

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# ASPECTS OF FOULING IN DAIRY PROCESSING

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Food Engineering

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

HAYDEN ALBERT EDWARD BENNETT

2007

## **ABSTRACT**

Fouling of heat treatment equipment in the dairy processing industry is an expensive and persistent problem. The objective of this work was to develop a better understanding of the mechanisms of dairy fouling in heat exchangers and identify methods to control this build-up. This was part of a larger project investigating the interaction between spore-forming thermophilic bacilli (thermophiles) contamination and fouling deposits on internal surfaces of equipment.

Two systems were developed to monitor the onset and build-up of fouling on the internal surfaces of two research heat exchangers. The first used a commercial sensor to measure the local heat flux and the temperature on the hot side of a plate type heat exchanger. The heat transfer coefficient was calculated and normalised with its value at the start of the run to reflect the contribution of fouling deposits to the thermal resistance, thus giving a real-time estimate of the rate of fouling. The second system used an energy balance over a tubular type heat exchanger and measured inlet and outlet temperatures to estimate the overall heat transfer coefficient thus giving a global measurement of fouling over the tubular heat exchanger.

In both systems the plot of normalised heat transfer coefficient over time often stayed constant over an induction period, which was followed by a falling period indicative of growth in the fouling layer thickness and/or mass. Each system was validated by comparing the final value of the normalised heat transfer coefficient with direct measurements of fouling made at the end of a run namely: fouling deposit height for the local measurement and fouling deposit mass for the global measurement. The normalised heat transfer coefficient reported by each system correlated well with the corresponding direct measurement of the fouling layer.

An important factor identified in this study was the effect of air bubble nucleation on fouling deposits. It was shown that bubbles that formed on the heated surface greatly reduced the length of the induction period to a matter of seconds rather than

---

hours, as found in previous studies of fouling in the absence of surface bubbles. The rate of fouling was also enhanced while the bubbles remained at the surface. The structure of bubble type fouling layers was linked to the behaviour of the bubbles at the heated surface. Visual observations of these bubbles showed evidence of growth, vibration and coalescence during their period of attachment to the heated surface.

Deposits from bubble type fouling consisted of all solid components found in the original milk solution, except lactose, in approximately the same ratio. By contrast fouling deposits reported in the literature with systems operating under the traditional protein denaturation mechanism were reported to consist mainly of whey proteins.

Bubble induced fouling can be limited in a number of ways, the most effective being to maintain a high operating pressure in the equipment to ensure nucleation does not occur. Experiments conducted in this study showed that a pressure of 130 kPa.g was sufficient to suppress all bubble nucleation at the heated surface at a temperature of 90°C.

Another method identified was the use of high linear fluid velocities to entrain any surface bubbles into the processing stream immediately upon nucleation. Linear velocities above 1.0 m/s were shown to achieve this goal in the miniature plate heat exchanger tested. However, this method is only partially successful because the local linear velocity varies with position in heat exchange equipment of complex geometries and can drop below the mainstream average velocity causing surface bubbles to form, especially in recirculation regions behind flow obstacles.

A more reliable method, in situations where high operating pressures could not be used, involved conditioning the heated surface with a thin protein layer during the first few minutes of a run. Conditioning the surface resulted in bubble suppression even at high temperatures and low pressures, thus greatly extending the length of the induction period.

Trials performed in this study showed that the addition of a proteolytic enzyme produced by psychrotrophic microbes greatly increased fouling. The enzyme destabilised the caseins which could attach directly to the heat exchange surface

independently from the bubble fouling mechanism. Thus the quality of the milk is another important factor to consider. However, the addition of enzymes produced by thermophilic bacilli isolated from milk powder plants did not increase fouling.

