

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Process of Medical Device Development  
- how it presents a challenge to traditional product development methodologies

by Peter J. Turner

THE PROCESS OF MEDICAL DEVICE DEVELOPMENT  
- HOW IT PRESENTS A CHALLENGE TO TRADITIONAL PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT  
METHODOLOGIES

by

**Peter J Turner**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
of

Master of Technology (MTEch)

Massey University

2003 - 2007

Approved by Assoc Prof Ian S Maddox,  
Academic Director (Auckland)  
Programme Director (Engineering & Technology)  
College of Sciences

Supervisory Committee: Dr Kevin Low  
Prof. Allan Anderson

Institute of Technology & Engineering,  
Palmerston North

## **Acknowledgements.**

This thesis was born out of a sense of frustration with the process of Medical Device Development in New Zealand; I hope that this study will make a difference.

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisors Dr Kevin Low and Prof. Allan Anderson who have directed me down the path.

To my partner, Dr Kathy Stone who has never wavered from her commitment to support me in this endeavour.

To my colleagues: Martyn Cook, Paul Sinding & Wolf Marbach against whom I have batted ideas and who have provided me with perspective, thank you.

## AIM

The New Zealand Government is encouraging manufacturers to develop markets for high value, knowledge based products. Medical Devices [MD] fit well within this policy since they are generally produced in limited volumes for niche markets. The complexity of many devices and restricted marketing opportunities discourages countries with developing economies from copying them.

The local market is too limited however to justify the expenditure required for the R&D of sophisticated technology and the manufacturer must ultimately seek international markets. Before a medical device can be accepted in any developed country however, it must comply with international standards.

Standards are evolving to support global commerce, easing the burden on manufacturers to comply and helping to ensure that users receive safe medical devices 124

Business structures utilised for Medical Device [MD] development must utilise multidisciplinary teams with a consumer focus to achieve success.

Compliance issues must be factored into the design of every device from inception. For the small to medium manufacturer to be aware of and understand all of the issues involved in every international market, is a daunting task.

A possible solution is to employ a consultant with the requisite knowledge, however the cost of advice during the period of development is a burden when the cash outflow is maximum! The industry in NZ is also immature and few individuals, including the Ministry of Health MedSafe staff, have sufficient knowledge to prevent costly delays and possible reworks for unforeseen design faults.

Marketing complex MD's internationally within this regulated environment requires resources and knowledge beyond the resources of the micro- and small medium- enterprises that are the lifeblood of the New Zealand economy.

**The aim of this thesis is to develop a systematic management model to guide the medical device manufacturer along the path towards compliance in major international markets.**

**ABSTRACT:**

Medical Devices [MD] represent special challenges to the designer and manufacturer. They range from disposable, single use articles to extremely complex and expensive technologies. While single use devices may be simple in concept and easily manufactured, they nevertheless may be invasive or could threaten human life if inappropriately used. For consumables packaging to maintain sterility from factory output to the operating tray is an important requirement. Such devices, in common with far more sophisticated equipment, may be assigned a classification requiring tightly controlled manufacturing and inspection systems that may vary between different jurisdictions.

Quality management systems increase overheads to the already considerable investment incurred during R & D. Audit trails required by these systems become tortuous and difficult to validate as components are sourced increasingly from low cost base countries.

The increasing use of microprocessor controlled wireless network technology increases radio frequency clutter and electromagnetic interference between medical devices can result in injury or death.

Most countries now insist on guarantees that toxic substances cannot be released by a product into the environment during its lifecycle or when disposed of.

International protection of intellectual property presents challenges for New Zealand manufacturers with limited resources. Frequently the designer/manufacturer needs an in depth understanding of the clinical context for the equipment including a knowledge of human physiology and anatomy for the application. Current literature about allied technology must be reviewed and a business plan developed that exploits the opportunity presented by the proposed advances in the development of a new MD applying current theoretical knowledge.

