Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Supplementation of palm kernel expeller to grazing dairy farms in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy** 

in

**Animal Science** 

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand



Francisco Nogueira Dias

#### **Abstract**

This thesis is composed of a series of studies to assess the nutritional value and characteristics of palm kernel expeller (PKE) for grazing ruminants. The chemical composition of PKE has shown high concentrations of neutral detergent fibre (NDF)  $(70.1\pm0.39 \text{ g}/100 \text{g DM})$  and fat  $(9.0\pm0.37 \text{ g}/100 \text{g DM})$ , and a moderate content of crude protein (16.2±0.42 g/100g DM), with very low concentrations of starch and sugar (0.3%) and reasonable gross energy content (18.6±0.33MJ/kg DM). In sacco digestion kinetics of PKE and pasture showed that PKE presented lower values for the soluble fractions in dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP) (25-27% and 37-38%, respectively) than pasture (41 and 52%), and the majority of the NDF in PKE was also present in the slowly degradable fraction (58-59%). The values for mixtures of pasture plus PKE were generally intermediate to those of the two feeds alone and the rate of degradation (k) for DM and fibre fractions did not differ significantly between feeds. But the rate of degradation for CP in PKE was about three to four times lower than that in pasture (6.7-9.8%/h and 33.8-48.4%/h, respectively), and the addition of PKE with pasture reduced the rate of protein degradation for the mixture to a rate similar to that of PKE alone (around 10%/h). In vitro net ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) production decreased as the amount of PKE increased in the mixture with pasture, while PKE was only able to maintain a surplus of NH<sub>3</sub> for a short period of time; in contrast volatile fatty acids (VFA) yields were increased, with butyrate percentage being higher at the expense of acetate. In the in vivo trial with lambs, daily pasture DM intake was reduced as the amount of PKE plus molasses (PKEM) was increased in both periods, but total DM intake was not increased with the addition of PKE, except when the values were converted to DM intake per kg of metabolic liveweight. Independent of the pasture quality offered, there was a linear decrease in the apparent digestibility of DM and CP with the addition of PKEM in the diet; however NDF digestibility of the diet was only decreased when PKEM was fed with good quality pasture (period 1). The apparent digestibilities of PKEM of DM, CP, and NDF for PKEM were around 63.0%, 52.0% and 68.5%, respectively, with the estimated concentration of digestible energy (DE) of PKEM being approximately 12.8 MJ/kg DM. Addition of PKEM caused a decrease in the diet's DE concentration with high quality pasture (period 1), but an increase with low quality pasture (period 2). Faecal nitrogen (N) increased and urine N decreased when increasing

amounts of PKEM were fed in the diet, however, N retention and VFA concentrations were only increased by the addition of PKEM to the low quality pasture (period 2). The supplementation of either 3 or 6 kg of PKE to cows in late lactation grazing a restricted pasture allowance (20 kg DM/cow/day) decreased pasture intake, but overall total DM intake increased. Cows actually consumed only 2.7 and 3.6 kg PKE/cow of the 3 and 6 kg PKE offered/cow and presented substitution rates of 0.30 kg/kg and 0.54 kg/kg, respectively. Supplemented cows produced 0.86 to 0.94 kg milksolids/cow daily, respectively, while cows offered restricted pasture allowance only produced 0.76 kg milksolids/day. Supplemented cows had higher concentrations of milk fat than cows offered pasture only, and marginal returns of 42 and 53 g of milksolids/kg of PKE to cows offered 3 and 6 kg of PKE, respectively.

### **Acknowledgements**

First of all, I would like to show my gratitude to the Brazilian government who provided me with a CAPES scholarship (CAPES - Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior).

I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors Dr. Jennifer Burke (Massey University), Prof. Colin Holmes (Massey University) and Dr. David Pacheco (AgResearch) for their scientific knowledge, helpful advice and all the effort you dedicated throughout the PhD, my thesis would not be the same without your ideas and comments. Particular thanks to Colin who provided general moral support, encouragement, and friendship during the toughest times of my PhD, on a personal and professional level. Thanks also go to Nicolas Lopez-Villalobos who provided expert knowledge about SAS.

Many thanks and appreciation goes to people from AgResearch who helped or were directly involved with my research, especially Fiona Burke, German Molano, Jason Peters and Katherine Lowe. Thanks also to Adrienne Cavanagh and Grant Taylor from FeedTech for the NIRS analyses, and Felicity Jackson, Leiza Turnbull and Vilma Rodriguez from the Nutrition Laboratory-IFNHH at Massey for the wet chemistry analyses and for let me use the laboratory facilities.