A theory describing the air bubble induced fouling mechanism is presented along with recommendations on how to reduce this fouling contamination in processing equipment.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, I would like to thank my chief supervisor, Dr. K. Tuoc Trinh for his guidance throughout my study. I appreciate the hard work Tuoc put into providing an excellent study environment with impeccable resources. The knowledge and experience I gained from this exercise was invaluable for which I am indebted to him. Thanks also to my second supervisor, Dr. Graham Manderson, who provided a kind and gentle approach to my supervision. I will not forget the support, humour and kindness both of you brought to my study.

I would like to thank my sponsor the former New Zealand Dairy Board, now part of Fonterra Co-operative Limited. Without their financial support, this study would not have been possible. Also Dr. David Woodhams, the manager of the Milk Powder Plant Availability Project, for his helpful comments throughout the project including critiques of reports submitted during the course of this study.

Special thanks must be given to technicians Mr. Byron McKillop and Mr. Mark Dorsey who worked closely with me during the design and construction of the pilot plant. Their technical knowledge and experience made the construction of the pilot plant a success.

Technical support from Mr. Garry Radford, Mr. Don McClean, Dr. Binh Trinh, Mr Bryden Zaloum, Dr. Yacine Helmar, Dr. Palatasa Havea and Mr. Steve Glasgow was also appreciated. Thanks to Dr. Hugh Morgan and staff at Thermophile Research Unit at the University of Waikato for thermophile species identification.

Fellow students Mr. Richard Croy, Dr. Andrew Hinton, Miss Carol Ma, and Dr. Mark Downey provided a happy and supportive group environment. I wish success to you all for your future.

Finally, I would like to thank family and friends who helped and encouraged me on this journey.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>NOMENCLATURE</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 OBJECTIVES	2
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 FOULING DURING DAIRY PROCESSING	4
2.2.1 Milk	5
2.2.2 Heat treatment	6
2.2.3 Phases of fouling	8
2.2.4 Composition	9
2.2.5 Microstructure	13
2.2.6 Fouling mechanisms	14
2.2.6.1 Induction layer	15
2.2.6.2 Rate determining step	15
2.2.6.3 Activation and transport of depositing species	16
2.2.7 Factors affecting fouling	20
2.2.7.1 Milk pH	20
2.2.7.2 Milk age	21
2.2.7.3 Seasonal variation	22
2.2.7.4 Milk preheating	23
2.2.7.5 Dissolved gases	23
2.2.7.6 Surface condition	26
2.2.7.7 Flow velocity	27
2.2.7.8 Equipment geometry	28
2.3 EXPERIMENTAL SETUPS FOR FOULING INVESTIGATIONS	28

---

2.3.1	Test fluid	29
2.3.2	Equipment	30
2.3.3	Measurements of fouling	33
2.3.3.1	Direct	33
2.3.3.2	Indirect	34
2.3.3.3	Local fouling measurements	37
2.4	CONCLUSION	39
	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1	INTRODUCTION	40
3.2	PILOT PLANT	40
3.2.1	Preheating	43
3.2.1.1	Miniature plate heat exchanger (MPHE) rig	43
3.2.1.2	Tubular heat exchanger (THE) rig	46
3.2.2	Instrumentation and data acquisition	48
3.2.2.1	Control room and data acquisition	48
3.2.2.2	Temperature measurement	49
3.2.2.3	Flow rate measurement	50
3.2.2.4	Pressure measurement	51
3.2.3	Operating procedures	51
3.2.3.1	Plant preparation	52
3.2.3.2	Start up protocol	52
3.2.3.3	Run protocol	53
3.2.3.4	Clean In Place (CIP) protocol	53
3.2.3.5	Shut-down protocol	54
3.3	METHODS OF MEASUREMENT AND ANALYSIS	54
3.3.1	Direct fouling measurement	54
3.3.1.1	Mass	54
3.3.1.2	Thickness	55
3.3.1.3	Photography	56
3.3.2	Indirect fouling monitoring	60
3.3.2.1	Theory	60
3.3.2.2	Local measurement of fouling	61
3.3.2.3	Global measurement of fouling	66
3.3.2.4	Calculations from fouling curves	67
3.3.3	Chemical procedures	69



