Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A Comparative Study of the Language Used by New Zealand Children of European and of Samoan Descent Aged 6 Years 10 Months to 8 Years in Conversation with an Adult.

A dissertation presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
of Doctor of Philosophy in Language
at Massey University

Full name ISOBEL MARY MOYNIHAN

Year 1979

### ABSTRACT

The research represents an attempt to establish some normative data for the oral language performance of native-English speaking children aged 6 years 10 months to 8 years in conversation with an adult, and by examining the performance of Samoan children in the same age-group to determine those areas which discriminate most strongly between the performances of both groups.

Children were interviewed individually and the conversations recorded over a thirty-minute period. Tapes were transcribed on the same day as the recording, and the data quantified according to the procedures of Developmental Sentence Analysis<sup>1</sup>, which established a rank-order for each group. A more detailed analysis of the data was then made in order to identify those areas of development and/or of uncertainty which were common to both groups, and those which appeared only, or mainly, among the Samoan children.

The statistical analysis, based on the developmental weighting of syntactic items, (DSS scores) indicated that where errors were specific to the Samoan children they occurred in structures described as early-developing among native-English speaking children. At the higher developmental levels, the performance of Samoan children above the 50th percentile (for that group) was similar to that of their English speaking age-mates. The classification of errorpatterns also distinguished between 'growth errors' (where performance was characterized by over-generalizing or by substitution, for example), and 'deficiency errors'

Lee, L.L. and Koenigsknecht 1974, <u>Developmental Sentence</u>
Analysis.

(where morphemes and syntactic items were omitted), the latter occurring more consistently among the Samoan children.

In addition, a general indication of language development in relation to chronological age was derived by comparing the DSS scores of the Samoan children falling below the 25th percentile for that group with those obtained by younger children at the 50th percentile point for each one-year interval from age 4 to age 6. In the absence of New Zealand DSS norms for these age-levels, it was necessary to use those derived from a study of American children (Lee 1974), but the results are in accord with other New Zealand-based studies (See 0.1, Introduction) which have noted the "two-year gap" appearing around age 7 among Polynesian children when their achievement on a variety of measures and tests is compared with that of their Pakeha age-mates. In the present study, the "gap" ranged from about 20 months at the 25th percentile (for the Samoan group) to over 41 months at the 10th percentile.

The general intention has been to sharpen the focus for teachers wishing to develop compensatory language programmes so that effort may be directed to those specific areas where non-native speaking children appear to have missed a developmental stage in their acquisition of English. The findings also suggest that difficulty with certain syntactic structures, semantic concepts, and phonological realizations is a function of age-level and the language-situation for both groups of subjects rather than of the ethnic background of the Samoan group.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I should like to express my thanks to the following individuals and institutions:

to my Chief Supervisor, Dr. N.R. Watts, for his advice, encouragement, and unfailing patience; also to Dr. D.H. Bray, Associate Supervisor, for his guidance, and to Mr. B. Natusch for helpful suggestions on phonological notation;

to Professor J. Dunmore, Head of the Department of Modern Languages, Massey University, for his assistance with administrative procedures;

to Christchurch Teachers' College Council for granting leave to enable me to fulfil the requirements for full-time study and research;

to the Canterbury and New Plymouth Education Boards and District Senior Inspectors of Primary Schools for allowing me access to schools to carry out the research;

to the Principals, Senior Teachers of Junior Classes, and classroom teachers who co-operated so willingly in the selection of children and in providing facilities for the interviews;

to my colleagues at Christchurch Teachers' College: in the English Department, for sharing my previous lecturing and other responsibilities; and in the Audio-Visual Department for assistance with recording and reprographic procedures; in the Mathematics Department for assistance with the processing of statistical data; in the Library for obtaining books on Inter-loan, and for their assistance in tracing publication details;

to Professor Laura Lee, Northwestern University, Illinois, for her interest in the research, and for her permission (and that of the publishers, Northwestern University Press) to duplicate the DST and DSS Charts from Developmental Sentence Analysis (1974), pp. 86-87 and 134-135;

to Dr. Margaret Maclagan, Speech Therapy Department, Christchurch Teachers' College, for introducing me to Professor Lee's work in the field of developmental sentence analysis;

to Mrs. G. Lamb who typed, and re-typed, the drafts of the final dissertation and Tables; to Mrs. A. Hughey who typed the bibliography, the list of Appendices, and completed the lay-out of Figure 1; to Mrs. Williamson, English Department, University of Canterbury who typed the phonetic symbols (the co-operation of Professor D. Davy, Head of the English Department in providing the facility is also gratefully acknowledged).

