Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Capture-seq and small RNA-seq to identify noncoding RNAs in the mouse ribosomal RNA gene repeat intergenic spacer

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Genetics

At Massey University (Albany), New Zealand

Jessica Leigh Fitch

2018

Abstract

Cancer is a leading cause of mortality in developed countries. Due to the genetic and epigenetic heterogeneity of this disease, we still don't have effective long-term therapies for many cancers. A characteristic of many cancer cells is an alteration in the structure of the nucleolus - the primary location of the ribosomal DNA (rDNA). The rDNA encodes ribosomal RNA, which is the major structural and catalytic component of ribosomes – the cellular machinery responsible for protein biosynthesis. Accordingly, the rDNA and its transcription is a key regulator of cell proliferation. Despite this critical role, the highly repetitive nature of the rDNA has made it difficult to study, thus it remains an attractive target for anti-cancer therapies. Indeed, the promising anti-cancer drug, CX-5461, developed by our collaborators, targets the rDNA through the inhibition of the rDNA dedicated RNA polymerase I (currently in clinical trials).

In preliminary experimentation, there is a dramatic change in expression of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) from the rDNA during the transition to malignancy. Although the function of rDNA ncRNAs is almost entirely unknown, ncRNAs from other regions of the genome have a multitude of regulatory functions, including involvement in cancer. We hypothesise that these transcripts play a role in malignancy and CX-5461 sensitivity.

Utilising a mouse B-lymphoma model (E μ -myc), we first applied a high throughput hybridisation-based RNA-sequencing approach (capture-seq), to enrich for rDNA intergenic spacer (IGS) ncRNA transcripts within 11 cDNA sequencing libraries. Regions of transcription throughout the IGS were identified using several bioinformatic tools, and qPCR was performed to validate transcription status as well as assess for CX-5461-dependent transcriptional changes. We also utilised other bioinformatics tools, to predict small RNAs arising from the IGS and other regions of the E μ -myc genome, and briefly assessed their response to CX-5461 treatment. miRNAs of interest were assessed for potential pathway targets using several bioinformatic targets. Lastly, we aimed to further characterise the E μ -myc model. With this, we assessed efficacy of methods that could be used for downstream knockdown/over expression analysis.

Overall, using the capture-seq method we identified 8 major clusters of exons (known as exon cluster groups), that were consistently predicted between RNA library preparations. These were confirmed to be transcriptionally active by qPCR, with one of these clusters. Additionally, we identified several sites in the mouse rDNA IGS that may express small RNAs, with small RNA reads aligning to these sites with some consistency between library preparations. Some of these, due to presence and absence patterns in either CX-5461 treated or control libraries, may show some signs of treatment-dependent differential expression. We also identified miRNAs from other regions of the genome which show similar patterns. We assessed potential small RNAs for gene target enrichment. No pathways/cellular components appeared to be biologically significant. We assessed a method of viral-mediated gene knockdown in a number of cell lines, which did not show efficacy in the mouse lines we had available.

In conclusion, if these exons produce ncRNAs that contribute to malignancy, the ncRNAs will form attractive new targets for therapy, independently or in combination with CX-5461, and could be used as diagnostic and prognostic markers of cancer. The future trajectories of this project include selecting promising IGS transcripts, particularly those differentially expressed, to confirm their size by northern blot. Then, to assess their role in malignant cells, to perform knockdown/overexpression assays and assess cellular response. Further, we would target the rDNA ncRNAs in several cancer and non-cancer cell lines, to broaden our understanding of anti-cancer application.

Acknowledgements

I'd like to extend my sincerest gratitude to my supervisors, Austen and Sebastian, for their ongoing support and guidance throughout my masters. I have always been one to ask a lot of questions, some better than others, so I have appreciated your patience. My confidence in my own ability has greatly improved over the last few years, and they (as well as all my colleagues and friends) are the reason behind it. I will always be immensely grateful.

