Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF THERMOPHILIC CAMPYLOBACTER IN CATTLE, SHEEP AND LABORATORY ANIMALS

A Thesis presented in partial (70%) fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy in Veterinary Microbiology at Massey University

Jayantilal Dullabh Fakir

1

1986

ABSTRACT

A total of 1107 samples (rectal, intestinal and bile) from rats, mice, guinea pigs, rabbits and cats were examined for the presence of intestinal thermophilic campylobacter.

The investigation showed that 20.6% of the total of 503 laboratory animals examined were positive for <u>Campylobacter jejuni</u>. The prevalence rate was found to be highest amongst the cats (51.7%)with rats being the next in order (23.2%), whereas 4/52 (7.7%) of guinea pigs and a single rabbit (1%) were positive for <u>C. jejuni</u>. One of the four isolates recovered from guinea pigs was from bile. Campylobacter-like organisms were cultured from 5/50 (10%) of the mice, but these failed to grow on subsequent subculturing. By using bacterial restriction endonuclease DNA analysis (BRENDA) for their identification, a single type of <u>C. jejuni</u> was identified (pattern 25) from all isolates recovered from the rats, guinea pigs and a rabbit, whereas there were five different BRENDA patterns from cat isolates.

Sawdust was suggested as a likely vehicle through which the organism may have spread within the Unit and infected the rats. The spread to other species was thought to have been from the guinea pigs which were initially infected from contaminated hay or green feed.

The isolation of <u>C. jejuni</u> organisms having a multiplicity of BRENDA patterns from the cats, suggests that the cat organisms had been present in the cat colony from the time the colony was started or from the occasional bird which accidentally flew into the cat cages and was eaten.

A single isolate of <u>C.</u> <u>laridis</u> from a house fly was also isolated but there was no evidence of this organism in any of the laboratory animals.

Seasonal variations in the isolation of thermophilic Campylobacter species in dairy cows was also studied and showed that there were 17/72 (23.6%), 33/106 (31.13%) and 11/95 (11.5%) positive for campylobacter during summer, autumn and winter respectively. The prevalence rate of <u>Campylobacter</u> species is highest in warmer months of the year.

The isolates recovered from dairy cows were also identified by the BRENDA technique and compared with those from sheep. Seventeen different BRENDA patterns were identified from 48 isolates from dairy cows and six from 27 isolates from sheep. Of the total of 21 different BRENDA patterns, only two were common to both animals suggesting cross infectivity between these two animals. Organisms having the same BRENDA pattern could also be isolated from dairy cows and sheep on more than one occasion establishing the stability of BRENDA patterns.

No similarities were seen between the BRENDA patterns recovered from laboratory animal isolates and those of dairy cows and sheep.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very thankful to Professor B.W. Manktelow who kindly made available the facilities of the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health, Massey University, for this study.

I would particularly like to thank Dr R.B. Marshall, my supervisor for his continuous help, encouragement and readiness to discuss problems whenever required. The assistance of Mr P.J. Winter, who taught me the BRENDA technique is greatly appreciated.

I am indebted to Mr J.E. Ormsby and the other members of staff of the Small Animal Production Unit (SAPU) and Dr M.F. Tarttelin, Department of Physiology and Anatomy for allowing me to collect the samples from his cat colony. I am also thankful to Mr D. Hislop of Massey University No. 1 Dairy Farm and Mr P.H. Whitehead of Sheep and Beef Cattle Research Unit, Massey University, for arranging for the collection of samples from the sheep and cattle.

I would also like to thank Miss L. Cullinane and Mr R. Holdaway for their assistance in the laboratory; Mrs Jan Schrama for preparation of glassware; Mr P. Wildbore for technical administration and Mr T. Law for photographic work.

I am very grateful to Mrs Olive Harris for her care and attention to detail whilst typing this manuscript.

