

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A SYSTEMS APPROACH TO RESEARCH PLANNING
FOR NORTH ISLAND HILL COUNTRY

A Thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at
Massey University.

David Graeme McCall

1984

ABSTRACT

The achievement of increased production from North Island Hill Country (NIHC), through an increased research input, is currently receiving considerable attention in New Zealand. The task of planning future research and setting priorities for this work requires an evaluation of the current state of knowledge relating to hill country grazing systems, and an assessment of future research needs. A number of references have been made to the potential usefulness of systems modelling in research and research planning. The objectives for this study were to apply modelling to the development of research priorities on NIHC, and to evaluate modelling in this role.

A simulation model was constructed to assemble the available information on soil, pasture and sheep components of NIHC grazing systems. Evaluation of the state of knowledge on components of hill country systems was based on problems (data and conceptual) confronted during model construction. The model simulated pasture growth, senescence and decay from climate data. The sheep component was based on an energy balance using the metabolisable energy system.

Parameters in major components of the model were calibrated against data set aside at the start of the study. This was done in order to obtain the 'most valid' model because there were a range of values reported in the literature for many of the parameters. Statistical goodness-of-fit tests were used as an aid to decide on the structural acceptability of the calibrated model, and some issues facing the choice of appropriate statistical lack-of-fit test for models, were discussed in detail. Model validity was established by subjective judgement. The need for subjectivity arose mainly because of uncertainty about settings of some, or all, important exogenous variables in the data available for validation.

Experiments were carried out with the model where stocking rate, lambing day, length of flushing, winter and spring rotations, and the number of paddocks retired from grazing in early summer, were varied. The results were used to define decisions giving 'optimal' levels of production. Climate data from Ballantrae Hill Country Research Station were used. Five representative years were constructed to account for major variations in climate experienced at the site.

Early lambing and winter and spring grazing strategies which maximised spring feed supply were clearly shown as the most important decisions in maximising system profitability.

The 'optimal' system was used as a base from which to investigate possible benefits from adding feed in spring through the use of nitrogen fertiliser, and increasing ovulation rate by artificial means. Both the above were shown to be profitable, given some adjustments to management strategies. Finally, changes were made to a range of parameters influencing potential pasture and animal performance. Effecting some of these changes by physical, chemical or genetic means would be profitable, particularly where wool production was concerned.

Difficulties were confronted in conceiving a means of setting objective research priorities using the model. A number of information deficiencies were noted, but the reasonableness of using sensitivity analysis to rank the importance of each deficiency in an 'invalid' model was questioned. Further the problem of choosing between obtaining information to further improve the model, and developing improved systems suggested by the model, was noted. Subjective priorities were determined based on the need to demonstrate superior systems identified by modelling, and the apparent need for a greater understanding of particular components to enable improved systems to be devised. Advantages were apparent in using modelling as an aid to making these subjective judgements.

It was concluded that the process of developing a pre-research model to evaluate research needs had been valuable. The learning aspect of modelling was emphasised, though problems with validation occur where modelling is conducted in isolation from field research. The view was submitted that the modelling should be extended to become an integral part of a research programme.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to Dr A. Wright and Professor R.J. Townsley for their supervision and guidance during this study. Professor Townsley made a major contribution to the statistical aspects of the study in Section 7.3.

Many other people at Massey University in MAF and DSIR helped in various ways, and can only be acknowledged collectively.

Special thanks are due to; Messrs M.G. Lambert, D.A. Clark and D.F. Chapman of Ballantrae HCRS, for their interest and generous assistance with data; and to Dr C.J. Korte for his generous assistance with data and willingness to discuss agronomic matters.

Dr Steve Black and Mr Walt Abell of the Massey University Computer Centre gave valuable assistance with computer programming problems. Mr H.U.-P. Hockey helped construct Genstat programs to analyse the simulation experiments.

The efforts of Mrs Betty Farrelly and Miss Mary Clarke in typing this thesis, Ms Pauline Hunt for help with art work, and Mr D.C. Smeaton for making critical comments on an earlier draft, are all gratefully acknowledged.

I am grateful to my employers, the New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, for their financial support throughout this study, and for their enduring patience in the period in which the study ran over time.

Finally, I wish to thank Julie McCall (nee Gregson) for her support and encouragement during the course of the study.

Any deficiency in this thesis is my responsibility and is not due to those mentioned above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Acknowledgements	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1. Introduction	1
2. Plan of Thesis	4
CHAPTER 2: SYSTEMS CONCEPTS AND THE USE OF MODELS IN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH	
1. Introduction	5
2. Fundamental Systems Concepts	6
3. Systems Concepts in Agricultural Research	8
4. The Use of Models in Agriculture Research	12
5. Summary	22
CHAPTER 3: MODELLING PROCEDURES AND A REVIEW OF SOME GRAZING MODELS	
1. Introduction	23
2. Definition of Objectives for Modelling	23
3. Model Construction	25
4. Model Evaluation	31
5. Experimentation	38
6. Review of Some Grazing System Simulation Studies	41
7. Conclusions	56
CHAPTER 4: INTRODUCTION TO MODELLING STUDY	
1. Introduction	59
2. Brief Description of NIHC Grazing Systems	59
3. Details of Model Organisation	60
4. Sources of Data for Model Construction	62
5. Model Description	64