I would also like to acknowledge all the staff and students from IVABS for their help and effort during my experiments, especially Kim Dowson who helped me immensely during the *in vivo* and farm experiments. Thanks also to the staff of No. 4 Dairy Unity – Massey University, especially Natalia Martín who provided great support and work environment during my farm trial.

The friendship, help, and generosity of my fellow graduate students will always be remembered, especially Kathryn Hutchinson and Matthew Irwin, Danny van der Linden, Felusha D. Adeyinka, Joanne Kerslake, Hye-jeong, Gina, Rajesh Sharma and Preet Singh. Thanks to all the South-American friends I've met during my stay in New Zealand, specially Karin and Carlos (Hannika and Phillip), Javier and Ana (Francisco and Santiago), Javier and Belen, José Ramirez, Alfredo and Loreto (Martina and Sofia), it was a pleasure to have spent this time with you. To the Brazilian community here in New Zealand, specially my great friends from the "Diretoria": Daniela (Koko), Luis (Mineiro), Matheus and Flavia (Gauchos); and also Ana Paula, Gustavo and Silvia; life in Palmy was much more enjoyable with you guys around.

My family and friends must be thanked for their support and encouragement, with special recognition to my dad, my mother, brothers and sisters that kept me on track until the end of the thesis.

Last but not least, I'd like to acknowledge my wonderful wife and friend, Ieda, for her support, patience and love throughout my PhD. I will be forever grateful to you as you were always by my side even on the toughest moments of our journey in New Zealand. I would not have finished this thesis without you. And my sweetheart, my baby daughter Sofia, born in New Zealand, whose love is worth it all, even during the sleepiness nights.

## **Table of Contents**

| Abstract   | i     |
|--|-------|
| Acknowledgements   | iii   |
| Table of Contents  | v     |
| List of Figures  | xi    |
| List of Tables   | xvii  |
| List of Appendices   | xxiii |
| List of Abbreviations  | xxv   |
| CHAPTER 1:   | 1     |
| General Introduction   | 1     |
| 1.1. Introduction  | 1     |
| 1.2. Objectives  | 2     |
| CHAPTER 2:   | 3     |
| Literature Review  | 3     |
| 2.1. Introduction  | 3     |
| 2.2. Characteristics of pasture-based systems                  | 3     |
| 2.3. Pasture as a feed for dairy cows                          | 6     |
| 2.3.1. Constraints of pasture-based systems                    | 7     |
| 2.4. Supplements   | 12    |
| 2.4.1. Effect of supplements on dry matter intake              | 13    |
| 2.4.2. Partitioning of nutrients                               | 15    |
| 2.4.3. Quality and type  | 16    |
| 2.5. Palm kernel expeller                                      | 21    |
| 2.6. Techniques to measure nutritional value of feeds          | 26    |
| 2.6.1. In vivo digestibility methods                           | 27    |
| 2.6.1.1. Collection of faeces                                  | 28    |
| 2.6.1.2. Period and length of the experiment                   | 29    |
| 2.6.1.3. Level of feeding                                      | 30    |
| 2.6.1.4. Associative effects                                   | 31    |
| 2.6.1.5. Feed processing                                       | 33    |
| 2.6.1.6. Forage maturity                                       | 34    |
| 2.6.1.7. Differences in digestibility between sheep and cattle | 36    |