Above all, I am indebted to the children, both Samoan and Pakeha, who talked so freely and spontaneously in the presence of an unfamiliar adult with a taperecorder. They came from the following schools: (in alphabetical order)

Addington	Christchurch	
Aranui	II	
Bamford	II	
Bishopdale	n	
Christchurch East	II	
Elmwood Normal	II	
Freeville	11	
Isleworth	п	
Linwood Avenue	II .	
Mary Immaculate Con	vent "	
Phillipstown	II .	
St. Anne Convent	"	
St. Bernadette Conv	ent "	
St. James "	II.	
St. Joseph "	11	
Sockburn	11	
Spotswood	New Plymouth	
Spreydon	Christchurch	
Sydenham "		
Vogeltown	New Plymouth	
Wainoni	Christchurch	
Wairakei "		
Waltham "		
West Spreydon	II .	
Woolston	II .	

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract Acknowledge	ements	page iii v
0.	INTRODUCTION	16
0.1	A review of recent research	17
PART O	NE: THE DESIGN	
Chapter 1.	Purpose and Procedures	25
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	Primary Aims Method and Instrument Subjects Definition of Terms Procedures Transcription and Scoring Modifications of DSS Procedures	26 27 32 34 36 37
PART T	WO: THE ANALYSIS	
Chapter 2.	Statistical Analyses	45
2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Developmental Sentence Scores (DSS) Weighted Developmental Scores in the DSS Categories Number of Entries in the DSS Categories Conclusions from Statistical Analyses	46 48 58 69
Chapter 3.	Detailed Analysis of Performance in Each of the DSS Categories	78
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Procedure Indefinite Pronoun and Noun Modifier Category Personal Pronoun Category Main Verb Category 3.4.1 Verb Inflections at Levels 1 and 3.4.2 V-ed1 and V-ed2 Forms of Lexical	79 80 85 92 2 93
3.5 3.6 3.7 3.9	Verbs at Levels 2, 7 and 8  3.4.3 Verb Forms at Levels 7 and 8  3.4.4 Verb Forms at Levels 4 and 6  3.4.5 Errors in Tense Sequence  3.4.6 Conclusions  Secondary Verb Category  Negative Category  Conjunction Category  Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Questions  Category  Conclusions from DSS Category Analyses	97 106 111 114 116 119 124 130

Çhapter 4	<ol> <li>Detailed Analysis of Performance in Areas not covered by DSS Categories</li> </ol>	143
4.1	Sentence Point Scores and the OTHER Categories	144
4.2	Vocabulary	150
4.3	Prepositions and Adverbs: Definitions	
	and Classification Procedures	163
	4.3.1 Simple Prepositions	164
	4.3.2 Complex Prepositions	165
	4.3.3 Prepositional Adverbs	166
	4.3.4 Verb + Particle(s)	167
	4.3.5 Adverbs	168
	4.3.6 Classification according to	
	Linguistic Levels	170
	4.3.7 Error-Patterns at the Syntactic	
	Level	171
	4.3.8 Error-Patterns at the Syntactic/	176
4.4	Semantic Levels	, 176
	Pronunciation	191
4.5	Indefinite and Definite Articles	207 210
4.6	Redundant Subject Noun Inflection	210
4.7	Word-Order, Ambiguity, etc.	215
4.9	Conclusions from Detailed Analyses	215
Chapter 5		21
-	Language Performance (April 1977	
	to August 1978)	218
G 1 - '		2.45
Conclusio	on	245
APPENDIX	I	
I.1	Stimulus materials	253
I.2	DSS Chart	260
I.3	Additions and alterations to DSS Chart	261
I.4	Differences in DSS scores and in mean weighted developmental score in the Main Verb category resulting from the scoring	
	of ellipted verbs for NG and SG subjects	264
I.5	A hypothetical corpus of twenty-five	201
1.0	sentences illustrating Developmental	
	Sentence Scoring	265
	3	
APPENDIX	П	266
II.l	A comparison table showing percentile	
_	points on DSS measure for US subjects	
	at 6 years 6 months and for NG and SG	
	subjects of mean age 7 years 5 months	267
II.2	Differences in increment between NG and	
	SG subjects, using the US scores at age	
	6-6 as bases	267