I'd also like to thank the entire Ganley Lab for their help, by means of suggestions, critique and some laughs along the way; it has been a pleasure being part of the team. Particularly, thank you Daria Chudakova and Diksha Sharma for all your help and advice in the lab and around computers. A lot of the work completed was completely foreign (and in some cases extremely intimidating). I wouldn't have been able to finish this without you.

Thank you to my parents, family and friends for all your love and encouragement over the last few years. Your support (in all the many shapes and forms) will always be cherished.

Lastly, thank you to all our new friends at The University of Auckland. Special acknowledgements to Liam Williams and Kristine Boxen, who day and night work tirelessly sequencing and helping with genomics equipment. Your tips and tricks have been fantastic, and it has been great working with you.

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List of abbreviations	1
1.Introduction	2
1.1 A brief introduction to cancer and current cancer therapies	2
1.2 Targeting the ribosomal DNA and noncoding RNA for the treatment of cancers	4
1.2.1 Ribosomal DNA (rDNA)	4
1.2.2 Non-coding RNAs	11
2. Project aims	15
3. Materials and Methods	16
3.1 Culturing mammalian suspension cell lines (Eμ-myc) in vitro	16
3.2 Culturing adherent cell lines	17
3.3 Cytotoxicity assay	17
3.4 Treating cells with CX-5461	18
3.5 Preparing RNA for Capture-Seq	19
3.5.1 RNA extractions for total RNA	19
3.5.2 Ribodepletion of total RNA	19
3.5.3 Measuring RNA quality	19
3.5.4 DNA extraction	19
3.5.5 DNA sonication	20
3.6 Western blot analysis for analysing UBF knockdown efficiency	20
3.7 Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) for shUBF knockdown efficiency	22
3. 8 cDNA synthesis, library preparation and hybridisation-based enrichment for lncRNA and small RNAs.	
3.9 cDNA synthesis and library preparation for <120 bp small RNAs	25
3.10 Bioinformatic analysis Capture long ncRNA RNA-Seq Multiplex libraries	25
3.10.1 Producing reference genome	25
3.10.2 Indexing reference genome	26
3.10.3 Aligning Capture-seq reads to reference genome, and sorting/cleaning alignme outputs using Samtools	
3.10.4 Using Stringtie to assemble reads into Transcripts	27

transcriptiontranscription	
3.10.6 Comparing IGS aligned read numbers to reads aligning to the whole genome in to DNA-derived library to estimate theoretical enrichment potential	
3.10.7 Normalising between libraries using ERCC spike ins	. 31
3.10.8 Normalising exons to the captured-DNA to reduce effect of capture bias	. 33
3.10.9 Producing a Repeatmasker GTF file for the mouse rDNA IGS	. 33
3.11 Designing and optimising qPCR primers to validate expression of IGS exons	. 34
3.11.1 Assessing efficiency/specificity of IGS exon qPCR primers and preliminary qPCR testing for validating exon transcription	34
3.11.2 Testing for presence of gDNA contamination	35
3.11.3 RNA extraction and final to validate transcription from predicted transcribed regions of the mouse rDNA IGS	35
3.11.4 Assessing rRNA transcription changes with CX-5461 treatment via qPCR	. 36
3.12 Bioinformatic analysis of small (>120bp) RNA-seq data	. 36
3.12.1 Quality assessment and trimming raw reads	. 36
3.12.2 Aligning and visualising small RNA reads to identify potential small RNAs	. 36
3.12.3 Finding miRNA from the rDNA IGS using Bowtie and mirDeep2	. 38
3.13 Lentiviral transfection and infection optimisation	. 40
3.13.1 Lentiviral transfection of HEK and MEF cells	. 40
3.13.2 Lentiviral transduction of 4242 cells	. 41
4. Results	. 42
Section 4.1 Identifying regions of IncRNA transcription in the mouse rDNA IGS	. 43
4.1.1 Capture-seq experimental design	. 43
4.1.2 Producing the captured libraries enriched for mouse rDNA IGS transcripts	. 44
4.1.2.1 First attempt cDNA library synthesis from high quality ribodepleted RNA	. 45
4.1.2.2 Second attempt cDNA library synthesis from high quality ribodepleted RNA	. 50
4.1.2.3 Measuring efficacy of CX-5461 treatment on 4242 and shUBF cells	. 53
4.1.2.4 Preparing and capturing pooled cDNA libraries	. 54
4.1.3 Bioinformatic analysis of capture-RNA-seq for IGS noncoding-transcripts	57
4.1.3.1 The theoretical efficiency of the Capture-seq method at enriching for noncoding RNA from the IGS	_
4.1.2.2 Using bedtools coverage to assess for areas of transcription within the mouse rDNA IGS	60
	60