| 2.6.2. In vitro methods   | 37 |
|---|----|
| 2.6.2.1. Batch culture  | 38 |
| 2.6.2.2. Continuous culture   | 39 |
| 2.6.2.3. Products of fermentation   | 40 |
| 2.6.3. In sacco methods   | 41 |
| 2.6.3.1. Bag and sample characteristics                                   | 42 |
| 2.6.3.2. Effects animals and their basal diet                             | 43 |
| 2.6.3.3. Rumen pre and post-incubation                                    | 45 |
| 2.6.3.4. Microbial contamination  | 46 |
| 2.6.3.5. Modelling  | 46 |
| 2.8. Conclusion   | 49 |
| CHAPTER 3:  | 51 |
| Digestion kinetics of palm kernel expeller measure by the in sacco method | 51 |
| Abstract  | 51 |
| 3.1. Introduction   | 52 |
| 3.2. Material and methods   | 53 |
| 3.2.1. Feed collection and analyses                                       | 53 |
| 3.2.2. Host cows used for in sacco incubations                            | 54 |
| 3.2.3. In sacco incubations   | 55 |
| 3.2.4. Assessment of repeatability and contamination                      | 56 |
| 3.2.5. Digestion kinetics   | 57 |
| 3.2.6. Statistical analyses   | 58 |
| 3.3. Results  | 59 |
| 3.3.1. Chemical composition of feeds                                      | 59 |
| 3.3.2. Assessment of repeatability between incubations                    | 60 |
| 3.3.3. In sacco digestion kinetics  | 61 |
| 3.3.4. DM digestion kinetics of pasture and PKE                           | 61 |
| 3.3.5. CP digestion kinetics of pasture and PKE                           | 62 |
| 3.3.6. Fibre digestion kinetics of pasture and PKE                        | 67 |
| 3.3.7. Effective degradability of pasture and PKE                         | 67 |
| 3.4. Discussion   | 70 |
| 3.4.1. Chemical composition of feeds                                      | 70 |
| 3.4.2. In sacco digestion kinetics  | 71 |
| 3.5. Conclusions  | 74 |

| CHAPTER 4:  | 75  |
|---|-----|
| Fermentation products obtained from the in vitro incubation between palm kerr     | nel |
| expeller (PKE) and pasture (ryegrass and white clover or ryegrass-only)           | 75  |
| Abstract  | 75  |
| 4.1. Introduction   | 76  |
| 4.2. Material and methods   | 77  |
| 4.2.1. Feed collection and analyses   | 78  |
| 4.2.2. Animals used for the rumen fluid source                                    | 78  |
| 4.2.3 In vitro incubations  | 79  |
| 4.2.4 Statistical analyses  | 81  |
| 4.3. Results  | 81  |
| 4.3.1. Chemical composition   | 81  |
| 4.3.2. In vitro results   | 82  |
| 4.3.3. In vitro pH  | 83  |
| 4.3.4. Net NH <sub>3</sub> yield  | 85  |
| 4.3.5. VFA yield  | 87  |
| 4.4. Discussion   | 95  |
| 4.4.1. Chemical composition   | 95  |
| 4.4.2.In vitro pH   | 95  |
| 4.4.3. Net NH <sub>3</sub> production   | 96  |
| 4.4.4. Volatile fatty acids   | 97  |
| 4.5. Conclusion   | 99  |
| CHAPTER 5:  | 101 |
| The effects of four levels of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEN            | M)  |
| supplementation on apparent digestibility, intake and nitrogen balance of sheep f | èd  |
| fresh pasture (ryegrass plus white clover)  | 101 |
| Abstract  | 101 |
| 5.1. Introduction   | 102 |
| 5.2. Materials and methods  | 104 |
| 5.2.1. Pre-trial period and animals   | 104 |
| 5.2.2. Feeds and sampling procedures  | 106 |
| 5.2.3. Analyses   | 107 |
| 5.2.4. Statistical analyses   | 107 |
| 5.3. Results  | 109 |

| 5.3.1. Chemical composition  | 109       |
|--|-----------|
| 5.3.2. Intake  | 109       |
| 5.3.3. Apparent in vivo digestibility  | 113       |
| 5.3.4. Nitrogen balance  | 120       |
| 5.3.5. Rumen volatile fatty acids and ammonia                                    | 127       |
| 5.4. Discussion  | 130       |
| 5.4.1. The quality of pasture offered  | 130       |
| 5.4.2. Feed intakes and substitution   | 131       |
| 5.4.3. Digestibilities of PKEM   | 132       |
| 5.4.4. Nirogen metabolism  | 134       |
| 5.4.5. VFA concentrations in the rumen   | 136       |
| 5.5. Conclusions   | 137       |
| CHAPTER 6:   | 139       |
| The effect of palm kernel expeller as a supplement for grazing dairy cows at the | ne end of |
| lactation  | 139       |
| Abstract   | 139       |
| 6.1. Introduction  | 140       |
| 6.2. Material and methods  | 142       |
| 6.2.1. Feedstuffs and cow management   | 142       |
| 6.2.2. Measurements  | 143       |
| 6.2.3. Statistical analysis  | 144       |
| 6.3. Results   | 145       |
| 6.3.1. Composition and feeds   | 145       |
| 6.3.2. Pasture intakes and supplementation                                       | 146       |
| 6.3.3. Diet quality, milk production and liveweight change                       | 147       |
| 6.4. Discussion  | 152       |
| 6.5. Conclusions   | 155       |
| CHAPTER 7:   | 157       |
| General discussion, final considerations and future work                         | 157       |
| 7.1. General discussion and final considerations                                 | 157       |
| 7.1.1. Composition of PKE  | 157       |
| 7.1.2. Nutritive value, as assessed by in sacco and in vitro methods             | 158       |
| 7.1.3. In vivo studies with lambs  | 160       |
| 7.1.4. On farm study with dairy cows in late lactation                           | 163       |

