Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Effects of translocation on Kokako (Callaeas cinerea wilsoni) song and its application to management.



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Conservation Biology
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Sarah Michelle van Herpt

Abstract

This thesis fills a research gap in our knowledge of kokako song by looking at how song evolves in multi-dialect areas. Kokako only exist in small remnant populations separated by large tracts of unsuitable land. Kokako are very poor flyers, only able to fly for approximately 100 metres at a time. In order to prevent inbreeding and a loss of genetic diversity in the remaining kokako populations, managers are carrying out translocations to establish new populations, maintain gene flow and prevent inbreeding. However, these translocations have the potential to be unsuccessful because kokako exhibit macrogeographic variation in their dialects, and tend not to breed with individuals who do not share the same dialect as them. If the purpose of the translocation is to enhance genetic diversity by having kokako from different areas breed then song is an important factor that must be dealt with. Song is extremely important to kokako for a number of reasons. Chiefly, it is hypothesised that song is their primary means of territory defence. A kokako gains all its resources from its territory, so it is imperative that they successfully defend it. Their duet song functions in territory defence, but also acts to form and maintain pair bonds.

In order to try and address the problem that song causes in translocations I studied if and how song evolves in a multiple dialect area. I conducted research at Pukaha Mount Bruce, where there is a population of kokako originating from two different source populations, and thus two different dialects, Northern Mapara and Mangatutu. I looked at the Northern Mapara dialect, and recorded kokako belonging to three groups; kokako currently living in the source population, kokako that were translocated to Pukaha and kokako that were born at Pukaha. In order to determine how the song may be changing I looked at element repertoires, the levels of sharing between groups, the number of unique elements in repertoires and the syntactical and temporal characteristics of phrases.

This study shows that translocation into multiple dialect areas can affect kokako song. Translocation did not affect the size of the kokako repertoire, but it seemed to affect the amount of sharing within and between different groups of kokako. Currently the level of

sharing within the groups at Pukaha is lower than the level of sharing within the source Mapara population, indicating that the song may be diverging. There also seem to be more unique elements found at Pukaha, which in part explains the lack of sharing. There appears to be microgeographic variation at Pukaha, with birds clustered around the second (Mangatutu) dialect sharing less with the source population than do those kokako whose territories are lower down in the reserve. The phrases which are used are also evolving, with only one phrase truly shared among all groups. There are other phrases which show additions or deletions of elements, and so are evolving. The main change found in the phrases is the timing between elements, with six out of seven phrases examined showing changes.

These results have repercussions for future kokako translocations, and the future of the Pukaha kokako. A low amount of phrase and element type sharing combined with changes of intra-phrase timing could lead to the Pukaha kokako's inability to successfully defend their territories. This research shows how kokako song can give conservation managers information on the status of their populations in regards to interbreeding and raises questions which can be answered by further research, both at Pukaha and in other mixed-dialect kokako populations.

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the help, support and encouragement from my supervisors. I would like to acknowledge Isabel Castro who was enthusiastic from the start, you made me excited too. Thank you for all your help and guidance and for reading my many drafts, and especially for being patient with me when I was stressing out! I would also like to recognise Laura Molles who leant me equipment and had her father-in-law build me a shock mount for my mic. Thank you for helping me with my stats and for listening to my recordings to make sure I was on track, and for also reading many drafts. Thank you both for everything you have done for me, I am so very grateful to you.

I would like to acknowledge my family who have all been incredibly supportive and helpful throughout the whole thesis process. Mum, you were a huge help when I was stressing out about getting everything done, the computer work you did for me is greatly appreciated, and thanks for getting me out of the house at the end! Dad, you were really patient when my computer had a meltdown and I stressed out, thank you so much for fixing it, and for being my personal alarm clock! Emma thank you for all the little presents you gave me and for helping to give me a break.

Thank you to the Department of Conservation, in particular everyone at Pukaha. Tony Silbery, who showed so much interest in my thesis right from the start, and Tom Studholme who helped me out finding kokako and lent me equipment. I have really appreciated the use of the staff quarters as my base, particularly when I was serenaded in the morning by Romeo. Thank you to all the captive staff who gave me jobs and kept me busy on the days when I couldn't record, and in the afternoons when I'd finished, I had a lot of fun.