4.1.2.4 ERCC analysis for normalising Stringtie FPKM outp samples	•
4.1.3 Initial qPCR assessing IGS exon transcription from exor	n clusters71
4.1.3.1 Assessing gDNA contamination in qPCR RNA samp	les 75
4.1.4 Final qPCR validation of mouse rDNA IGS transcription	within exon clusters 76
Section 4.2 Identifying small RNAs derived from the mouse rDI response to CX-5461	-
4.2.1 Preparing small RNA for library preparation, and early manipulation	
4.2.2.1 Small RNA analysis using standard alignment/visualis and GO enrichment analysis	_
4.2.2.2 GO enrichment analysis of potential seed sequence aligner	
4.2.3 Small RNA analysis using miRDeep2 software	93
4.3 shRNA characterisation in the Eμ-myc model	97
4.3.1 shUBF knockdown confirmation analysis	
44.3.2 Lentiviral transduction	99
5. Discussion	105
5.1.1 Identification of noncoding exons within the E μ -myc rl	ONA IGS 105
5.2 Small RNA-seq data reveals small RNAs with potential di 5461 treatment	·
5.3 Transduction into mouse cell lines	110
5.4 Future trajectories	111
5.5 Final summary	114
6. Bibliography	115
7. Appendices	126
Appendix 1: Primer sequences for qPCR and conventional Po	CR 126
Appendix 2: Table of S1 library full Stringtie output example exons contributing to the transcript and coverage of exons	•
Appendix 3: Table of IGS exons predicted by Stringtie in day DNA and ranked from highest to lowest in regards to covera	•
Appendix 4: Full GO SLIM enrichment outputs (biological procomponents) from STAR alignment predicted seed sequence	
Appendix 5: Full GO SLIM enrichment biological processes (I components(cell comp) outputs from miRDeep2 predicted s	•

Appendix 6: Buffer table	135
Appendix 7: Table of ERCC input concentrations calculated for day one or day	two libraries
(in ERCC mix 1 or mix 2)	136
Appendix 7 continue: ERCC input concentrations calculated for day one or day	y two libraries
(in ERCC mix 1 or mix 2)	137

List of tables

Table 1 Mammalian cell lines used in experiments	. 16
Table 2 First extraction RNA concentrations pre- ,post- ribodepletion and post Im-PCR	. 49
Table 3 Second extraction RNA concentrations pre- ,post- ribodepletion and post Im-PCR	. 52
Table 4 Outline of day one and day two libraries pooled for capture	. 55
Table 5 Raw read and STAR aligner outputs from rDNA IGS capture sequencing data	. 57
Table 6 Theoretical capture efficiency using DNA derived library comparing all mouse	
chromosomes (and rDNA coding region) to the IGS	. 59
Table 7 Cycle differences between RNA to cDNA input and RNase treated RNA input into qPo	CR
with capture-seq noncoding exon primers 1-10	. 76
Table 8 Small RNA library raw read output numbers	. 82
Table 9 STAR aligner read outputs from small library sequencing for 4242 and shUBF lines	
either treated or untreated (DMSO) with CX-5461	. 85
Table 10 Small RNA reads fitting our set criteria that may reflect small RNA exons, their	
location in the IGS and seed sequence	. 87
Table 11 Comparing read numbers aligning to spacer promoter region between different	
libraries	. 88
Table 12 Seed sequences of our predicted small RNAs, their sequence and treatment schem	ie
found in	. 91
Table 13 GO slim biological processes and cellular compartment outputs from Targetscan	
results of seed sequences (table 11)	. 92
Table 14 miRNAs predicted by miRDeep2 found in more than one library	. 94
Table 15 miRDeep2 predicted miRNAs GO slim pathway enrichment output from Targetscar	1-
predicted targets	. 95