| 7.1.6 Overall summary and Recommendations | 164 |
|---|-----|
| 7.2. Future work                          | 166 |
| REFERENCES                                | 169 |
| APPENDICES                                | 197 |

# **List of Figures**

| Figure 2.1. Relationship between total costs of production and proportion of grazed       |
|---|
| pasture cows ration. From Dillon (2006)   |
| Figure 2.2.Yields of pasture growth in different dairy regions of New Zealand. Adapted    |
| from Holmes et al. (2002).  |
| Figure 2.3. Variation of pasture composition throughout the year. Adapted from            |
| Hodgson and Brookes (1999)  |
| Figure 2.4. (a) Relationship between herbage allowance and pasture dry matter intake      |
| (DMI) expressed as kg/day (—) or in % of herbage allowance (HA) () (Taweel, 2006)         |
| (b) Equation reported by Bargo et al. (2003) to describe the relationship between pasture |
| intake and herbage allowance, derived from the analysis of several studies10              |
| Figure 2.5. Process of oil extraction from palm kernel fruit (Source:MPOC, 2008)22        |
| Figure 2.6. Price and volume of palm kernel imported into New Zealand since the year      |
| 2000. Adapted from MAF (2008)   |
| Figure 2.7. Hierarchy of feed evaluation methods, to measure the feed's value for         |
| animal production. Taken from Mould (2003)  |
| Figure 2.8. Changing in composition of Italian ryegrass components (% of plant DM)        |
| after the cut of the sward. Adapted from Wilman and Agiegba (1982)34                      |
| Figure 2.9. Relationship between dry matter digestibility of cattle and sheep fed the     |
| same diet. From Mertens and Ely (1982)  |
| Figure 2.10. Degradation curve of typical roughage with a small lag phase. Adapted        |
| from Orskov (2000)  |

| Figure 3.1. Description of the treatments evaluated in <i>in sacco</i> experiments  |
|---|
| Figure 3.2. <i>In sacco</i> dry matter (DM) (a) and crude protein (CP) (b) fitted degradation curves of pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and pasture plus PKE (P+PKE) in cows fed lucerne chaffage and PKE (experiment 1; Exp. 1) and cows fed lucerne chaffage only (experiment 2; Exp. 2). Error bars indicate standard deviations                           |
| Figure 3.3. <i>In sacco</i> neutral detergent fibre (NDF) (a) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) (a) fitted degradation curves of pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and pasture plus PKE (P+PKE) in cows fed lucerne chaffage and PKE (experiment 1; Exp. 1) and cows fed lucerne chaffage only (experiment 2; Exp. 2). Error bars indicate standard deviations. 69 |
| Figure 4.1. Description of the treatments used during <i>in vitro</i> experiments   |
| Figure 4.3. Average <i>in vitro</i> net ammonia production (± standard error) of ryegrass and white clover (RW) with palm kernel expeller (PKE) mixed at different proportions during 0, 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24 hours (h) of incubation. Cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE in both incubations.  |
| Figure 4.4. Average <i>in vitro</i> net ammonia production (± standard error) of ryegrass-only (Ryegrass) with palm kernel expeller (PKE) mixed at different proportions during 0, 2, 4, 6, 12 and 24 hours (h) of incubation. Cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE (a) and cows fed lucerne chaffage only (b)  |
| Figure 4.5. Total volatile fatty acids (VFA) yield (mMol/g DM) when different proportions of ryegrass plus white clover (RW) and palm kernel expeller (PKE) were evaluated <i>in vitro</i> (experiment 1). Cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE in both incubations.  |