---

3.3.3.1	Enzyme	69
3.3.3.2	Composition	70
3.4	OVERVIEW OF EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	71
	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>72</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION	72
4.2	FOULING OF HEATED SURFACES BY MILK	73
4.2.1	Characteristics of fouling curves	73
4.2.2	Reproducibility	78
4.2.3	Relation between direct and indirect methods of fouling measurement	80
4.2.4	Enzymatic damage	83
4.2.4.1	Combined effect of temperature and Neutrase addition on fouling	89
4.3	INFLUENCE OF BUBBLE NUCLEATION ON FOULING	95
4.3.1	Fouling and bubble nucleation	95
4.3.2	Process variables and geometry	104
4.3.2.1	Pressure	104
4.3.2.2	Flow rate	117
4.3.2.3	Geometry	121
4.3.3	Influence of start up procedure on fouling	125
4.3.3.1	Dry start versus wet start	125
4.3.3.2	Delayed heating	127
4.3.3.3	Validation	132
4.3.3.4	Surface coatings	135
	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>138</b>
5.1	PROTOCOL OF FOULING RUNS AND METHODS OF MEASUREMENT	138
5.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS OF FOULING RUNS	141
5.3	MECHANISMS OF FOULING WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BUBBLES	146
	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>155</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>OVERVIEW OF EQUIPMENT AND MATERIALS</b>	<b>A1</b>
APPENDIX A.1	Detailed information on selected equipment	A14
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>OPERATING PROTOCOL</b>	<b>A16</b>
<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RUNS</b>	<b>A21</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>SAMPLE CALCULATIONS</b>	<b>A26</b>
APPENDIX D.1	Calibration constants for temperature sensors	A26
APPENDIX D.2	Calibration of heat flux sensors	A27

---

---

APPENDIX D.3	Fouling monitoring systems	A28
APPENDIX D.4	Fouling curves	A37
APPENDIX D.5	Product linear velocity of an industrial heat exchanger	A39
<b>Appendix E</b>	<b>SIGMASCAN METHODOLOGIES</b>	<b>A40</b>
<b>Appendix F</b>	<b>MATERIAL PROPERTIES</b>	<b>A46</b>
APPENDIX F.1	Neutrase	A46
APPENDIX F.2	Whey Protein Concentrate	A47
APPENDIX F.3	Milk	A47
<b>Appendix G</b>	<b>CHEMICAL METHODOLOGIES</b>	<b>A49</b>
APPENDIX G.1	Moisture analysis	A49
APPENDIX G.2	Ash analysis	A50
APPENDIX G.3	Protein analysis	A50
APPENDIX G.4	Fat analysis	A51
APPENDIX G.5	Reducing SDS-PAGE analysis procedure	A53
<b>Appendix H</b>	<b>DATA DISK</b>	<b>A56</b>

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Idealised fouling curves (Fryer <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	8
Figure 2.2 Diagrammatic representation of fouling distribution in an indirect heat exchanger operating on raw milk (Burton, 1988).	10
Figure 2.3 Scanning electron micrograph of Type A deposit structure (a) stainless steel surface (b) sub-layer (c) top-layer (Truong, 2001).	14
Figure 2.4 Schematic representation of the fouling mechanisms during the heating of whey and milk (Jeurnink <i>et al.</i> , 1996c).	17
Figure 2.5 Air bubble formed at a heated surface and processes occurring near it. See text for explanation. Highly schematic and not to scale (Walstra <i>et al.</i> , 1999).	25
Figure 2.6 Schematic representation of the participation of an air bubble in the fouling process of milk (1) adsorption/deposition at the vapour/liquid interface (2) evaporation (3) condensation (Jeurnink, 1995a).	26
Figure 3.1 Schematic diagram of pilot plant depicting standard set up of process fluid flow.	41
Figure 3.2 Photograph of the pilot plant showing preheating (right) and evaporator (left) sections.	42
Figure 3.3 A three-dimensional representation of a module developed for the study of fouling and bacterial contamination.	44
Figure 3.4 Photograph of the miniature plate heat exchanger rig.	45
Figure 3.5 Photograph of the tubular heat exchanger rig.	47
Figure 3.6 The assembly of an individual tubular heat exchanger.	47
Figure 3.7 Pilot plant control room and cabinet showing PLC (right).	48
Figure 3.8 Photograph of the deposit thickness measuring device (a) plate support (b) dial depth gauge (c) multimeter.	55
Figure 3.9 Photograph of the modified MPHE showing transparent Perspex section.	57
Figure 3.10 Sigmascan Pro Image Analysis software showing the original image (a) and the analysed image including the measurement output (b). Note: the blue overlay indicates the areas of fouling and the green overlay indicates the area outside the heat exchange surface (not measured).	59