List of Figures

Figure 1 RNA polymerase I (RNA Pol I) complex at the rDNA promoter	6
Figure 2 Schmetic summarising RNA extraction, Ribodepletion and the steps within the S	eqEZ
RNA Enrichment System User guide	23
Figure 3 rDNA IGS regions targeted by capture probes	44
Figure 4 Figure 4 Examples of Bioanalyser outputs with high RNA quality	45
Figure 5 Assessing ribodepletion efficiency in first RNA extractions	46
Figure 6 Comparing Bioanalyser results from day one cDNA library preparations after liga	tion-
mediated PCR (Im-PCR) to ideal capture-seq cDNA libraries	48
Figure 7 Assessing ribodepletion efficiency in second RNA extractions	51
Figure 8 Bioanalyser results of day two cDNA library preparations after ligation-mediated	PCR
(Im-PCR)	51
Figure 9 Example of Cytotoxicity assay results	54
Figure 10 Final pooled captured library output	56
Figure 11 Coverage graphed against rDNA base position (coding and IGS)	62
Figure 12 Coverage graphed against IGS base position	63
Figure 13 Coverage graphed against IGS base position after normalisation to DNA capture	e 64
Figure 14 Stringtie-predicted exon output visualised in IGV	66
Figure 15 ERCC plots used for step one of two-step IGS exon coverage normalisation	68
Figure 16 ERCC slopes against volume of input or read number output	69
Figure 17 Location of exon clusters within the mouse rDNA IGS	71
Figure 18 Examples of outputs during primer validation	72
Figure 19 First attempt of qPCR validating transcription from predicted IGS noncoding ex	ons
clusters	74
Figure 20 qPCR validation of bioinformatically identified mouse rDNA IGS ncRNA exon clu	sters
	79
Figure 21 Measuring 47S pre-rRNA expression difference in CX-5461 treated and untreated	∍d
samples	80
Figure 22 Pre- and post- trimming FastQC graphs of a raw small RNA sequencing output	83
Figure 23 Small library read outputs compared to numbers of uniquely mapped and	
unmapped	84
Figure 24 IGV visualisation of all small RNA reads aligning across the mouse rDNA unit	86
Figure 25 Self-dotplot of mouse rDNA unit produced by Geneious software	90
Figure 26 UBF knockdown analysis in shUBF Eμ-myc compared to control	99
Figure 27 Packaging and infection into HEK cells using lentiviral system	100
Figure 28 Lentiviral infection attempt into Eμ-myc from HEK packing cells	102
Figure 29 Lentiviral infection into MEF cells from HEK packaging cells	103

List of abbreviations

Unit abbreviations

μl/ μlg : micro-litre/-gram

G: gram

Hrs: hours

L: litre

Mins: minutes

ml/mg: mili-litre/-gram

nl/ng: nano-litre/-gram

Frequently used abbreviations

cDNA: complementary DNA

IGS: intergenic spacer

miRNA: micro-RNA

mRNA: messenger RNA

qPCR: quantitative PCR

rDNA: ribosomal DNA

RIN: RNA integrity number

RNA pol I : RNA polymerase I

RNA-seq: RNA- sequencing

shRNA: short hairpin RNA