

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Improving water stability of extrudate feed for *C. porosus* using Sodium alginate

A Thesis

presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the

Degree of Master of Science

major in

Animal Science

at

Massey University, Manawatū,

New Zealand.

by

Magdalene Francis

2014

TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgement	4
Dedication.....	5
Declaration.....	6
List of Tables	7
Abbreviation, Acronyms and Units	8
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	11
Chapter 2: Literature Review	16
2.1 Background	16
2.2 Crocodile evolutionary adaptation	17
2.2.1 Crocodile general anatomy and physiology	18
2.2.2 Digestive mechanistic adaptations	19
2.2.3 GIT morphology.....	19
2.2.4 GIT function in digestion	21
2.2.5 Dietary absorption and utilization.....	21
2.2.6 Metabolic adaptation	23
2.3 Dietary nutrients recommended for <i>Crocodylus porosus</i>	25
2.3.1. Protein	25
2.3.2 Lipid	25
2.3.3 Carbohydrate	26
2.3.4 Vitamin and mineral.....	27
2.4 Pellet feed for aquaculture animals.....	27
2.5 Field measurement of water stability for aquatic feed.....	27
2.6 Laboratory measurement of water stability for aquatic feed	28
2.7 Type of binders and their effects on water stability of pellet feed for aquatic animals	28
2.7.1 Cost of binders in feed for aquatic animals.....	29
2.7.2 Application of sodium alginate	30
2.7.3 Alginate	30
2.7.4 Calcium ions.....	32
2.7.5 Alginate solution	33
2.7.6 Alginate gel formation with calcium ions	34
2.7.7 Calcium-alginate gel	34
2.7.8 Use of sodium alginate as binding agent in pelleted feed for aquatic animals	35
2.8 Methods of measuring nutrient digestibility	36
2.8.1 Markers use in digestibility Studies	37
2.8.2 Digestibility measurement system	37
2.8.2.1 Energy systems	38
2.8.2.2. Methods of measuring amino acid digestibility	40
2.8.3 Nutrient digestibility measurement in crocodiles	41
2.9 Methods of Measuring Textural Properties of Feed	47
Conclusion and remarks	48
Chapter 3 Materials and Methods.....	49
3.1 Laboratory feed processing.....	49
3.1.1 Ingredients used	49
3.1.2 Feed mixing and processing.....	51
3.1.3 Sensory textural evaluation.....	51

3.1.4 Water stability test.....	52
3.2 Feed processing and feeding on-farm	53
3.2.1 Ingredients used	53
3.2.2 Feed mixing, processing and storage	54
3.2.3 Sensory textural evaluation.....	56
3.2.4 Feeding of the general stock	56
3.2.4.1 Housing condition	56
3.2.4.2 Existing feeding practices	56
3.3 Digestibility experiment	57
3.3.1 Experimental animals.....	57
3.3.2 Housing conditions.....	58
3.3.3 Feeding routine.....	59
3.3.4 Faecal collection.....	60
3.3.5 Digesta collection.....	61
3.3.6 Oven drying.....	62
3.4 Dry matter and moisture content determination of feed made on-farm.....	62
3.5 Laboratory analysis of nutrients.....	63
3.5.1 Acid in soluble ash	63
3.5.2 Dry matter	63
3.5.3 Gross energy.....	63
3.5.4 Crude protein (CP)	64
3.5.5 Amino acid	64
3.5.6 Crude fat.....	64
3.5.7 Crude Fiber.....	64
3.5.8 Total Dietary Fibre	65
3.5.9 Ash	65
3.5.10 Organic matter.....	65
3.5.11 Other analyses	65
3.6 Digestibility	65
3.7 Statistical analysis.....	66
Chapter 4 Results.....	67
4.1 Sensory evaluation of textural properties for diets made in laboratory	67
4.2 Dry matter retention of laboratory feed and cost involved.....	71
4.3 On-farm feed sensory and textural evaluation	74
4.4 On-farm observation during feeding.....	76
4.5 Digestibility	78
References	92
Appendices	101
Annexures	107

Acknowledgement

Foremost, I am truly honored to have been supervised by Dr Timothy Wester. My sincere gratitude to you for the continuous support to my master's study and research. I thank you for your patience and knowledge, useful comments, remarks and engagement through the learning process which has allowed me to grow as a research scientist.

A special word of appreciation to my co-supervisors: Professor Patrick Morel and Dr Brian Wilkinson for the contribution of your immense knowledge, guidance, support and constructive criticism which enable me to make my way through to complete this thesis.

Words cannot express my thankfulness to Leiza Turnbull who has been of great help in doing the laboratory analysis for my various samples, advising me on laboratory procedures and providing equipment for use in the lab.