---

Figure 3.11 Schematic drawing of the sectional view of a fouled surface showing the thermal resistances across the heat exchanger.	61
Figure 3.12 Photograph of a thin-foil heat flux sensor.	62
Figure 3.13 Heat flux sensor attached to a MPHE plate.	63
Figure 3.14 Schematic diagram of the local fouling monitoring equipment implemented in the MPHE rig.	65
Figure 3.15 Schematic diagram of the global fouling monitoring equipment implemented in the THE rig.	67
Figure 3.16 Plot of $N_f$ versus run time showing the method used to calculate the fouling rate (R1.4).	68
Figure 4.1 Intermediate process data for R2.1.	73
Figure 4.2 Calculated internal heat transfer coefficient versus run time for R2.1.	74
Figure 4.3 Intermediate process data for R1.18.	75
Figure 4.4 Calculated overall heat transfer coefficient versus run time for R1.18.	75
Figure 4.5 Comparisons of $N_f$ calculated from the local and global systems.	76
Figure 4.6 $N_f$ versus run time for R1.4 showing induction and fouling periods.	77
Figure 4.7 $N_f$ versus run time for R2.2 showing no fouling period.	77
Figure 4.8 $N_f$ versus run time for R1.22 showing two distinct fouling rates.	78
Figure 4.9 $N_f$ versus run time for the 30 kPa.g replicate runs (R1.1-1.3).	80
Figure 4.10 $N_f$ versus run time for the local system's validation experiment (R2.3).	81
Figure 4.11 Relationship between the $N_f$ and the average deposit thickness of fouling both measured at module isolation (R2.3).	82
Figure 4.12 Relationship between $N_f$ and the mass of dry foulant both measured at the end of each run.	83
Figure 4.13 The mass of dry foulant measured at the end of each run using the THE processing whole milk with (R1.10, R1.11) and without (R1.17, R1.18) the addition of bacterial enzymes.	84
Figure 4.14 Reducing SDS-PAGE of liquid whole milk (A) with addition of extracellular enzymes of <i>B. stearothermophilus</i> (B12 Cm) after 1 (B), 3 (C) and 5 (D) hour incubation periods at 4°C.	85
Figure 4.15 Reducing SDS-PAGE of liquid whole milk (A) with the addition of protease enzymes of <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> (Neutrase) after 1 (B), 3 (C) and 5 (D) hour incubation periods at 4°C.	86
Figure 4.16 Reducing SDS-PAGE of deposit formed by heating milk: (A) liquid whole milk (B) deposit of whole milk ( <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> control – R1.18) (C) deposit	