| Figure 4.6 –Total volatile fatty acids (VFA) yield (mMol/g DM) when different proportions of ryegrass-only (Ryegrass) and palm kernel expeller (PKE) were evaluated <i>in vitro</i> (experiment 2). Cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE (a) and cows fed lucerne chaffage only (b).  |
|---|
| Figure 5.1. Experimental schedule for period 1 and 2 with their respective adaptation and collection phases   |
| Figure 5.2. Apparent <i>in vivo</i> dry matter (DM) digestibility in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 (◆) and 2 (○), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 (─) and 2 (─ · ─)  |
| Figure 5.3. Apparent <i>in vivo</i> crude protein (CP) digestibility in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\multimap$ ) and 2 ( $\lnot$ · $\lnot$ ) |
| Figure 5.4. Apparent <i>in vivo</i> neutral detergent fibre (NDF) digestibility in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 $\spadesuit$ ) and 2 $(\circ)$ , and corresponding linear regression line s for period 1 $(-)$ and 2 $(-\cdot-)$ .             |
| Figure 5.5. Apparent <i>in vivo</i> gross energy (GE) digestibility in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\multimap$ ) and 2 ( $\multimap$ ).       |
| Figure 5.6. Concentration of digestible energy (DE) in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\multimap$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ).                           |

| Figure 5.7. Concentration of gross energy (GE) and digestible energy (DE) in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, including lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1♠≬ and 2 (○). Points with green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples   |
|--|
| Figure 5.8. Nitrogen (N) intake of lambs fed diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM for lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\lor$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\smile$ ) and 2 ( $\lor$ )   |
| Figure 5.9. Faecal nitrogen (N) of lambs fed diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM for lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\multimap$ ) and 2 ( $\neg \cdot \neg$ )                                |
| Figure 5.10. Urinary nitrogen (N) of lambs fed diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM for lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 2 ( $\circ$ ), and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 ( $\multimap$ ) and 2 ( $\neg$ · · · )                                   |
| Figure 5.11. Retention of nitrogen (N) of lambs fed diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM for lambs fed at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> , during period 1 $(\bullet)$ and 2 $(\circ)$ , and corresponding linear regression lines for period 1 $(-)$ and 2 $(-\cdot-)$ 124  |
| Figure 5.12. Nitrogen (N) intake and faecal N of lambs fed diets at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> with different combinations of pasture and PKEM during period 1 ♠) and 2 (○) and estimated faecal N excretion using linear regression in period 1 (—) and 2-(· –). Points with green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples     |
| Figure 5.13. Nitrogen (N) intake and urinary N of lambs fed diets at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> with different combinations of pasture and PKEM during period 1 ♠) and 2 (○) and estimated urinary N excretion using linear regression in period 1 (—) and 2 · (· -). Points with green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples |
| Figure 5.14. Nitrogen (N) intake and retention of lambs fed diets at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> with different combinations of pasture and PKEM during period 1 (*) and 2 (°)  |

| and estimated retention of N using linear regression in period 1 (—) and 2 (– $\cdot$ –). Points |
|--|
| with green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples                                    |
|  |
| Figure 5.15. Rumen ammonia concentration (mMol/L) for lambs fed at maintenance or                |
| at ad libitum) on four diets containing different amounts of palm kernel expeller and            |
| molasses (PKEM) in period 1 and 2. Least square means (LSMeans) from three samples               |
| collected across 4, 8 and 12 hours after feeding lambs. LSMeans within periods with              |
| common superscripts do not differ significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )                                   |

## **List of Tables**

| Table 2.1. Average chemical composition (g/100g DM, unless stated) of palm kernel        |
|--|
| expeller (PKE) from different experiments  |
|  |
| Table 2.2. Composition and in vivo digestibility of perennial ryegrass harvested at 4    |
| stages of maturity and fed to sheep at maintenance level <sup>1</sup>                    |
|  |
| Table 3.1. Dry matter content and chemical composition (± standard deviation) of         |
| pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and their mix (P+PKE; 50:50 on a DM basis) used      |
| during the <i>in sacco</i> incubations   |
|  |
| Table 3.2. Mean values for dry matter (DM) and crude protein (CP) degradation            |
| characteristics of pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and pasture plus PKE (P+PKE)      |
| defined by the soluble fraction (A), slowly degradable fraction (B), fractional          |
| disappearance rate (k), lag phase, undegradable residue (U=100-A-B), potential           |
| degradability (PD) and effective degradability (ED), which takes into account the effect |
| of the assumed rumen outflow rate (kp)64   |
|  |
| Table 3.3. Effect of assumed rumen outflow rate per hour (kp) on the rumen crude         |
| protein (CP) degradability fractions of pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and their    |
| mix (P+PKE) expressed in terms of quickly degradable protein (QDP), slowly               |
| degradable protein (SDP), effective rumen degradable protein (ERDP), and rumen           |
| undegradable protein (RUP) for both experiments  |
|  |
| Table 3.4. Mean values for neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre        |
| (ADF) degradation characteristics of pasture, palm kernel expeller (PKE) and pasture     |
| plus PKE (P+PKE) defined by the soluble fraction (A), slowly degradable fraction (B),    |
| fractional disappearance rate (k), lag phase, undegradable residue (U=100-A-B),          |
| potential degradability (PD) and effective degradability (ED), which takes into account  |
| the effect of the assumed rumen outflow rate (kp)  |

