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ABSTRACT

The present study was of a prospective, longitudinal design which provided a ‘snap-shot’ overview of a Child, Adolescent, and Family Mental Health Service (CAFS). The present study had three main objectives. The first objective was to describe the characteristics of clients referred to CAFS assigned to the different priority categories. The second objective was to determine the typical waiting times for clients attending CAFS. The third objective was to determine what changes in problem symptomatology or problem severity occur whilst clients wait for their initial appointment. Two hypotheses were tested. The first was that those who are placed on the High priority wait list will have significantly higher levels of symptomatology than those on the Medium priority wait list. The second was that as wait time increases, problem severity will also increase. Participants consisted of parents/caregivers of consecutive clients who were placed on a High or Medium priority waitlist. Parents/caregivers completed the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) measure when first placed on the waitlist and again before they attended their initial appointment at the service. Clients assigned to the non-wait listed categories (Crisis or Urgent) tended to consist of older females, whereas those assigned to the wait listed categories (High and Medium priority wait list) tended to consist of younger males. Results indicated that wait time did not affect problem severity, nor did problem severity differ for High and Medium priority clients. The discussion focused on the implications of the findings with regards to managing mental health waiting lists.
This thesis is dedicated to my wonderful family, Dad, Mum, Lucielle, Nick and Matthew.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would first like to thank my initial thesis supervisor Frank Deane for his patience, expert guidance, and help. Thanks also Frank, for encouraging me to present parts of this research at the New Zealand Psychological Society conference, Massey University in August 1997.

Equal thanks go to Julie Bunnell, my second supervisor. Julie has provided encouragement, support, enthusiasm, and patience, especially during the times when I thought that this thesis would never end.

Mostly I wish to thank Frank and Julie for their time which was always given freely and without hesitation.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the staff at the Child, Adolescence and Family Mental Health Service. Thanks to all those who made themselves available to me to answer any questions which arose during the course of this study. Special thanks to Catherine Hunter who introduced this topic for use. Thanks also to the parents/caregivers who participated in this study.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the encouragement, everlasting faith, emotional and financial support my family has given me, not only for this thesis, but also throughout my time at Massey University (I love you mum and dad). This thesis is as much a product of your hard work and perseverance as it is mine. Thanks so much for the loan of your most wonderful computer!
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHAPTER</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedication</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgments</td>
<td>iv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table of Contents</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Tables</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of Figures</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait Lists</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defining Service Wait Time</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral Procedures</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Procedures</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Criteria</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait List Criteria</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effects of Wait Lists</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of wait time and attrition</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with wait lists</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought treatment elsewhere</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous improvement</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased Problem Severity</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attrition at Initial Appointment</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aims and Hypotheses</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Pages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METHODOLOGY</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Setting</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAFS Referral and Intake Procedures</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Wait List Process</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait List Criteria</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical Issues</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESULTS</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Analysis</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results PART ONE: Referrals to CAFS</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait times from referral to intake for all clients referred to CAFS</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clients Accepted for CAFS Treatment</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clients Seen By CAFS Clinicians</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait times for clients seen by CAFS clinicians</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results PART TWO: Questionnaire Data</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response Rates</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scoring of questionnaires</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Descriptions of Clients at Time 1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Clients at Time 2</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes Between Time 1 and Time 2</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean scores</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual change</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of clients</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wait times</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4 DISCUSSION 60
Client Characteristics 60
  Gender roles 61
Wait Times 62
Changes in Problem Severity Over Wait Time
  Description of clients at Time 1 64
  Description of clients at Time 2 65
General Implication of Findings 68
  Age and gender differences 68
Application of Findings 69
  Referral to CAFS 69
  Wait times of clients referred to CAFS 70
  Clients seen by clinicians 71
General Limitations of the Study 71
  Design and internal validity 71
  External validity and generalisability 72
Measurement 73
  Conclusion 75

REFERENCES 77

APPENDICES 89
A Guidelines for Referral to CAFS 90
B The Child Behaviour Checklist 94
C Information Sheet 96
D Consent Form 98
# LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Summary of key authors</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Action taken by the CAFS intake team on new referrals</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Time waited in days between referral and intake for all clients referred to CAFS in the three wait type categories</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Wait list categories for clients accepted (n = 335) and seen by CAFS (n = 205)</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Gender and age of clients accepted for treatment at CAFS in the four wait type categories</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Gender and age of clients seen by CAFS clinicians in the four wait type categories</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Total wait time in days for clients seen in the three wait type categories</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Demographic description of clients at Time 1 and Time 2</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.8 Mean total, externalising and internalising scores at Time 1 for 76 clients

3.9 Total, externalising and internalising scores at Time 1 for 73 wait listed clients

3.10 Classification of clients at Time 1 (76 clients)

3.11 Total, externalising and internalising scores at Time 1 and Time 2

3.12 Change in problem severity over time for the total, externalising and internalising scores

3.13 Classification of clients at Time 1 and Time 2 for total, externalising and internalising scores (n = 25)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGURE</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Flow chart describing the referral process at the Child,</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adolescent and Family Mental Health Service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>