- 
- of whole milk after incubation with protease enzymes of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (Neutrase – R1.11) (D) deposit of whole milk (*B. stearothermophilus* control – R1.17) (E) deposit of whole milk after incubation with extracellular enzymes of *B. stearothermophilus* (B12 Cm) – R1.10 (F) protein broad band standard. 88
- Figure 4.17 The effect of heating surface temperature on the mass of dry foulant obtained at the end of each run using the THE processing whole milk with and without the addition of protease enzymes of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (Neutrase). 90
- Figure 4.18 The effect of THE surface temperature on the rate of fouling of whole milk with and without the addition of protease enzymes of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (Neutrase). 92
- Figure 4.19 Example structure of fouling formed in the THE processing whole milk at 88°C (R1.18). 94
- Figure 4.20 Example structure of fouling formed in the THE processing of Neutrase modified whole milk at 88°C (R1.11). Note how the craters have been filled to leave a relatively smooth outer surface. 94
- Figure 4.21 Example structure of fouling formed in the THE processing of Neutrase modified whole milk at 88°C (R1.11). Note milk solids appear to deposit directly onto the stainless steel surface as well as the porous structure. 94
- Figure 4.22 Results of the bubble-fouling linkage trial: (a, b) R2.4; (c, d) R2.5; (e, f) R2.6. (a, c, e) Video stills showing the bubble formation on the heated surfaces. (b, d, f) Photographs of the test plates taken after each run showing the fouling pattern. 96
- Figure 4.23 Video stills showing the bubble pattern on the MPHE heated surface processing a WPC solution at 502 l/h over the first five minutes of the run (R2.6). 99
- Figure 4.24 The section of the test plate from R2.6 used to make additional observations of bubble-type fouling structures. 101
- Figure 4.25 Video stills showing bubble nucleation over time in the area selected to make additional observations of the surface during and after the 50 minute run (R2.6). 101
- Figure 4.26 Magnified image (20 X) of the test plate fouled with a WPC solution showing evidence of bubble movement over the surface (R2.6). 102
- Figure 4.27 WPC fouling showing different types of structures: (a) stationary R2.9 (b) anchor R2.20 (c) shell-like R2.7 (d) coalescence R2.9. All runs processed at 45 l/h. 103
-

---

Figure 4.28 The effect of the process side operating pressure on the mass of dry foulant obtained at the end of each run using the THE processing whole milk.	105
Figure 4.29 The effect of THE process side operating pressure on the rate of fouling of whole milk.	105
Figure 4.30 An example structure of the fouling obtained after processing whole milk in the THE at an operating pressure of 30 kPa.g (R1.1).	106
Figure 4.31 An example structure of the fouling obtained after processing whole milk in the THE at an operating pressure of 80 kPa.g (R1.9).	106
Figure 4.32 Analysed image of Figure 4.30. Red regions indicate the areas of the tube not covered in fouling.	107
Figure 4.33 Analysed image of Figure 4.31. Red regions indicate the areas of the tube not covered in fouling.	107
Figure 4.34 The effect of THE process side operating pressure on the area of the heated surface covered in whole milk fouling.	108
Figure 4.35 Mass of dry foulant obtained at the end of each run versus the area of the heated surface covered in whole milk fouling.	108
Figure 4.36 Expanded photograph of Figure 4.30 indicating the height of fouling above the horizon. Darkened section indicates the area of the THE tube (R1.1).	110
Figure 4.37 Expanded photograph of Figure 4.31 indicating the height of fouling above the horizon. Darkened section indicates the area of the THE tube (R1.9).	110
Figure 4.38 The effect of THE process side operating pressure on the foulant loading of whole milk on the heated surface.	110
Figure 4.39 Video stills showing the effect of operating pressure on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing water.	112
Figure 4.40 The number of bubbles in a 25 mm <sup>2</sup> section of the heat exchange surface installed in the MPHE processing water at different pressures.	113
Figure 4.41 The average area of bubbles in a 25 mm <sup>2</sup> section of the heat exchange surface installed in the MPHE processing water at different pressures.	113
Figure 4.42 Video stills showing the effect of operating pressure on the bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing WPC solutions.	115
Figure 4.43 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing WPC solutions at different operating pressures (a) R2.4 (b) R2.7 (c) R2.5.	116