| Table 4.1. Dry matter content and chemical composition (± standard deviation) of                      |
|---|
| ryegrass and white clover (RW), ryegrass-only (Ryegrass) and palm kernel expeller                     |
| (PKE) used during the <i>in vitro</i> incubations   |
|   |
| Table 4.2. Rumen pH, ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ), volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations and         |
| ratio of acetate to propionate of cows used for the in vitro incubations and fed Lucerne              |
| chaffage plus PKE (Luc. chaff. + PKE) or Lucerne chaffage only (Luc. chaff.) 83                       |
| Table 4.3. The average <i>in vitro</i> net ammonia produced (mMol of NH <sub>3</sub> /mMol feed N) by |
| each feed after 24 hours of incubation in Experiment 1, with ryegrass and white cloves                |
| (RW) as the control treatment, and 2, with ryegrass-only (Ryegrass) as the control                    |
| treatment. Each value is the average of all time points collected for each feed, and in               |
| each time triplicate bottles were collected for each feed   |
| each time urplicate bottles were confected for each feed  |
| Table 4.4. Experiment 1: cows fed lucerne chaffage plus palm kernel expeller (PKE)                    |
| Total volatile fatty acids yield (mmol/g DM) of the different treatments after 6, 12 and              |
| 24 hours (h) of incubation; and molar percentages and ratios of the main volatile fatty               |
| acids. Each value is the average of triplicate bottles of each feed91                                 |
| Table 4.5. Experiment 2: cows fed lucerne chaffage plus palm kernel expeller (PKE):                   |
| Total volatile fatty acids yield (mmol/g DM) of the different treatments after 6, 12 and              |
| 24 hours (h) of incubation; and molar percentages and ratios of the main volatile fatty               |
| acids. Each value is the average of triplicate bottles of each feed                                   |
| acids. Each value is the average of tripheate bottles of each feed                                    |
| Table 4.6. Experiment 2: cows fed lucerne chaffage only: Total volatile fatty acids yield             |
| (mmol/g DM) of the different treatments after 6, 12 and 24 hours (h) of incubation; and               |
| molar percentages and ratios of the main volatile fatty acids. Each value is the average              |
| of triplicate bottles of each feed  |
|   |
| Table 4.7. Experiment 1 and 2: amounts (mg/g DM) of volatile fatty acids produced per                 |
| in vitro incubation of the different treatments after 6, 12 and 24 hours. Approximately               |
| 0.5 g of dry matter was used during all incubations   |

| Table 5.1. Dry matter content, chemical composition and gross energy content of pasture and palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) offered during period 1 and 2.  |
|---|
| Table 5.2. Percentage of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) offered initially, PKEM actually offered (based on pasture and PKEM dry matter (DM)) and actual PKEM intake on the four diets at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> in period 1 and 2 110   |
| Table 5.3. Dry matter (DM) intake of PKEM (g/day), pasture DM (g/day), total DM intake (g/day and g/kg LW <sup>0.75</sup> /day), substitution rate and Liveweight (LW) gain (g/day) of lambs fed diets containing different amounts of PKEM at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> in period 1 and 2. Each value is the average of three lambs  |
| Table 5.4. Chemical composition of diets consumed by lambs fed either at maintenance or <i>ad libitum</i> with different amounts of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) in period 1 and 2 (g/100g)*   |
| Table 5.5. Calculated <sup>a</sup> apparent <i>in vivo</i> digestibility (%) and concentration of digestible energy (MJ/ kg DM) of diets containing different amounts of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) and estimated apparent <i>in vivo</i> digestibility digestible energy of PKEM at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> , during periods 1 <sup>b</sup> and 2 <sup>c</sup> . (Note: The values for 100% PKEM, shown in italics, present a wide extrapolation from measured data)115 |
| Table 5.6. Linear regression equations for apparent digestibility and concentration of digestible energy of diets containing different amounts of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM), and fed to lambs at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> , during periods 1 and 2   |
| Table 5.7. Calculated <sup>a</sup> intake, losses (faecal and urinary) and retention of nitrogen (N) of diets containing different amounts of palm kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> , during periods 1 <sup>b</sup> and 2 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Table 5.8. Linear regression equations for intake, faecal and urinary losses, and retention (intake less urinary and faecal losses) of nitrogen (N) based on the % of palm  |