Finally, I wish to thank my family for their patience, encouragement and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
Liśt of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
Chapter I : Introduction	1
Chapter II : Review of the Literature	4
Chapter III : General Materials and Methods	27
Chapter IV : A Comparative Study of Three Selective Media	43
Chapter V : Seasonal Variation in the Isolation of <u>Campylobacter jejuni</u> and <u>coli</u> from Dairy Cows and a Comparison between BRENDA Patterns of Isolates from Cows and Sheep	53
Chapter VI : Epidemiology of Campylobacter in Laboratory Animals	68
Bibliography	98
Appendix I : Preparation of the Modified Antibiotic Selective Medium of Skirrow (1977)	122
Appendix II : Preparation of the Modified Campy-BAP Antibiotic Selective Medium	124
Appendix III : Preparation of the Modified Preston's Medium (Bolton and Robertson, 1982)	125
Appendix IV : Preparation of the FBP Broth	126
Appendix V : Preparation of Semisolid Yeast Extract Nutrient Broth Agar Medium (Benjamin <u>et al</u> , 1983)	127
Appendix VI : Reagents used for BRENDA Technique	128

v.

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter III

I	Differentiation of Catalase Positive	Intestinal	35
	Thermophilic Campylobacter (isolated	at 42 C)	

Chapter IV

I	Comparison	of Vari	ous	Quanti	ties	of	Different	45
	Antibiotics	added	in E	ach Me	dium			

II Comparison of Three Media for the Isolation of Campylobacter from 20 known Positive Rectal and Faecal Samples from Cattle and Sheep

Chapter V

I	Seasonal Prevalence of <u>Campylobacter</u> jejuni/ <u>coli</u> in Dairy Cows on Massey No. 1 Dairy Farm (1985-86)	59
II	Different BRENDA Patterns present on Three Different Occasions of the Year in Dairy Cows and Sheep	60
III	Common and Different BRENDA Patterns in Cows and Sheep	65
IV	BRENDA Patterns found on more than one Occasion	65

Chapter VI

ł

I	Isolation of Campylobacter from Rats According to their Rooms	81
II	The Different Sites of Isolation of <u>Campylobacter</u> jejuni from the Intestinal Tracts of Rats	83
III	The Different Sites of Isolation of Campylobacter-like Organisms from the Intestinal Tracts of Mice	84
IV	The Different Sites of Isolation of <u>Campylobacter</u> jejuni from the Intestinal Tracts of Guinea Pigs	85
v	Overall Prevalence of Campylobacter in Laboratory Animals	87

vi.

LIST OF FIGURES

Page No.

Chapter III

3.1	Identification of Campylobacter	33
3.2	Test for Growth of <u>C.</u> <u>laridis</u> in the Presence of TMAO	38

Chapter IV

4.1	Percentage Isolation Rate of Campylobacter from Individual Trials carried out Comparing the Selectivity of Three Media	48
4.2	A Comparison of the Selectivity of Three Media for the Isolation of <u>Campylobacter</u> spp from Cattle Rectal Swabs	50

4.3 A Comparison of the Selectivity of Three Media 51 for the Isolation of <u>Campylobacter</u> spp from Sheep Rectal Swabs

Chapter V

5.1	DNA Fingerprints Produced by the Isolates of <u>C. jejuni/coli</u> Recovered from Dairy Cows	66
5.2	DNA Fingerprints Produced by the Isolates of <u>C.</u> jejuni/coli Recovered from Sheep	67

Chapter VI

ţ

6.0	Floor Plan of the Small Animal Production Unit	71
6.1	Interior of Mice Room Showing the Type of Mice Cages	73
6.2	Interior of Rat Room Showing the Type of Rat Cages	73
6.3	Interior of Guinea Pig Room Showing the Type of Pen	75
6.4	Interior of Rabbit Room Showing the Type of Rabbit Cages	75
6.5	Type of Cat Cages	77
6.6	DNA Fingerprints produced by <u>Campylobacter</u> jejuni Isolates Recovered from Rats, Guinea Pigs and a Rabbit	88