- 
- Figure 4.44 Video stills showing the effect of flow rate on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing water. 118
- Figure 4.45 Video stills showing the effect of flow rate on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing WPC solutions. 119
- Figure 4.46 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing WPC solutions at different process fluid flow rates (a) 45 l/h - R2.4 (b) 502 l/h - R2.6 (c) 1 940 l/h - R2.8. 120
- Figure 4.47 Video stills showing the effect of an obstruction on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing water. 122
- Figure 4.48 Topography of MPHE test plates with glue drops attached after processing WPC solution at 46 l/h and 131 kPa.g. (R2.17) (a) module 1 (b) module 2 (c) module 4 (d) module 5 (e) module 6 [(a) – (e) 5 replicates]. 124
- Figure 4.49 Video stills showing the effect of dry and wet starts on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing water. 126
- Figure 4.50 Video stills showing the effect of SCOP and non-SCOP starts on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing water. 128
- Figure 4.51 Video stills showing the effect of SCOP and non-SCOP starts on bubble behaviour on the MPHE heated surface processing WPC solutions. 129
- Figure 4.52 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing WPC solutions with different start up procedures (a) non-SCOP - R2.4 (b) SCOP - R2.20. 130
- Figure 4.53  $N_f$  versus time for different start up procedures used with the MPHE processing whole milk: modules 1 & 3 (SCOP), modules 2 & 4 (non-SCOP). 131
- Figure 4.54 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing whole milk with different start up procedures R2.21 (a) module 1 SCOP 20 min (b) module 2 non-SCOP 20 min (c) module 3 SCOP 9.3 h (d) module 4 non-SCOP 9.3 h. 131
- Figure 4.55 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing whole milk with different start up procedures R2.22 (a) module 1 non-SCOP (b) module 2 SCOP 5 seconds delay (c) module 3 SCOP 10 minutes delay (d) module 4 SCOP 20 minutes delay (e) module 5 SCOP 40 minutes delay (f) module 6 SCOP 60 minutes delay. 133
- Figure 4.56 Topography of MPHE test plates after processing whole milk with and without Neutrase addition with different start up procedures R2.23 (a) module 1 whole milk non-SCOP (b) module 2 whole milk SCOP (c) module 3 Neutrase treated whole milk non-SCOP (d) module 4 Neutrase treated whole milk SCOP. 134

---

Figure 4.57 The effect of dipping the test plates in various treatments for 30 minutes on the mass of dry foulant produced in the MPHE rig when a solution of WPC was processed (R2.24). Dipping solutions: module 1 – none, module 2 - $\beta$ -Lg, module 3 - $\alpha$ -La, module 4 – casein, module 5 - calcium phosphate, module 6 – lactose.	136
Figure 4.58 Topography of MPHE test plates dipped in solutions for 30 minutes before processing a WPC solution in the MPHE rig (a) module 1 none (b) module 2 $\beta$ -Lg (c) module 3 $\alpha$ -La (d) module 4 casein (e) module 5 calcium phosphate (f) module 6 lactose.	137
APPENDICES	A1
Figure A.1 Drawing A1 Preheating section P&ID	A8
Figure A.2 Drawing A2 Miniature plate heat exchanger rig P&ID	A9
Figure A.3 Drawing A3 Tubular heat exchanger rig P&ID	A10
Figure A.4 Drawing A4 Direct steam injector dimension drawing	A11
Figure A.5 Drawing A5 Miniature plate heat exchanger dimension drawing	A12
Figure A.6 Drawing A6 Tubular heat exchanger dimension drawing	A13
Figure A.7 Schematic diagram of a direct steam injection (DSI) unit.	A14
Figure D.1 $N_f$ versus run time for R1.9.	A37
Figure E.1 Screen shots of Sigmascan when used to calculate the heat exchange surface area covered in fouling: (a) raw non-manipulated image (b) manipulated image with overlays applied and results worksheet showing a sample of raw and calculated data.	A41
Figure E.2 Screen shots of Sigmascan when used to estimate the average size and number of bubbles in a 25 mm <sup>2</sup> sector: (a) raw non-manipulated image (b) image with grid overlay and bubble shape traced and counted.	A43
Figure E.3 Screen shot of Sigmascan when used to assist in the quantification of proteins from electrophoresis gels.	A44
Figure E.4 Screen shot of Sigmascan showing a macro used in automating the image analysis process.	A45