| kernel expeller plus molasses (PKEM) in the diet, with data for lambs fed at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> included, in periods 1 and 2   |
|---|
| Table 5.9. Linear regression equations for faecal and urinary losses, and retention of nitrogen (N) based on the amount of nitrogen consumed in the diet, with data for lambs fed at maintenance and <i>ad libitum</i> included, in periods 1 <sup>a</sup> and 2 <sup>b</sup>   |
| Table 5.10. Average concentration of rumen volatile fatty acids and molar percentages of actetate, propionate and butyrate for the four diets containing different amounts of palm kernel expeller and molasses (PKEM) and fed to lambs at maintenance or at <i>ad libitum</i> during periods 1 and 2. Molar ratios are given for acetate:propionate (A:P). Least square means (LSMeans) $\pm$ SE (standard error) from three samples collected across 4, 8 and 12 hours after feeding lambs. |
| Table 6.1. Chemical composition of the pasture and palm kernel expeller (PKE) offered to cows throughout the experiment period  |
| Table 6.2. Daily pasture allowance, pre and post grazing pasture height and mass, dry matter (DM) intake and substitution rate for cows fed either a restricted pasture allowance plus 0 (RGOP), 3 (RGLP), or 6 (RGHP) kilograms of fresh PKE/cow/day or ad libitum pasture allowance (HG0P)  |
| Table 6.3. Chemical composition (g/100 gDM) of diets eaten by cows during the experiment. Data are calculated based on the composition of PKE eaten by the supplemented treatments, and the composition of pasture cut at 4, 6, 6 and 8 cm above the ground for the restricted pasture allowance (RG0P), restricted pasture allowance plus 3 (RGLP) and 6 (RGHP) kilograms of fresh PKE /cow/d and <i>ad libitum</i> pasture allowance (HG0P), respectively <sup>1</sup>                      |
| Table 6.4. Milk production and changes in live weight (LW) and body condition score (BCS) of cows fed either a restricted pasture allowance offered either 0 (RG0P), 3 (RGLP) or 6 (RGHP) kilograms of fresh PKE /cow/day or an <i>ad libitum</i> pasture allowance (HG0P) without any supplement   |

| Table 6.5. Fatty acid composition of pasture and palm kernel expeller (PKE) offered to  |
|---|
| cows during the experiment; and fatty acid composition of bulk milk fat samples taken   |
| from cows fed a restricted pasture allowance offered either 0 (RG0P), 3 (RGLP) or 6     |
| (RGHP) kg of fresh PKE /cow/day or an ad libitum pasture allowance (HG0P)151            |
|   |
| Table 7.1. Chemical composition of palm kernel expeller obtained from different         |
| sources in New Zealand  |
|   |
| Table 7.2. Mean values for dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre |
| (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) degradation characteristics of palm kernel         |
| expeller (PKE) defined by the soluble fraction (A), slowly degradable fraction (B),     |
| fractional disappearance rate (k), lag phase, undegradable residue (U), potential       |
| degradability (PD)  |