	Page No.
CHAPTER 5: THE PASTURE SOIL COMPONENTS	
1. Introduction	65
2. The General Pasture Model	66
3. Adaptation of the General Model to Hill Country	79
4. Summary	82
CHAPTER 6: ANIMAL COMPONENT	
1. Introduction	83
2. Pasture Intake	84
3. Energy Utilisation by the Sheep	92
4. Reproduction	104
5. Structure of Complete Grazing Model	108
6. Summary	110
CHAPTER 7: MODEL EVALUATION	
1. Introduction	112
2. Model Refinement and Validation Procedures	112
3. Statistical Tests	114
4. Calibration of the Pasture-Soil Components	121
5. Validation of the Pasture Component	133
6. Calibration and Validation of the Animal Components	138
7. Summary	148
CHAPTER 8: EXPERIMENTATION	
1. Introduction	149
2. Effects of Management Decisions	150
3. Effects of Innovations and Possible New Technology	175
4. Sensitivity to an Extended Range of Parameters	197
5. Summary	200

CHAPTER 9: RESEARCH NEEDS AND EVALUATION OF MODELLING

1. Introduction	202
2. Evaluation of Component Data Relating to Hill Country Sheep Grazing Systems	202
3. Evaluation of Alternative Grazing Management Systems	207
4. Research Priorities	210
5. Evaluation of Modelling in Research Planning	213
6. Conclusions	217
Appendix A: Aspect and slope factors for temperature and radiation.	219
Appendix B: Statistical proof.	220
Appendix C: Validation results for non lactating, non pregnant sheep.	222
Appendix D: Design for a third replicate of a 3 * 5 factorial.	225
Appendix E: Economic assumptions.	226
Appendix F: Four-dimensional response surfaces.	227
References	230

LIST OF TABLES

		Page No.
6.1	Potential herbage intake of suckling lambs.	91
6.2	Parameter values for lactation curve.	97
6.3	Wool growth rate responses.	103
6.4	Lambing performance of ewes related to ovulation rate.	107
7.1	Parameter description and value for the original green DM calibration.	123
7.2	Regression analysis of various pasture components.	125
7.3	Calibrated parameter values for the restructured green DM component.	128
7.4	Calibrated parameter values for the dead DM component.	130
7.5	Parameter values for the reverse calibrations.	131
7.6	Parameter values following the 'hill country' calibration.	133
7.7	Results of winter grazing trial.	135
7.8	Results of spring/summer grazing trial.	137
7.9	Description and value of calibrated parameters in the pregnancy component.	140
7.10	Regression analysis of various animal components.	141
7.11	Comparison of model predictions during pregnancy with those of Rattray <u>et al.</u> (1982a).	143
7.12	Description and value of calibrated parameters in the lactation component.	144
7.13	Comparison of model predictions during lactation with those of Rattray <u>et al.</u> (1982b).	147
8.1	Net pasture growth rates in representative years.	154
8.2	Grazing decisions and physical components of production for treatments 1 to 6.	156
8.3	Grazing decisions and physical components of production for treatments 7 to 10.	159
8.4	Summary of major results for treatments with decisions closest to maximum gross margin for each stocking rate.	161

	Page No.	
8.5	Summary of maximum and minimum gross margins for each season by stocking rate combination.	163
8.6	Decisions leading to maximum gross margin for each season by stocking rate combination.	164
8.7	The influence of early and late lambing on the physical components of production.	165
8.8	Summary of maximum and minimum gross margin for each season by stocking rate combinations; selling date January 20.	168
8.9	Decisions leading to maximum gross margin for each season by stocking rate combination; selling date January 20.	169
8.10	The influence of early and late lambing on the physical components of production; selling date January 20.	171
8.11	Reductions from maximum gross margin as a result of implementing optimal decisions for each year.	174
8.12	Costs of using average year decisions while accounting for seasonal flexibilities.	176
8.13	Selected effects on system performance of additional feed supplied on September 1.	179
8.14	Effects on gross margin of additional feed supplied on September 1.	180
8.15	Gross margins for control and increased ovulation rate systems at two lambing days.	182
8.16	Physical differences between control and increased ovulation rate systems.	183
8.17	Gross margins for control and increased ovulation rate systems under set stocking at lambing.	185
8.18	Physical differences between control and increased ovulation rate systems under set stocking.	186
8.19	Break even costs per ewe for increasing ovulation rate.	187
8.20	Seasonal differences in gross margins relative to control systems (animal factors).	190

		Page No.
8.21	Physical differences between hypothetical voluntary intake and control systems at 16 ewes/ha.	191
8.22	Effects of increased voluntary intake on consumption patterns.	192
8.23	Physical differences between hypothetical lamb mortality and control systems at 18 ewes/ha.	192
8.24	Physical differences between hypothetical ewe mortality and control systems at 18 ewes/ha.	193
8.25	Seasonal differences in gross margins relative to control systems (pasture factors).	195
8.26	Results of sensitivity analysis on selected parameters.	198

