

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Status of Wetlands in the Manawatu

A THESIS

PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE MASTER OF APPLIED SCIENCE IN

NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AT

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

PALMERSTON NORTH

NEW ZEALAND

PETER THOMAS KERR

2000

Abstract

Wetlands have been severely degraded throughout history, particularly by agricultural activities. In addition, legislation has played a role in the sustainability of this resource. The purpose of this study was to determine the status of wetlands within the Manawatu, requiring an assessment of the physical attributes of the wetland, as well as reviewing the legislation, policies and plans governing how these areas are managed.

The objectives were to determine whether wetlands should be protected, and if so are they adequately preserved within a sample group. The sample group was determined by a number of factors including site access, landowner permission, and time restrictions. If it is shown that these wetlands are not in acceptable environmental condition, then details of what should be done to improve their status are included.

To achieve these objectives a wetland field assessment sheet that could be used by someone not familiar with the various plant and animal species found around wetlands, was designed. This field assessment sheet assessed the surrounding land use, threats, functions (of the wetland), and assessment of other attributes such as bank stability, water quality, and the effects of humans in the area. This field assessment sheet was necessary in order to determine whether the wetlands in the selected group were adequately preserved.

Relevant wetland legislation and planning documents were also assessed. These were used to determine whether wetlands are given adequate protection under current laws such as the Resource Management Act (1991).

Aerial photographs at a scale of 1:27 500 were used to identify the changes in numbers of wetlands between the 1940s and 1990s, and to measure the change in size of the sampled wetlands between the same time period. A main result showed that wetlands are generally increasing in number within four random aerial photo transects. The wetlands that were selected for field assessment proved to be in reasonable environmental condition. Zones within the wetlands that need improvement lie within the amount and composition of bank vegetation

surrounding the wetland. In almost all cases, the average width of the surrounding riparian margin was less than five metres.

Analysis of the legislation and planning documents showed that great emphasis is placed on those wetlands that are identified as being of national or regional significance. Those wetlands that are not classified as such are left to the maintenance of the landowner. Ultimately the status of these wetlands, not identified as being of regional or national significance, lies in the good will of the landowners. In most case studies, landowners were aware and mindful of the wetlands on their property. It is this attitude that must not change if the desired outcome is a continuation of wetlands throughout the region.

It is concluded that a regional wetland plan or strategy should be designed in order to give greater importance to those wetlands not identified in the Regional Policy Statement (1998), so their status is more likely to be preserved. This plan should contain encouragement for landowners to provide a more suitable buffer zone around their wetlands – not only for the provision of suitable habitat for wildlife, but also to act as a filter for nutrients entering the wetland system.

To Gran'ma

Acknowledgements

I would like to take the opportunity to thank my supervisors Dr Ian Valentine and Dr John Holland, without who this project would have never taken place. I would also like to specially thank Peter Taylor of the Wellington Fish and Game Council, Ted O'Connor and John Phillips of Horizons.MW, Louise Smith of the Horowhenua District Council, and Neil Candy of Ducks Unlimited New Zealand.

I would also like to take the time to thank all those landowners that allowed me onto their land to perform the field assessment of the various wetlands.

Most importantly, I would like to show my appreciation to Mum, Dad, Andrew and Michelle, who have supported and encouraged me throughout my time at university.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IX
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 STATEMENT OF PROBLEM.....	1
1.2 REASONS TO JUSTIFY INVESTIGATION	2
1.3 INDICATIONS OF RECENT RESEARCH	3
1.4 WHAT WAS EXAMINED AND WHERE	3
1.5 METHODS	5
1.6 KEY FINDINGS.....	6
1.7 LIMITATIONS TO RESEARCH/ASSUMPTIONS	8
1.8 CONTRIBUTION TO KNOWLEDGE.....	8
1.9 LAYOUT OF THESIS	8
2 WHAT IS A WETLAND?	12
2.1 WETLAND DEFINITIONS.....	13
2.2 CLASSIFICATION OF WETLANDS.....	15
2.2.1 Coastal Wetlands.....	16
2.2.2 Bogs.....	17
2.2.3 Ponds, Swamps and River Margins.....	18
2.2.4 Oxbows.....	18
2.2.5 Ephemeral Wetlands.....	18
3 WETLAND FEATURES.....	21
3.1 BUFFER ZONES AND RIPARIAN MARGINS.....	21
3.1.1 Nutrient and Sediment Removal.....	22
3.1.2 Erosion Control	22
3.1.3 Other Uses.....	23
3.2 WETLAND COMMUNITIES	23
3.3 HABITATS	24
3.3.1 Waterfowl.....	24
3.3.2 Diving Birds.....	25
3.3.3 Wading Birds.....	25
3.3.4 Coastal Birds.....	26
3.3.5 Marsh Birds.....	26
4 WETLANDS IN NEW ZEALAND	27
4.1 HISTORY	27
4.1.1 Past Vegetation.....	30
4.2 WETLAND MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS	30
4.3 WETLANDS TODAY IN NEW ZEALAND.....	31
5 MANAWATU REGION	34