Many developments supercede historical technology, introducing challenges for the practitioner/operator to understand its operation and optimise its performance. Factoring patient & practitioner education into the distribution of MD's significantly increases the cost of marketing.

To gain access into international markets MD's must comply with stringent standards for safety and performance.

A case study examines these issues in relation to the development by the author of MD's to enhance vision and conduct tests of visual performance, the Librus 300 & 600.

This study illustrates many of the difficulties the New Zealand Manufacturer faces and suggests management structures, processes and development systems that would facilitate the process and an infrastructure to support it.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgements.....	2
<b>1. New Zealand in the Context of International Medical Device Development.....</b>	<b>10</b>
1.1 Introduction.....	10
1.2 Classification Rules for Medical Devices.....	11
1.3 Demographics.....	12
1.4 Commercial Value of MD's.....	14
1.5 Scoping Opportunities for Ophthalmic Medical Devices.....	14
1.5.1 Customer Problem/Need.....	15
1.5.1.1 Assessment of Visual Performance.....	15
1.5.1.2 Diagnosis & Treatment of Disease.....	15
1.5.1.3 Surgery.....	15
1.5.2 Value.....	16
1.5.3 Market Attractiveness.....	16
1.5.3.1 Assessment of Visual Performance.....	16
1.5.3.2 Diagnosis & Treatment of Disease.....	16
1.5.3.3 Surgery.....	17
1.6 Drivers & Trends.....	17
1.6.1 Assessment of Visual Performance.....	18
1.6.2 Diagnosis & Treatment of Disease.....	18
1.6.3 Surgery.....	19
1.7 Medical Devices and Diagnostics in New Zealand.....	19
1.8 Technological Synergies to Promote MD Development.....	22
1.9 Organisational Structures to Support MD Development.....	24
1.9.1 Design and Management Infrastructure.....	24
1.9.2 Technology Industry Groupings.....	24
1.10 International Centres of Medical Device Innovation.....	26
1.11 Standards Initiatives.....	28
1.12 Optics Industry Initiatives.....	28
1.13 Learning from Medical Misadventure – Designing for Patient Safety.....	30
1.14 ICT.....	31
1.15 International Initiatives in Patient Safety.....	32
1.16 Where is New Zealand in the Context of International Medical Device Development?.....	33
<b>2. Special Features of Medical Devices.....</b>	<b>37</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	37
2.2 Benchmarking – Best Practice.....	38
2.2.1 Traditional Product Methodologies.....	38
2.2.2 Critical factors in NPD.....	40
2.2.3 Recognizing Excellence.....	40
2.2.4 Medical Product Methodologies.....	41
2.2.5 The MATCH Project.....	42
2.2.5.1 Proposal Stage.....	43
2.2.5.2 Preliminary Investigation Stage.....	43
2.2.5.3 Detailed Design & Process Development.....	43
2.2.5.4 In Use & Follow-up.....	44
2.2.5.5 Summary Comments on the Study Outcomes.....	44
2.3 Purchasing Decisions.....	45
2.4 Impact of Information Technology.....	46
2.5 Servicing and Support.....	46

