absolute 52-week high momentum	71
Table 6b - Absolute 52-WHM for different portfolio percentages	73
Table 6c - Absolute 52-WHM over 10 year periods	76
Table 6d - Absolute 52-WHM in bull and bear markets	79
Table 6e - Absolute 52-WHM in bull and bear markets summary	81
Table 6f - Absolute 52-WHM in bull and bear markets first half of the data	82
Table 6g - Absolute 52-WHM in bull and bear markets second half of the data	82
Table 7a - Fama French Three Factor Risk Adjustment	84
Table 7b – Carhart Multi - Factor Risk Adjustment	84



Table 8a – Transaction costs	86
------------------------------	----

*Appendix Two*

Table I - 52-week high momentum strategy 1926 - 1961	108
--	-----

Table II - 52-week high momentum strategy 1961 - 2005	108
---	-----

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1a – 52-week high momentum using different portfolio percentages	55
Figure 2a – 52-week high momentum over 10 year periods	60
Figure 3a – Absolute 52-WHM using different percentage portfolios	74
Figure 3b - Absolute 52-week high momentum over 10 year periods	77