I gratefully acknowledge the help of all my field workers; Rachel Wells, Natasha Petrove, Emily Platt and Katrina O'Connor. You came away with me to Mapara and Mangatutu and were so helpful, in more then just recording. We had flat tires, droughts, dead lambs and horrific thunderstorms! There was also the blackberry picking, finding more pairs of kokako then we could ever imagine and the chance to explore these amazing places. I had

such a good time with you all and I will remember it forever. Thank you also to everyone else who walked with me and encouraged me on my way: Chloe, Katie, Hamish, Eric, Michelle and all the volunteers at Pukaha.

At Mapara I would like to acknowledge Rachel and Joe Barton, who provided me with accommodation. Thanks to Pat and Arthur Cowan and the New Zealand Native Forests Restoration Trust for allowing me to stay at Rangitoto station in Mangatutu. Also at the Te Kuiti DoC office Lisa Daglish and Dave Smith for helping me find accommodation and providing me with maps of both Mapara and Mangatutu.

I also want to thank the Massey University Ecology Group. Barbara Just and Erica Dahya provided all the administrative and technical support. Thanks to the other graduate students for all your enthusiasm, support and encouragement. Thanks to the B.E.E.R.S. group for listening to my conference talks and trying to help me solve problems. And for the beers and entertainment!

This project was funded by a Lovell and Berys Clark Scholarship. Approval for this project was granted by the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee and a permit was granted by the Department of Conservation.



And a huge thanks to all the beautiful kokako I recorded!!

Contents

		Page
ABSTRACT		ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMI	ENTS	iv
CONTENTS		vi
LIST OF TABLES		X
CHAPTER ONE:	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
		Page
1.1 Introdu	ction to Bird Song	12
1.1.1		
1.1.2	2 Use of Song in Territorial Interactions	13
1.1.3	3 Use of Song in Identification of Individuals	13
1.2 How Bir	ed Song Develops	14
1.2.1	Song Structure and Organisation	15
1.3 Local D	Pialects in Birds	16
	red Evolution of Bird Song	
	g	
	What is a Duet?	
1.5.2	1 (011 4 / 1411 2 000 00	
.*	pecies	
1.6.1	=======================================	
1.6.2		
1.6.3		
1.6.4	\mathcal{C}	
1.6.5	J	
1.6.0	<u> </u>	
	sting Problem and Aims of this Studyces	
1.8 Keieren	ces	34
CHAPTER TWO:	GENERAL METHODS	
		Page
2.1 Study S	ites	39
	Pukaha Mount Bruce	
	2 Mapara	
	pecies: The Kokako	

3.1 Introduction	2.3 Recording N							
CHAPTER THREE: USE OF REPERTOIRE SIZE TO DETECT VARIATION IN KOKAKO SONG. Page 3.1 Introduction 50 3.2 Methods 53 3.2.1 Determining Elements 53 3.2.2 Determining Repertoire Size 54 3.2.3 Comparison of Repertoires 55 3.3 Results 57 3.3.1 Comparison of Repertoires 59 3.4 Discussion 59 3.5 References 65 CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87								
VARIATION IN KOKAKO SONG. Page	2.5 References	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	••••••	48
VARIATION IN KOKAKO SONG. Page								
Page 3.1 Introduction	CHAPTER THREE:	USE	OF	REPI	ERTOIRI	E SIZE	ТО	DETECT
3.1 Introduction		VARI	ATION	IN K	OKAKO	SONG.		
EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN								Page
3.2 Methods 53 3.2.1 Determining Elements 53 3.2.2 Determining Repertoire Size 54 3.2.3 Comparison of Repertoires 55 3.3 Results 57 3.3.1 Comparison of Repertoires 59 3.4 Discussion 59 3.5 References 65 CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87	3.1 Introduction	a	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	50
3.2.2 Determining Repertoire Size								
3.2.3 Comparison of Repertoires 55 3.3 Results 57 3.3.1 Comparison of Repertoires 59 3.4 Discussion 59 3.5 References 65 CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87	3.2.1 Det	ermining	g Eleme	ents				53
3.3 Results	3.2.2 Det	ermining	g Reper	toire Si	ze			54
3.3.1 Comparison of Repertoires		-	-					
3.4 Discussion. 59 3.5 References. 65 CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction. 68 4.2 Methods. 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming. 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA. 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups. 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types. 75 4.3 Results. 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis. 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups. 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types. 86 4.4 Discussion. 87								
3.5 References 65 CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87								
CHAPTER FOUR: DECREASED REPERTOIRE SHARING AND EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction								
EVIDENCE OF NEW ELEMENT TYPES IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction. 68 4.2 Methods. 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming. 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA. 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups. 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types. 75 4.3 Results. 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis. 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups. 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types. 86 4.4 Discussion. 87	3.5 References	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	65
TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO. Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87	CHAPTER FOUR:							-
Page 4.1 Introduction 68 4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87							1 1	
4.1 Introduction		TRAN	NSLOC	ATED	KOKAK	Ο.		
4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87								Page
4.2 Methods 71 4.2.1 Element Type Renaming 71 4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA 72 4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups 74 4.2.4 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 75 4.3 Results 75 4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis 75 4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups 82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types 86 4.4 Discussion 87	4.1 Introduction	1						68
4.2.1 Element Type Renaming								
4.2.2 Comparison of Similar Element Types Using PCA								
4.2.3 Comparison Within and Between Groups								
4.3 Results								
4.3.1 Principal Component Analysis	4.2.4 Fre	quency o	of Use of	of Share	d Elemen	t Types		75
4.3.2 Comparison of Element Types Within and Between Groups82 4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types86 4.4 Discussion	4.3 Results	•••••			•••••	•••••	•••••	75
4.3.3 Frequency of Use of Shared Element Types			-		-			
4.4 Discussion87				-	pes Withi			ups82
			of Use o	~ ~ -	1	4 T		-
4.5 References91					d Elemen			
	4 5 References		•••••	•••••	d Elemen	•••••	•••••	87