---

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Typical composition of raw milk (Walstra <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	5
Table 2.2 Typical heating processes utilised in the dairy industry	7
Table 2.3 Composition of fouling layers from a selection of studies.	11
Table 2.4 Detailed protein composition of fouling deposits (Tissier <i>et al.</i> , 1984)	13
Table 3.1 Overview of experimental program	71
Table 4.1 Mass of dry foulant obtained from surfaces installed in the THE rig after processing whole milk (replicate runs).	79
Table 4.2 Protein composition (percentage intensity) of liquid whole milk incubated with extracellular enzymes of <i>B. stearothermophilus</i> and protease enzymes of <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> .	87
Table 4.3 Selected protein composition (percentage intensity) of liquid whole milk and deposit formed during control and enzyme addition runs.	88
Table 4.4 The effect of heating surface temperature on the mass of dry foulant obtained at the end of each run using the THE processing whole milk with and without the addition of protease enzymes of <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> (Neutrase).	91
Table 4.5 The composition of fouling deposit sampled from the THE after processing whole milk with and without the addition of protease enzymes of <i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> (Neutrase). Ash, fat and protein expressed as percentage w/w. Protein components expressed as normalised percent.	92
Table 4.6 Processing conditions of bubble-fouling linkage trial	95
Table 4.7 Mass of dry foulant and foulant loading on plates installed in the MPHE rig after processing WPC solutions at different flow rates and pressures.	97
Table 4.8 Mass of dry foulant and foulant loading on tubes installed in the THE rig after processing whole milk at different operating pressures.	109
Table 4.9 Mass of dry foulant and foulant loading on plates installed in the MPHE rig after processing WPC solutions at different pressures.	116
Table 4.10 Process variables of the runs conducted with the MPHE processing water at different flow rates.	117
Table 4.11 Process variables of the runs conducted with the MPHE processing WPC solutions at different flow rates.	117

---

Table 4.12 Mass of dry foulant and foulant loading on plates installed in the MPHE rig after processing WPC solutions at different flow rates.	121
Table 4.13 Mass of dry foulant and foulant loading on plates installed in the MPHE rig after processing WPC solutions with different start up protocols (SCOP manipulation).	127
APPENDICES	A1
Table A.1 List of pilot plant components.	A2
Table A.2 List of measuring and optical equipment.	A6
Table A.3 List of consumables used in the current project	A7
Table C.1 Conditions of formal runs conducted with the THE rig.	A21
Table C.2 Conditions of formal runs conducted with the MPHE rig.	A22
Table C.3 Summary of commissioning and prerun trials conducted with the THE rig.	A24
Table C.4 Summary of commissioning and prerun trials conducted with the MPHE rig.	A25
Table D.1 Recorded calibration temperatures and calculated regression coefficients for selected temperature sensors installed in the pilot plant.	A26
Table D.2 Manufacturer's calibrated outputs for a selection of heat flux sensors installed in the pilot plant	A27
Table D.3 Heat flux corresponding to the maximum voltage of 50mV and the factors used to convert the heat fluxes to SI units for a selection of heat flux sensors installed in the pilot plant	A27
Table D.4 Sample of the MSExcel spreadsheet listing measured, estimated and calculated variables for R2.1	A29
Table D.5 Sample of the MSExcel spreadsheet listing process fluid constants and measured, estimated and calculated variables for R1.18	A33
Table D.6 Sample of the MSExcel spreadsheet showing the fouling rate calculation for R1.9.	A38
Table D.7 Sample of the MSExcel spreadsheet showing the final $N_f$ value estimation for R1.9.	A38