## **List of Appendices**

| Appendix 3.1. Soybean meal used as a standard to measure variations between incubations. Least square means of soybean meal (SBM) dry matter loss at different times and rumen parameters (pH, ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) and volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentrations) observed during the four <i>in sacco</i> incubations                    |
|---|
| Appendix 4.1. <i>In vitro</i> pH and ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) concentration (mMol/L) of freeze-dried and ground lucerne and soybean meal (SBM) incubated in four <i>in vitro</i> incubations. <i>In vitro</i> NH <sub>3</sub> and pH data of the standard feeds are the least square means of triplicate samples at each time and incubation. |
| Appendix 4.2. Average <i>in vitro</i> net ammonia production of PKE mixed with either ryegrass and white clover (RW) (Experiment 1; a), or ryegrass-only (Ryegrass) (Experiment 2; b) after 2, 6, and 12 hours of incubation  |
| Appendix 4.3. Experiment 1: cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE: Concentrations of total VFA, acetate, propionate, <i>n</i> -butyrate, and minor VFA (iso-butyrate, <i>n</i> -valerate and iso-valerate, <i>n</i> -caprionic) of diets with different proportions of PKE and ryegrass and white clover (RW)                                      |
| Appendix 4.4. Experiment 2: cows fed lucerne chaffage plus PKE: Concentrations of total VFA, acetate, propionate, <i>n</i> -butyrate, and minor VFA (iso-butyrate, <i>n</i> -valerate and iso-valerate, <i>n</i> -caprionic) of diets with different proportions of PKE and ryegrass-only (Ryegrass).   |
| Appendix 4.5. Experiment 2: cows fed lucerne chaffage only: Concentrations of total VFA, acetate, propionate, <i>n</i> -butyrate, and minor VFA (iso-butyrate, <i>n</i> -valerate and iso-valerate, <i>n</i> -caprionic) of diets with different proportions of PKE and ryegrass-only (Ryegrass).   |

Appendix 5.1. Digestible energy (DE) of diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM and the weight gain (Wgain) of lambs fed those diets, including data for

| lambs fed either at maintenance or ad libitum, during period 1 (*) and 2 (°). Point | ts with |
|---|---------|
| green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples.                           | 204     |
|   |         |
| Appendix 5.2. Gross energy (GE) and digestibility of energy (digestible energy of   | livided |
| by gross energy) in diets containing different amounts of pasture and PKEM, inc     | cluding |
| data for lambs fed either at maintenance or ad libitum, during period 4) (and 2 (   | (0).    |
| Points with green colour in the chart symbolize pasture-only samples                | 204     |

#### List of Abbreviations

A soluble fraction

ADF acid detergent fibre

AFRC Agricultural and Food Research Council

AIC Akaike information criteria
B slowly degradable fraction

BCS body condition score

BIC Bayesian information criteria

BW body weight

C.V coefficient of variation

CHO carbohydrates

CLA conjugated linoleic acids

CNCPS Cornell Net Carbohydrate and Protein System

CP crude protein

dL decilitre

DM dry matter

DMD dry matter digestibility

ED effective degradability

EE ether extract

eNDF effective fibre

ERDP effective rumen degradable protein

FA fatty acids

FCM fat-corrected milk

g grams

GE gross energy

GIT gastro-intestinal tract

HA herbage allowance

HG0P ad libitum pasture allowance and no PKE

k rate of digestion of the slowly degradable fraction

kg kilograms

kp fractional outflow rate

L litre

LSmeans least square means

LW liveweight

LW<sup>0.75</sup> metabolic liveweight

m<sup>2</sup> square metre

MAF Ministry of Agriculture & Forestry, New Zealand

ME metabolizable energy

mg milligram mL millilitre

MP metabolizable protein

MPOC Malaysian Palm Oil Council

MR meter readings

MS milk solids

MUN milk urea nitrogen

N nitrogen

NDF neutral detergent fibre

NH<sub>3</sub> ammonia

NIRS near infrared reflectance spectroscopy

ns not significant NZ New Zealand

OMD organic matter digestibility

P + PKE pasture plus PKE

PD potential degradability

PK palm kernel

PKE palm kernel expeller

PKEM palm kernel expeller plus molasses

PKM palm kernel meal

QDP quickly degradable protein

R<sup>2</sup> coefficient of determination

RDP rumen degradable protein

RG0P restricted pasture allowance and no PKE

RGHP restricted pasture allowance plus 3kg of fresh PKE restricted pasture allowance plus 6kg of fresh PKE

RMSPE root mean square prediction error

RSMPE root square mean prediction error

RUP rumen undegradable protein

RW ryegrass and white clover

Ryegrass ryegrass-only

s.d standard deviation

SBM soybean meal

SDP slowly degradable protein

SE standard error
SR substitution rate

SSS soluble sugars and starch

t time

TMR total mixed ration

U undegradable fraction

UK United Kingdom

US United States

VFA volatile fatty acids

vs. versus

Y herbage mass

xxviii