My deep appreciation to the management of Crocodile Farm Division of Mainland Holdings Ltd in Lae, Papua New Guinea for allowing me to do my research on your farm, for providing the crocodiles for my experiment and supporting me financially by purchasing materials and equipment I needed for my field work. Eric Langelet also deserves a special mention. Without you, I would not have been able to gain the skills and knowledge I needed to handle and work with crocodiles. You're my tremendous mentor and the best manager. My appreciation is extended to Godfrid Solmu, Wenda Soctine, Cathy Simon and Emmanuel Awi for your help during the duration of my work on farm. A special word of thanks is given to Litian Dengo, Sawiam Mare, Abel Peter, Siba Kuman, Casten Nagi, and George Watek who have worked closely with me and have put up with me during my time at the farm.

I'm very grateful to Dr Pika Kohun from the Livestock Division of the PNG National Agriculture Research Institute (NARI) for your contribution of thoughts and ideas that have helped me overcome several obstacles and kept me on track in achieving my dream as an animal scientist. I'm also appreciative of Dr Keith Galgal for the advice and guidance in helping me choose this area of research.

Also, a special word of gratitude is extended to my family, Gilang Ano and wife, and Nathan Dick Dickori for your prayers and your support during my years of study.

Finally, I would not have completed my master's degree qualification without my sponsor. I sincerely thank the New Zealand Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade for the New Zealand Development Scholarship for giving me the opportunity to study in New Zealand to gain my qualification.

Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to my late dad Feriwangui Wimo who died during the crises in Bougainville in PNG during the 1980s; to the women behind my success, my beloved mum, Tep Kambong; and in loving memory of my only aunty Boniningke Kambong.

Declaration

I, Magdalene Francis declare that this thesis/dissertation, which I hereby submit for the degree of Master of Science (Animal Science) at Massey University is my own work that is not previously been submitted or published; independently carried out, and only with the cited sources, literatures and other professional sources.

Signature:

Date:

List of Tables

	Page
Table 2.1 Free AA plasma level in <i>A. mississippiensis</i> after a single meal.....	24
Table 2.2 Apparent energy digestibility for immature <i>C. porosus</i> and other animals.....	43
Table 2.3 Classification of textural characteristics.....	47
Table 3.1 Reduced Ingredient Component of MHCF 2012/2 Fine as Control 1 and Control 2 diets	50
Table 3.2 Ingredient composition of laboratory diets.....	50
Table 3.3 Textural traits and their score range.....	52
Table 3.4 Ingredient composition for MHCF 2012/2 and R-MHCF Fine diets used on-farm.....	54
Table 4.1 Scores given for each laboratory diet.....	68
Table 4.2 Textural characteristics of laboratory diets.....	69
Table 4.3 Percentage moisture content (MC, %) for diets made in the laboratory and on-farm.....	70
Table 4.4 ANOVA values of DMR and cost per unit DMR for the alginate products, alginates inclusion levels and calcium sources.....	73
Table 4.5 Textural characteristics of feed made on-farm.....	76
Table 4.6 Dietary ingredient composition.....	79
Table 4.7 Proximate nutrient analysed values for MHCF 2012/2 and R-MHCF Fine diets.....	80
Table 4.8 Fecal DM, OM, N and Energy Digestibility Coefficients for MHCF 2012/2 and R-MHCF Fine diets.....	80
Table 4.9 Ileal DM, OM and N Digestibility Coefficients for MHCF 2012/2 and R-MHCF Fine diets.....	81
Table 4.10 Ileal AA Digestibility Coefficients for MHCF 2012/2 and R-MHCF Fine diets.....	81
Table 5.1 Apparent digestibility coefficient of some nutrients for crocodiles obtained from several researches.....	87
Table 10.1(A) Ingredient composition and nutrient values of diets for <i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	107
Table 10.1(B) Ingredient composition and nutrient values of diets for <i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	108
Table 10.2 Ingredient composition and nutrient values of diets for hatchling Alligator mississippiensis.....	109
Table 10.3 Ingredient composition and nutrient values of diets for <i>Crocodylus porosus</i>	110

Abbreviation, Acronyms and Units

AA	Amino acid
AIA	Acid insoluble ash
BW	Body weight
CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride
CaCO ₃	Calcium carbonate
Cpm	Cycle per minute
DE	Digestible Energy
DM	Dry matter
DMR	Dry matter retention/Dry matter retained
IUCN	International Union for the Conservation of Nature
LBW	Live body weight
MC	Moisture content
MHL	Mainland Holdings Ltd
MHCF	Mainland Holdings Crocodile Farm
N	Nitrogen
N HCL	Normality of hydrochloric acid
NH ₂	Amine group consisting of nitrogen bonded to two hydrogen group
OM	Organic matter
Rpm	Revolution per minute