5.1	RAINFALL	35
5.2	MANAWATU WETLAND SOILS	36
5.3	DRAINAGE	37
5.4	MANAWATU WETLANDS	38
5.4.1	<i>Wetland Areas</i>	39
6	WETLAND MANAGEMENT	43
6.1	REGIONAL COUNCILS	44
6.2	LOCAL AUTHORITIES	44
6.3	REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENTS	45
6.3.1	<i>District Plans</i>	45
6.4	WATER CONSERVATION ORDERS	45
6.5	HERITAGE ORDERS	46
6.6	TREATY OF WAITANGI	46
7	ORGANISATIONS INVOLVED WITH WETLANDS	48
7.1	HORIZONS.MW	48
7.2	DISTRICT COUNCILS	49
7.3	DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION	49
7.4	MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND FISHERIES (MAF)	50
7.5	FISH AND GAME COUNCILS	50
7.5.1	<i>Game Bird Stamps</i>	51
7.6	ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY INC.	51
7.7	DUCKS UNLIMITED INC.	51
7.8	OTHER NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS	52
7.8.1	<i>Queen Elizabeth II National Trust</i>	52
7.9	RAMSAR	53
8	VALUATION	56
9	VALUES	58
10	FUNCTIONS	59
10.1	FUNCTIONS OF AGRICULTURAL WETLANDS	60
10.2	WATER	61
10.2.1	<i>Water Quality</i>	61
10.2.2	<i>Water Storage and Flow Regulation</i>	63
10.2.3	<i>Aquifer Recharge</i>	64
10.3	EROSION PROTECTION	64
10.4	RECREATION	64
10.5	TOURISM AND EDUCATION	65
10.6	MAORI CULTURE	66
10.7	HISTORIC RECORDS	66
10.8	HABITATS	66
10.9	PLANTS AND ANIMALS	67
10.9.1	<i>Plants</i>	67
10.9.2	<i>Animals</i>	68
10.9.3	<i>Fish</i>	68
10.10	PRODUCTIVITY	69
10.10.1	<i>Food Production</i>	69
10.10.2	<i>Peat</i>	70
11	THREATS	71
11.1	DRAINAGE	73
11.2	DAMMING AND DIVERTING	75
11.3	FIRE	75