II.3	Group means for weighted developmental scores in six DSS categories with rank-order for US subjects aged 6-0 to 6-11 and for NG and SG subjects aged 6-10 to 8-0	268
II.4	SG subjects in DSS rank-order, with DSS and P.A.T. Listening Comprehension percentiles (where available) and teachers' comments (where supplied)	269
II <b>.</b> 5	DSS scores and measures for 20 New Plymouth NG subjects of mean age 7-4	271
APPENDIX I	II	274
III.1	DST Chart	275
Bibliography		

## LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 2		page
Table 1	Rank-order of DSS scores, and percentiles for NG and SG subjects, with group means and standard deviations shown for male and female subjects combined and separately.	47
Table 2	Group means for weighted developmental scores in the DSS categories, with category rank-order, for NG and SG subjects.	50
Table 3	Discriminant function of DSS categories, with rank-order, based on intergroup differences between weighted developmental scores, omitting Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Question categories.	52
Table 4	Discriminant function of DSS categories, with rank-order, based on intragroup differences between weighted developmental scores for the top and bottom five subjects, omitting Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Question categories.	53
Table 5	Intragroup differences between male and female subjects in weighted developmental scores, excluding Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Question categories.	57
Table 6	Discriminant function of DSS categories, with rank order, based on intragroup differences between mean frequency for the top and bottom five subjects, including Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Question categories.	60
Table 7	Group totals for number of entries in each DSS category expressed as a percentage of total entries in the eight categories together with rank-order of frequency.	62
Table 8	Group means and standard deviations for Developmental Sentence Scores (DSS), Weighted Developmental Score (WDS), and number of entries (F) for NG and SG subjects.	68
Chapter 3		
Table 9	Error-types and frequency in the Indefinite Pronoun and Noun Modifier category for NG and SG subjects.	81

Table	10	Error-types and frequency in the Personal Pronoun category for NG subjects.	86
Table	10A	Error-types and frequency in the Personal Pronoun category for SG subjects, with error-percentages for NG and SG.	87
Table	11	Details of error-types in the Main Verb category at Levels 1 and 2 for NG and SG subjects.	94
Table	12	Variations in the principal parts of irregular verbs.	97
Table	13	The seven irregular verb-classes.	98
Table	14	Error-patterns in regular and irregular lexical verbs at Level 2 (simple past tense) for NG and SG subjects.	100
Table	15	Error-frequency in the simple past tense of regular and irregular verbs classified under OMISSION and OVER-GENERALIZING.	105
Table	16	Incidence of over-generalizing the <u>ed</u> morpheme on the simple past tense of irregular verbs among NG and SG subjects with DSS scores falling above the 50th percentile point.	105
Table	17	Error-patterns in $V-\underline{ed}_2$ forms at Levels 7 and 8 in the Main $\overline{Ver}b$ category for NG and SG subjects.	107
Table	18	Analysis of error-patterns in the components of Level 7 verbs among NG and SG subjects.	108
Table	19	Mean frequency of entries at Levels 4 and 6 in the Main Verb category for NG and SG subjects in groups of ten according to DSS rank-order.	112
Table	20	Errors in the verb-forms at Levels 4 and 6 by NG and SG subjects.	113
Table	21	Frequency of tense-sequence errors in co-ordinate and subordinate clauses for NG and SG subjects.	114
Table	22	Number of entries and percentage of error at all Levels in the Main Verb category for NG and SG subjects.	118
Table	23	Type and frequency of error in the Secondary Verb category at all developmental Levels for NG and SG subjects.	122