2.6 Reliability and Safety.....	47
2.7 Postmarket Surveillance Studies 38.....	48
2.8 Device Tracking.....	48
2.9 Research Data from Intelligent MD's.....	49
2.10 Commercialisation scenarios.....	49
2.10.1 Protection of intellectual property.....	49
2.10.2 SWOT analysis.....	50
2.11 Marketing.....	51
2.11.1 Introduction.....	51
2.11.2 Community perceptions/expectations.....	51
2.11.3 Government Policies.....	52
2.11.4 Demographic and Epidemiological Trends.....	53
2.11.5 Professional Politics & Culture.....	53
2.11.6 The Competition; Price Sensitivity.....	54
2.11.7 Marketing by Functionality.....	54
2.11.7.1 Assistive Devices.....	55
2.11.7.2 Diagnostic and Treatment Devices.....	55
2.12 International Marketing & Distribution Issues.....	57
2.12.1 International Marketing.....	57
2.12.2 Inventory.....	57
2.12.3 Servicing & Upgrades.....	57
2.12.4 Training of Servicing Network.....	58
2.12.5 Development of Tutorials, Manual & Website.....	58
<b>3. Challenges in Medical Device Development.....</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	59
3.2 Sharing IP - Technology Transfer.....	59
3.3 Availability of Components.....	61
3.4 Specialised Manufacturing.....	62
3.4.1 Miniaturisation.....	62
3.4.2 Materials.....	62
3.4.3 Toxicity.....	63
3.4.4 Certificates of Compliance for Outsourced Products.....	63
3.5 Time to Market.....	64
3.6 Differentiated Markets.....	65
3.7 Risk Management.....	66
3.8 Risk Intelligence Defined.....	67
3.9 European Union - Risk Analysis for Medical Devices.....	69
3.9.1 Risk Analysis Standards.....	69
3.9.2 Steps in Risk Analysis.....	69
<b>4. QMS – Medical Devices.....</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	71
4.2 Manageable Software Solutions.....	72
4.3 The QMS.....	77
4.3.1 The QMS Structure.....	77
4.3.2 QMS Documentation.....	79
4.3.2.1 Level 1: The Quality Manual (Document #: QM 01).....	79
4.3.2.2 Level 2: Standard Operating Procedures.....	80
4.3.2.3 Level 3: Product Specific Documents.....	80
4.3.2.4 Level 4: Forms and log sheets.....	81
4.4 The MindManager Dashboard.....	83

---

4.4.1 The MD R&D Process.....	86
4.5 Managing Risk.....	90
4.5.1 Process & Systems Standards - EMC & Electrical Safety.....	90
4.5.2 The CISPR11 Scheme.....	91
4.5.2.1 IEC60601-1.....	91
4.5.2.2 ISO 11137:1995; ISO 11135.....	91
4.5.2.3 UL/IEC61010.....	91
4.5.2.4 AS/NZS/IEC 60950-1.....	91
4.5.3 Packaging.....	92
4.5.3.1 Treating packaging as an accessory.....	92
4.5.3.2 Standards for Packaging.....	92
4.5.3.3 Accelerated Aging.....	92
4.5.3.4 Integrity During Transport.....	92
4.6 Practical Application of QMS.....	92
<b>5. Case Study.....</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	93
5.2 Define the Medical Process - Understand the Operating Environment.....	93
5.2.1 Low Vision.....	93
5.2.2 Colour Vision Testing.....	94
5.2.3 Colour Matching.....	94
5.2.4 Additional features to enhance value.....	95
5.2.5 Comparative Analysis of Existing Technologies.....	95
5.3 Preliminary Diagrams, Graphics, and Calculations.....	95
5.4 Proposed Solution.....	96
5.4.1 Contexts of Use.....	96
5.4.2 Subsets of Design.....	97
5.4.3 The Design Philosophy.....	97
5.4.4 Design Summary.....	100
5.5 Product Life Cycle.....	100
5.5.1 Restriction of Hazardous Substances [RoHS].....	100
5.5.2 Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment [WEEE].....	103
5.6 Risk Analysis - Electrical Safety and Electromagnetic Compatibility [EMC].....	103
5.6.1 Introduction.....	103
5.6.2 Electrical and Electronic Equipment Safety – Overview.....	104
5.6.2.1 Overview.....	104
5.6.2.2 Products Requiring a Supplier Declaration of Conformity (SDoC).....	105
5.6.2.3 Compliance.....	105
5.6.3 Recognised Certification.....	107
5.6.4 Electromagnetic Compatibility [EMC].....	107
5.6.4.1 Summary of Compliance Requirements.....	107
5.7 Labelling for Electrical Safety and EMC.....	109
5.8 'The Label on any Product'.....	110
5.9 Compliance Folder.....	111
5.10 Timeline - to Market.....	113
5.11 Intellectual Property.....	114
5.12 Identification.....	114
5.12.1 Trademarking and Branding.....	114
5.12.2 CE Mark.....	114
5.12.3 Labelling.....	116
5.13 Technical Files.....	116
5.13.1 Introduction.....	116
5.13.2 Filing.....	116