11.4	GRAZING.....	75
11.5	INVASIVE SPECIES.....	76
11.6	RUBBISH DUMPING.....	77
11.7	POLLUTION.....	77
11.7.1	<i>Sewage and Wastewater</i>	77
11.7.2	<i>Toxic Substances</i>	78
11.7.3	<i>Accidental Spillages</i>	78
11.8	RECLAMATION.....	78
11.9	RECREATION.....	78
11.10	OTHER LAND USE ACTIVITIES.....	78
11.11	INDIRECT THREATS.....	80
11.11.1	<i>Freshwater Flow Reduction</i>	80
11.11.2	<i>Roading</i>	80
11.11.3	<i>Residential Development</i>	80
11.11.4	<i>Sediment</i>	80
11.11.5	<i>Wave Action</i>	80
12	SHOULD WETLANDS BE PROTECTED?.....	81
12.1	SHOULD THEY BE PRESERVED?.....	81
13	WETLAND LEGISLATION AND PLANNING.....	82
13.1	INTRODUCTION.....	82
13.2	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (1991).....	82
13.2.1	<i>Classes of Activities</i>	84
13.3	CONSERVATION ACT 1987.....	85
13.4	OTHER ACTS.....	86
13.4.1	<i>Wildlife Act 1953</i>	86
13.4.2	<i>Fisheries Act 1983</i>	86
13.5	NEW ZEALAND WETLANDS MANAGEMENT POLICY.....	87
13.6	MANAWATU CATCHMENT WATER QUALITY REGIONAL PLAN.....	87
13.7	PROPOSED REGIONAL PLAN FOR BEDS OF RIVERS AND LAKES AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES.....	87
13.8	PROPOSED MANAWATU DISTRICT PLAN (1998).....	88
14	REVIEW OF LEGISLATION AND PLANNING DOCUMENTS.....	89
14.1	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (1991).....	89
14.2	MANAWATU-WANGANUI REGIONAL POLICY STATEMENT (1998).....	90
14.3	MANAWATU-WANGANUI REGIONAL COUNCIL BYLAW 1991.....	95
14.4	MANAWATU CATCHMENT WATER QUALITY REGIONAL PLAN.....	96
14.5	PROPOSED LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN.....	96
14.6	PROPOSED REGIONAL PLAN FOR BEDS OF RIVERS AND LAKES AND ASSOCIATED ACTIVITIES.....	102
14.7	PROPOSED MANAWATU DISTRICT PLAN (1998).....	105
14.8	HOROWHENUA DISTRICT PLAN.....	110
14.9	RESOURCE CONSENTS.....	112
14.10	RANGITIKEI DISTRICT PLAN.....	113
15	METHODOLOGY.....	115
15.1	AERIAL PHOTO ANALYSIS.....	115
15.2	WETLAND STATUS ASSESSMENT.....	116
15.2.1	<i>Review of Wetland Classification Scheme</i>	116
15.2.2	<i>Field Assessment Sheet</i>	117
15.2.3	<i>Indicators</i>	117
15.2.4	<i>Assessment of Anthropocentric Effects</i>	128
15.2.5	<i>Selection of Wetlands</i>	129
16	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	131
16.1	INTRODUCTION.....	131

16.2	LEGISLATION AND PLANNING.....	131
16.2.1	<i>Review of Regional Policy Statement.....</i>	132
16.3	RESULTS OF AN ANALYSIS OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS	133
16.4	RESULTS OF WETLAND ASSESSMENT	134
16.4.1	<i>General Status of Sampled Wetlands.....</i>	134
16.4.2	<i>Physical Status of Sampled Wetlands.....</i>	137
16.4.3	<i>Assessment Indicators.....</i>	140
16.4.4	<i>Overall Scoring.....</i>	158
16.5	GENERAL COMMENTS.....	159
16.5.1	<i>Limitations of Research.....</i>	159
16.5.2	<i>Landowner Responses.....</i>	160
17	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	161
17.1	THE WAYS IN WHICH TO IMPROVE MANAGEMENT OF WETLANDS	161
17.2	MONITORING	161
17.3	REGIONAL PLAN FOR WETLANDS	162
17.4	RIPARIAN MARGINS	166
17.5	FENCING	168
17.6	OTHER.....	168
17.7	RESTORATION.....	169
17.8	CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS	173
17.9	OBSTACLES TO IMPROVEMENT.....	174
18	CONCLUSION.....	177
18.1	SUMMATION	178
18.1.1	<i>Attitudes Towards Wetlands.....</i>	179
18.1.2	<i>Recreational Activities and Attitudes.....</i>	180
18.1.3	<i>Artificial Ponds.....</i>	181
19	REFERENCES.....	183
20	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	190
21	APPENDICES.....	203
21.1	POSSIBLE WETLAND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM	203
21.2	WETLAND CONTROVERSY	207
21.3	DUCKS UNLIMITED NEWSPAPER CLIPPING.....	208
21.4	REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT WETLANDS AND WERI CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM	209
21.5	AERIAL PHOTO ANALYSIS RESULTS	215
21.6	FIELD ASSESSMENT SHEET.....	219
21.7	CHANGES IN THE SIZE OF SAMPLED WETLANDS 1942/49-1995/96.....	225
21.8	RAW DATA FROM FIELD ASSESSMENTS.....	228
21.9	TABLE OF RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN INDICATORS	236