, t

Table	24	Number of entries and of errors at each developmental Level in the Secondary Verb category for NG and SG subjects.	123
Table	25	Frequency of entries in the Negative category shown for NG and SG subjects at Levels 1, 4, 5, with Level 7 entries shown for groups of ten subjects according to DSS rank-order and as a percentage of total entries.	129
Table	26	Type and frequency of error in the Conjunction category at each developmental Level for NG subjects.	134
Table	27	Type and frequency of error in the Conjunction category at each developmental Level for SG subjects.	135
Table	28	Frequency of entries at Levels 5, 8 and at 3 and 6 combined and their expression as percentages of total entries in the Conjunction category for NG and SG subjects.	137
Table	29	Frequency of entries at Levels 5 and 8 in the Conjunction category shown for NG and SG subjects in groups of ten according to DSS rank-order.	137
Table	30	Number of errors expressed as a percentage of entries at Levels 5 and 8, and at 3 and 6 combined for NG and SG subjects.	137
Table	31	Mean weighted developmental score per subject and per entry in the Interrogative Reversal and Wh-Question categories for NG and SG subjects.	139
Chapte	er 4.		
Table	32	Total number of Sentence Points received for 50 scored utterances by every five subjects, mean scores for every ten subjects and group means.	145
Table	33	Intra-group discriminant function of Sentence Point scores. Number of correct sentences expressed as a percentage of total sentences scored for NG and SG subjects.	146
Table	34	Number of errors by NG and SG subjects in categories not covered by DSS, together with rank-order of categories in descending frequency.	148

Table 35	Group means for errors in DSS and OTHER categories over 50 scored utterances.	148
Table 36	Pronunciation error-types and frequency in the complete language samples from NG and SG subjects.	193
Chapter 5		
Table 37	Record of progressive reduction in language-delay by subject SG40 from 10 March to 10 August 1978 as measured by comparing successive DSS with the 50th percentile point scores for earlier age-levels as shown in Lee 1974 (167).	236
Table 38	Mean scores obtained from all scorable utterances; from fifty scored utterances (DSS); and from the fifty highest scoring utterances by subject SG40 over the period from 22 April 1977 to 10 August 1978.	237
Table 39	Record of development in the eight DSS grammatical categories from 10 March 1978 to 10 August 1978 and Sentence Point scores over that period for subject SG40 (Rita).	239
Table 40	Number of entries and of attempts at each of the developmental Levels of the eight DSS categories from 10 March 1978 to 10 August 1978 for subject SG40 (Rita).	242

# LIST OF FIGURES

		1	page
Figure	1	Percentile points for SG subjects at age 7-5 and for American children from age 4-0 to 6-6, with approximate language delay in months for SG subjects below the 50th percentile point.	71
Figure	2	Position in family of the top and bottom ten SG subjects	73
Figure	3	A model for the classification and description of errors in the use of prepositions, adverbs, and particles in multi-word verbs at syntactic, semantic, and phonological levels.	17 <b>2</b>
Figure	4	Error-types and distribution in the sub-category of clausal adverbs (Time) for NG and SG subjects.	187

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DSA\* : Developmental Sentence Analysis.

DSS\* : Developmental Sentence Scoring (the method),

or Developmental Sentence Score (the result

in numerical form).

ESL : English as a Second Language

GCE : A Grammar of Contemporary English (Quirk

et al. 1973)

LARSP : Language Assessment, Remediation and

Screening Procedures (Crystal et al.1976)

LASS : Linguistic Analysis of Speech Samples (Engler

et al.1973)

NG : Normative Group (children of native-English-

speaking parents)

P.A.T.: Progressive Achievement Tests (New Zealand

Council for Educational Research)

SG : Samoan Group (children with at least one

Samoan parent)

US : United States (children in Lee and Koenigsknecht

1974)

<sup>\*</sup> Abbreviations used in Lee, L.L. and Koenigsknecht, R. (1974): Developmental Sentence Analysis.