---

5.13.2.1 Notes.....	116
5.13.2.2 TF Format.....	119
5.13.2.3 Document Change Notes.....	119
5.13.2.4 Document Control.....	119
5.14 Define Technical Requirements.....	119
5.15 Proof of Concept Design.....	119
5.16 Production Prototypes.....	119
5.17 Pre-production.....	121
5.18 Compliance.....	123
5.18.1 WAND.....	123
5.18.2 Harmonisation of NZ & Australia.....	125
5.18.3 DEAL.....	126
5.18.4 Food and Drug Administration of the USA [FDA].....	131
5.18.4.1 Introduction.....	131
5.18.4.2 Three Steps to Obtaining Marketing Clearance from CDRH are defined by:.....	132
5.18.4.3 A 510(k).....	133
5.18.4.4 Premarket Approval.....	134
5.18.4.5 Other Requirements Besides Marketing Clearance.....	134
5.19 Develop Service Network.....	135
5.20 Marketing & Distribution.....	135
5.20.1 Product Colour Schemes.....	135
5.20.2 Branding & Trademarking.....	135
5.20.3 The Website.....	136
5.20.4 Target Markets.....	136
5.20.5 Price Sensitivity.....	137
5.20.6 Training.....	137
5.20.7 Advertising.....	137
5.20.8 Post Market Evaluation.....	137
5.21 An Alternate Development Process.....	137
<b>6. A Model for Medical Device Development in New Zealand.....</b>	<b>138</b>
6.1 Objective .....	138
6.2 Introduction.....	138
6.3 The Source of Ideas.....	138
6.3.1 Academic research institutions.....	139
6.3.2 Health Professionals.....	140
6.3.3 Hospitals & Treatment Centres.....	141
6.4 The Initiation Process.....	143
6.5 Constraints of the NZ Micro-enterprise.....	144
6.6 The Innovation Gap.....	144
6.7 Joint Venture.....	145
6.8 The 'Virtual' Organisation.....	146
6.9 Helpful Agencies & Government Initiatives.....	148
6.10 A Model for MD Development in NZ.....	150
6.10.1 Specialised Manufacturing.....	150
6.10.2 Access to Users/End-Users.....	150
6.10.3 Methods of Eliciting User Perspectives and Requirements in MD Development. ....	151
6.10.4 Summary of Key Issues, Findings and Conclusions .....	151
6.11 MD Marketing.....	152
6.12 Compliance & Regulatory Conformity.....	153
6.13 A MD Development Structure.....	153
6.14 The Wellington Institute of Technology [WIT].....	154

<b>7. Conclusions.....</b>	<b>158</b>
7.1 The Future for MD Manufacturing in NZ.....	158
7.2 MD vs. Traditional PD Methodologies.....	159
7.3 A NZ Model.....	161
<b>References.....</b>	<b>162</b>
<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>175</b>
Appendix 1 - Definition of a Medical Device.....	176
Appendix 2 - WEEE & RoHS Directives.....	178
Appendix 3 - Recent changes to ISO standards .....	180
Appendix 4 - SOP 17 - Quality Records .....	184
Appendix 5 - IEC 60601-X Standards.....	185
Appendix 6 - Directive 2002-96-EC '97.....	193
Appendix 7 - Application for Certificate of Approval.....	195
Appendix 8 - Examples of Compliant Labelling.....	196
Appendix 9 - Technical Files [SOP24] .....	197
Appendix 10 - Document Change Note .....	199
Appendix 11 - SOP 07 - Document Control .....	200
Appendix 12 - Pre Market Approvals.....	204
Appendix 13 - MATCH Deliverable 6A.....	207