List of Figures

FIGURE 1: STUDY AREA AND LOCATION OF SAMPLED WETLANDS.....	4
FIGURE 2: WETLAND IN FINAL STAGES OF SUCCESSION.....	12
FIGURE 3: WETLAND BIRD HABITAT ZONES	25
FIGURE 4: FLAXMILLS ISOLATED BY FLOOD WATERS FROM THE MANAWATU RIVER IN 1904.....	28
FIGURE 5: THE FLAX INDUSTRY OF THE MANAWATU 1912.....	28
FIGURE 6: WETLAND WITH HIGH LEVEL OF EUTROPHICATION	32
FIGURE 7: GEOLOGY OF THE MANAWATU	34
FIGURE 8: MEAN ANNUAL RAINFALL IN THE MANAWATU (1951-80).....	36
FIGURE 9: FLOOD PEAK EVENTS AND WETLAND RESPONSES	63
FIGURE 10: WETLAND USED FOR SCENIC VALUES	65
FIGURE 11: EFFECTS OF DRAINAGE ON GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS.....	74
FIGURE 12: LOCATION AND EXTENT OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH TRANSECTS	115
FIGURE 13: SURROUNDING LAND USAGES	134
FIGURE 14: PRIMARY WETLAND FUNCTION	135
FIGURE 15: THREATS TO WETLANDS SAMPLED	136
FIGURE 16: AVERAGE SIZE OF WETLANDS SAMPLED	137
FIGURE 17: NUMBERS & TYPES OF WETLANDS	138
FIGURE 18: BANK STABILITY CONDITION OF SAMPLED WETLANDS.....	141
FIGURE 19: PUGGING CONDITION OF SAMPLED WETLANDS.....	142
FIGURE 20: CATEGORICAL RESULTS OF RIPARIAN MARGIN WIDTHS	143
FIGURE 21: BANK VEGETATION SCORES OF SAMPLED WETLANDS	144
FIGURE 22: SURFACE WATER COVERAGE OF SAMPLED WETLANDS	145
FIGURE 23: AMOUNT OF ATTACHED ALGAE FOUND WITHIN SAMPLED WETLANDS	146
FIGURE 24: WATER CLARITY BY WETLAND CLASSIFICATION	147
FIGURE 25: WATER CONDUCTIVITY OF SAMPLED WETLANDS.....	149
FIGURE 26: AVERAGE WATER PH OF SAMPLED WETLANDS	150
FIGURE 27: DRAINAGE OF WETLANDS AT SAMPLED SITES	153
FIGURE 28: DOMINANT LAND USE AT SAMPLED WETLAND SITES	154
FIGURE 29: AVAILABILITY OF STOCK ACCESS AT SAMPLED WETLAND SITES.....	155
FIGURE 30: WETLAND FENCING PERCENTAGES OF SAMPLED WETLAND SITES.....	156
FIGURE 31: OVERALL SCORES OF WETLANDS SAMPLED	158
FIGURE 32: STEP CHART FOR REGIONAL WETLAND PLAN	163
FIGURE 33: AN EXAMPLE OF A WETLAND THAT MAY PROVIDE GOOD FISHERY HABITAT.....	172
FIGURE 34: AN EXAMPLE OF A WETLAND THAT MAY PROVIDE GOOD WILDLIFE HABITAT	172
FIGURE 35: AN EXAMPLE OF A WETLAND THAT MAY PROVIDE GOOD ACTIVE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES	173
FIGURE 36: AN EXAMPLE OF A WETLAND THAT MAY PROVIDE GOOD PASSIVE RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES	173

List of Tables

TABLE 1: DENSITY OF BREEDING PAIRS FOR FIVE SPECIES OF DUCKS OF WETLAND OF VARIOUS SIZES IN NORTH AND SOUTH DAKOTA, AND MONTANA	20
TABLE 2: IMPACT POLLUTANTS ON WATER QUALITY	73
TABLE 3: NUMBERS OF WETLANDS IDENTIFIED ON AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN IN 1942/49 AND 1995/96.	133
TABLE 4: HOW WETLAND IS FED WITH WATER	139
TABLE 5: AVERAGE TEMPERATURES OF WETLAND CLASSIFICATIONS (SEPTEMBER – NOVEMBER, 1999)..	140
TABLE 6: WIDTHS OF RIPARIAN MARGINS BY CLASSIFICATIONS	143
TABLE 7 : ABUNDANCE OF INVERTEBRATES	151
TABLE 8: ABUNDANCE OF BIRDS OBSERVED AT SAMPLED WETLANDS	152
TABLE 9: CORRELATION TABLE	158