## NOMENCLATURE

### Roman

a	gradient constant
A	surface area (m <sup>2</sup> ) or heat exchange surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )
A <sub>p</sub>	proteinase activity
b	y-axis intercept constant
c	constant
c <sub>p</sub>	heat capacity of fluid (J/kg.K)
c <sub>p,p</sub>	heat capacity of the process fluid (J/kg.K)
C <sub>k</sub>	concentration of para-κ-casein
d <sub>e</sub>	equal diameter of process fluid cross section (m)
d <sub>i</sub>	inner diameter of inside tube (m)
d <sub>o</sub>	outer diameter of inside tube (m)
D	hydraulic diameter (m)
D <sub>0</sub>	hydraulic diameter at t=0 (m)
D <sub>i</sub>	inner diameter of outside tube (m)
D <sub>o</sub>	outer diameter of outside tube (m)
E	activation energy (J/mol)
f	friction coefficient
F	heat flux calibration factor (Wm <sup>-2</sup> /binary unit)
k <sub>a</sub>	rate constant
k <sub>d</sub>	deposition rate constant (s <sup>-1</sup> )
L	length of pipe (m) or length of inner tube fouling region (m)
m	rate coefficient
$\dot{m}$	mass flow rate of fluid (kg/s)
N <sub>f</sub>	normalised overall heat transfer coefficient
ΔP	differential pressure (Pa)
q	heat transfer flux (W/m <sup>2</sup> )
Q	flow rate of process fluid (l/h) or (m <sup>3</sup> /h)
R	total heat transfer resistance (m <sup>2</sup> .K/W)
R <sub>a</sub>	aluminium tape thermal resistance (m <sup>2</sup> .K/W)

---

$R_f$	fouling thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_g$	universal gas constant ( $J/mol.K$ )
$R_{hf}$	heat flux sensor thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_{hm}$	heat medium thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_p$	process fluid thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_{ss}$	stainless steel wall thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_w$	wall and its attachment thermal resistance ( $m^2.K/W$ )
$R_a$	relative surface roughness ( $\mu m$ )
$Re$	Reynolds number = $dv\rho/\mu$ (dimensionless)
$S$	cross sectional area of process fluid ( $m^2$ )
$T$	solid-liquid interface temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$U$	heat transfer coefficient ( $W/m^2.K$ )
$U_0$	heat transfer coefficient at $t=0$ ( $W/m^2.K$ )
$U_i$	internal overall heat transfer coefficient ( $W/m^2.K$ )
$U_{i0}$	initial internal heat transfer coefficient ( $W/m^2.K$ )
$v$	flow velocity ( $m/s$ )
$V$	milk velocity ( $m/s$ )

### Greek

$\alpha$	fouling parameter
$\Phi$	fouling rate ( $s^{-1}$ )
$\Delta\theta$	temperature difference ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Delta\theta_1$	temperature difference of side 1 ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Delta\theta_2$	temperature difference of side 2 ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Delta\theta_{LMTD}$	log mean temperature difference ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Delta\theta_m$	mean temperature difference ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_c$	correct temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_{hf}$	outer temperature of heat flux sensor ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_{hm}$	temperature of heating medium ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_i$	inlet temperature of test fluid ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_o$	outlet temperature of test fluid ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_p$	temperature of process fluid ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\theta_r$	recorded temperature ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Theta_{hm}$	outlet temperature of the heating medium ( $^{\circ}C$ )
$\Theta_p$	outlet temperature of the process fluid ( $^{\circ}C$ )

---

$\mu$	dynamic fluid viscosity (kg/m.s)
$\mu_p$	viscosity of process fluid (Pa.s)
$\rho$	density of fluid (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\rho_p$	density of process fluid (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\phi$	heat transfer rate (W)
$\phi_{hm}$	rate of heat lost by the heating medium (W)
$\phi_p$	rate of heat gained by the process fluid (W)