Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Vitality and Burnout of Employees in Christian Humanitarian Organisations:

The Role of Need Satisfaction at Work and Religious Beliefs

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts
In
Psychology
At Massey University, Albany
New Zealand

Tamara Joanne Williams

Abstract

Humanitarian workers are at relatively high risk of developing a range of negative outcomes in response to stress. For individual employees, the organisations they represent, and the people they serve, it is important to examine workplace wellbeing. This research analysed vitality and burnout as constructs of wellbeing in employees of international, Christian humanitarian organisations based in Chennai, India. This wellbeing dichotomy was explored through two main factors: basic psychological need satisfaction at work, and religious beliefs. These two factors were examined through a Self-Determination Theory framework.

Data was collected via a questionnaire comprised of psychometrically established measures and six open-ended questions for supplementary qualitative data. There were 99 respondents.

The results demonstrated the importance of supporting autonomy, competence and relatedness (basic psychological needs) in employees of humanitarian organisations. Workplace support leading to basic need satisfaction was associated with increased vitality and decreased levels of burnout. Autonomous motivations for religious beliefs were positively associated with vitality, and non-autonomous motivations for religious beliefs were linked with decreased burnout. The use of religious coping was not as clearly linked with these wellbeing constructs except that the presence of negative religious coping was positively related to burnout.

The findings are pertinent for humanitarian organisations, particularly those with a Christian foundation, to understand and facilitate factors that increase vitality and decrease burnout in the workplace.

Acknowledgements

The completion of this thesis is due to the support I have received from many people along the way.

Thank you to my husband, Peter, for his support and extreme patience with me throughout the long process of writing the thesis.

Thanks to my family who have been willing to help me to accomplish the practical aspects that I cannot do from overseas - thank you Monique.

To my supervisor, Dr Natasha Tassell, I really appreciate your time, guidance, patience and encouragement.

A special thanks to the organisations who allowed me to distribute my questionnaires among your staff – I could not have done this without your support.

Table of Contents

7.0

| Abstr | act | |
|--------|---|-----|
| Ackno | owledgements | vi |
| List o | f Tables | X |
| List o | f Figures | xii |
| | | |
| 1.0 | Introduction | |
| 2.0 | Self-Determination Theory | |
| 2.1 | Organismic-Dialectical Meta-Theory | (|
| 2.2 | Basic Needs Theory | (|
| 2.3 | Motivation | 8 |
| 2.4 | Cross-Cultural Considerations | 1 |
| 3.0 | Need Satisfaction at Work | 12 |
| 3.1 | Basic need satisfaction in the workplace | 12 |
| 3.2 | Person-environment fit and need satisfaction | 10 |
| 3.3 | | |
| 4.0 | Christian Religious Beliefs | 19 |
| 4.1 | Justification for Studying Christian Religious Beliefs | 19 |
| 4.2 | Faith and Wellbeing | 19 |
| 4.3 | Internalisation of Faith | 20 |
| 4.4 | Religious Coping | 21 |
| 4.5 | The Present Research | 25 |
| 5.0 | Wellbeing Outcomes: Subjective Vitality and Burnout | 20 |
| 5.1 | Subjective Vitality | 27 |
| 5.2 | Burnout | 28 |
| 5.3 | The Relevance of Stress to Wellbeing | 30 |
| 5.4 | The Present Research | 31 |
| 6.0 | Hypotheses | 33 |
| 6.1 | Environmental Factor: Basic Need Satisfaction at Work | 33 |
| 6.2 | Individual Factors: Religious Beliefs | 34 |
| 63 | Relative Contributions of Basic Need Satisfaction and Religious Beliefs | 36 |

| 7.1 | Research Approach | 37 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 7.2 | Sample | 37 |
| 7.3 | Procedure | 38 |
| 7.4 | Measures | 39 |
| 7.5 | Qualitative Data | 42 |
| 8.0 | Results | 45 |
| 8.1 | Quantitative Analysis | 45 |
| 8.2 | Relationships Between Demographic and Psychological Variables | 48 |
| 8.3 | Primary Analyses: Relationships among Psychological Variables | 51 |
| 8.4 | Qualitative Analysis | 60 |
| 9.0 | Discussion | 73 |
| 9.1 | Research Findings | 73 |
| 9.2 | Practical Implications | 95 |
| 9.3 | Limitations of the Present Study and Suggestions for Future Research | 98 |
| 9.4 | Conclusion | 102 |
| | | |
| Refer | ences | 103 |
| Appe | ndix A – Research Information Sheet for Organisations | 128 |
| Appe | ndix B – Informed Consent Form for Organisations | 130 |
| Appe | ndix C – Research Information Sheet for Participants | 131 |
| Appe | ndix D – Research Questionnaire | 133 |
| Appe | ndix E – Open-ended questions to elicit qualitative data | 144 |
| Appe | ndix F – Exploratory Data Analysis | 145 |
| Appe | ndix G - Descriptive Statistics for all individual items on each subscale | 148 |
| Appe | ndix F – Descriptive Statistics for Comparison Samples | 153 |

List of Tables

| Table 1: | Demographic Information on Sample45 |
|-----------|---|
| Table 2: | Score ranges, Means, Standard Deviations, and Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficients for all research variables |
| Table 3: | Score ranges, Means, Standard Deviations, and Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficients for all research variables |
| Table 4: | Pearson's correlations (r) between vitality and burnout |
| Table 5: | Pearson's correlations (r) between basic need satisfaction at work and wellbeing |
| Table 6: | Pearson's correlations (r) between internalisation of religious beliefs and wellbeing53 |
| Table 7: | Pearson's correlations (r) that vitality, burnout and internalisation of religious beliefs have with GCOS |
| Table 8: | Identification and introjection beta coefficients in a comparison of vitality and burnout multiple regression models with and without GCOS54 |
| Table 9: | Pearson's correlations (r) between religious coping and wellbeing55 |
| Table 10: | Pearson's correlations (r) between religious coping and internalisation of religious beliefs |
| Table 11: | Moderation analysis of interaction between internalised religious beliefs and religious coping |
| Table 12: | Standard multiple regression models for vitality and burnout showing standardised regression coefficients, R, R ² and Adjusted R ² for all participants |
| Table 13: | The z values indicating normality of data transformations with and without outliers |
| Table 14: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of all relevant individual Items |
| Table 15: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples for Vitality (One study from Tassell's (2009) Appendix I on Comparison Samples) |
| Table 16: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples for Burnout (Two studies from Tassell's (2009) Appendix I on Comparison Samples) |

| Table 17: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples Basic Need Satisfaction at Work | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Table 18: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples Christian Religious Internalisation Scale | |
| Table 19: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples Religious Coping | |
| Table 20: | Sample sizes, Means and Standard Deviations of Comparison Samples General Causality Orientations | |

List of Figures

| Figure 1: | Schematic representation of hypothesised relationships between basic need satisfaction at work, vitality and burnout |
|-----------|---|
| Figure 2: | Schematic representation of hypothesised relationships between internalisation of Christian religious beliefs, religious coping, vitality and burnout |
| Figure 3: | The self-determination continuum, showing the motivational, self-regulatory, and perceived locus of causality bases of behaviours that vary in the degree to which they are self-determined. Reprinted from "The what and why of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior." by Deci & Ryan, 2000, <i>Psychological Inquiry</i> , 11, 237 |
| Figure 4: | The transactional model of stress and coping. Reprinted from "Stress, Appraisa and Coping" by Lazarus & Folkman, 1984 |
| Figure 5: | Relative frequency of main themes in coping with stress |