LIST OF FIGURES

		Following Page No.
2.1	A simple research system.	11
3.1	Sequence of events in modelling.	24
3.2	Effects of interactions between parameter settings, on model sensitivity to a parameter.	39
3.3	Radiation - pasture growth multiplier in Galbraith <u>et al.</u> (1980-81).	46
5.1	Flow diagram of pasture production.	66
5.2	Seasonal efficiencies of pasture growth.	70
5.3	Effect of LAI on pasture growth.	72
5.4	Effect of temperature on pasture growth.	73
5.5	General relationship between soil moisture and ET/PET.	75
5.6	Effect of soil moisture on pasture growth and ET/PET.	75
5.7	Temperature multiplier for dead pasture losses by leaching.	79
5.8	Soil moisture multiplier for dead pasture losses by decomposition.	79
5.9	Temperature multiplier for dead pasture losses by decomposition.	79
5.10	Rate of dead pasture removal by earthworms.	79
5.11	Ratio of south:north aspect temperatures at 3 sites.	81
6.1	Flow diagram of energy utilisation by the sheep.	85
6.2	Effects of green stem on green herbage digestibility.	86
6.3	Relationship between green cover and percentage green stem.	86
6.4	Reduction in green herbage digestibility with increasing green cover.	86
6.5	Assumed maximum green herbage digestibilities.	86
6.6	Voluntary intake in dry sheep.	87

6.7	Effect of liveweight on mature ewe intake.	87
6.8	Intake factor for lactating ewes.	88
6.9	Basic intake factor for sheep post shearing.	88
6.10	Pasture intake-pasture allowance relationships.	91
6.11	Effect of pasture accessibility on maintenance requirements.	95
6.12	Scaled foetal weight through gestation.	95
6.13	Potential lamb birth weights.	95
6.14	Placenta and fluid weight through gestation.	96
6.15	Energy concentration in the various components of pregnancy.	96
6.16	Energy concentration in the mammary gland.	96
6.17	Generalised function for reduction in potential milk yield with increasing energy deficit.	96
6.18	Ewe oestrus and conception relationships.	105
6.19	Hogget oestrus relationships.	105
7.1	Graphical representation of the partition of $(\bar{Y}_i - \bar{X}_{ij})$.	119
7.2	Graphical representation of the partition of $(\hat{Y}_i - \bar{X}_{ij})$.	121
7.3	Adjusted growth rate-LAI relationship for periodically grazed swards.	128
7.4	Observed and simulated green herbage accumulation rates.	129
7.5	Assumed growth rate-LAI relationships in set stocked swards.	130
7.6	Observed and simulated dead herbage accumulation rates.	131
7.7	Observed and simulated net pasture growth rates for Ballantrae.	134
7.8	Net pasture growth rates under different settings of the soil fertility parameter.	135

		Following Page No.
7.9	Simulated pasture cover on LL and HH treatments in late spring and summer.	137
7.10	Simulated green, dead and total pasture yield with no harvests.	139
7.11	Observed and simulated foetal litter weight and empty ewe body weight.	141
7.12	Observed and simulated milk yield and ewe liveweight.	146
7.13	Simulated hogget liveweight-annual fleece weight relationship.	147
7.14	Simulated annual pattern of wool growth.	147
8.1	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin for best and worst grazing decisions.	156
8.2	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin for selected grazing decisions at 18 ewe/ha.	156
8.3	Simulated features of systems; treatments 1 and 3.	157
8.4	Simulated features of systems; treatments 4 to 6.	157
8.5	Simulated features of systems; treatments 7 and 8.	159
8.6	Simulated features of systems; treatments 9 and 10.	159
8.7	Means of significant effects on lamb selling liveweight at 18 ewes/ha.	160
8.8	Means of significant effects on lambing percent at 18 ewes/ha.	161
8.9	Means of significant effects on ewe fleece weight at 18 ewes/ha.	161
8.10	Simulated features of systems at 14 and 18 ewes/ha.	161
8.11	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 14 ewes/ha.	165
8.12	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 16 ewes/ha.	165
8.13	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 18 ewes/ha.	165
8.14	Simulated features of systems in average and dry autumn years.	167

Following
Page No.

8.15	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 14 ewes/ha. Lamb selling date January 20.	171
8.16	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 16 ewes/ha. Lamb selling date January 20.	171
8.17	Relationship between lambing day and gross margin in different years at 18 ewes/ha. Lamb selling date January 20.	171
8.18	Simulated features of systems; early and late lamb selling dates in a dry autumn.	172
8.19	Simulated features of systems; early and late lamb selling dates in an average year.	173
8.20	Effects on gross margin of adding feed in spring.	179
8.21	Gross margin advantages to hypothetical animal factor systems.	189
8.22	Gross margin advantages to hypothetical pasture factor systems.	195
9.1	Alternative relationships between lamb weaning weight and pasture cover at lambing.	207