CHAPTER FIVE: PHRASE SYNTACTICAL AND **TEMPORAL** EVOLUTION IN TRANSLOCATED KOKAKO AND ITS POSSIBLE IMPICATIONS FOR TERRITORY

DEFENCE.
Page
5.1 Introduction95
5.2 Methods98
5.2.1 Phrase Identification and Comparison99
5.2.2 Analysis of Phrase Temporal Characteristics
5.3 Results100
5.3.1 Phrase Identification and Comparison100
5.3.2 Temporal Characteristics of Phrases
5.4 Discussion
5.5 References
6.1 Conclusions
6.2.1 Translocations
6.2.2 Research116
6.3 References117
APPENDIX ONE – Pukaha Kokako Census Data118
APPENDIX TWO – List of all Element Types121
APPENDIX THREE – List of all Phrase Types124

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1.1: Map showing the current distribution of kokako populations.	24
Figure 1.2: Spectrographic representation of an element, a phrase and an	
inter-phrase pause.	28
Figure 2.1: All known kokako territories at Pukaha during 2007-2008	
breeding season.	40
Figure 2.2: Map of Northern Mapara kokako territories.	42
Figure 2.3: Spectrographic representation of an element, a phrase, a	
song theme, an inter-phrase pause and a song repertoire from Poutama.	47
Figure 3.1: Saturation curves for the three most intensively recorded pairs	
showing the decreasing chance of recording new elements.	56
Figure 3.2: Spectrographic representation of the five different element	
categories.	57
Figure 3.3: Proportion of different element types used in the repertoire	
by each pair or individual.	59
Figure 4.1: Scatterplot of PCA Scores for all elements.	76
Figure 4.2: Scatterplots of 'same' and 'different' elements.	78
Figure 4.3: Scatterplots of PCA Scores for similar elements.	81
Figure 4.4: Proportions of shared elements within groups.	84
Figure 4.5: Proportions of unique elements in repertoires.	85
Figure 4.6: Frequency of use of shared elements.	86
Figure 5.1: Length of time between elements – for phrase k-t1-tc1	104
Figure 5.2: Length of time between elements – for phrase d-e	105
Figure 5.3: Length of time between elements – for phrase t5-f	106

List of Tables

	Page
Table 2.1: Recorded pairs and individuals from Pukaha.	44
Table 3.1: The range of element types and the total number of elements	
recorded across groups.	57
Table 3.2: Number of element types present in kokako song recorded	
at Pukaha (Mt Bruce) during 2007-09 separated into categories.	58
Table 4.1: Instances of elements in PCA from each pair.	73
Table 4.2: PCA eigenvalues, cumulative values and co-efficients for PC1	
and PC2.	77
Table 4.3 : Proportion of repertoire shared within and between groups.	82
Table 4.4: Summary of level of sharing and the amount of recording available	83
for analysis.	
Table 5.1: Phrase type repertoire of pairs/individuals.	101
Table 5.2: Phrase types showing changes within and between groups.	102