

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Designing Interactive Learning Environments

A dissertation presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science at Massey University Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Raymond Henry Kemp

1995

Abstract

The trend towards teaching by facilitating learning rather than by direct instruction is an important one. As part of this movement, there is a growing interest in the concept of interactive learning environments (ILEs), where students learn by experimenting with a computer system that simulates some device, system or situation. Although ILEs can act as effective teaching aids they are time-consuming to create. In this thesis, principles that are useful for guiding the development of these systems are proposed, and design issues are explored.

In order to determine what the principles for development should be, the history of teaching by computer is reviewed, with an emphasis on interactive systems that have a learning rather than instructional bias. The important concepts of modelling, discovery learning and fidelity are examined in some detail.

One of the conclusions of the initial survey is that it is not feasible to think in terms of general design primitives that can be used for the development of all interactive learning environments. Since there is a diverse range of possible environments, two specific types are examined. In each case, a framework for design is proposed.

First, the teaching of procedural skills is considered. These skills include the ability to understand the operation of mechanical devices, to be able to carry out tasks with them, and to correctly assemble and dismantle pieces of equipment. Providing a realistic model which can include informative feedback is seen as important. It is demonstrated that a scheme adapted from AI planning can economically provide an appropriate level of fidelity for modelling device operation. A compatible notation for denoting tasks is also developed.

A methodology for the design of ILEs for teaching procedural skills is proposed, complete with graphical specification for both domains and tasks. It is envisaged that such a scheme would allow domain experts and teachers to take a full part in the design process, even if they are unable to write or understand computer programs.

The second kind of ILE considered involves the simulation of human behaviour. Two schemes for knowledge-based simulation are examined: one based on CYC and one on Schank and Abelson's behavioural model. The former is used to outline a system for simulating problem-oriented policing. The latter is extended to facilitate the

development of knowledge-based simulation teaching systems. This second scheme is then applied to the simulation of domestic disputes.

Since many of the problems of simulating real world events by computer software have yet to be solved, a full computer implementation is not yet a realistic proposition. Instead, the domestic disputes model is tested using a 'Wizard of Oz' approach. Results show that a scheme based on the model proposed is feasible, that subjects can successfully use such a system and that, as a result, they believe their understanding of the issues being presented is improved.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the support of my main supervisor Mark Apperley, without whom this research would not have got off the ground. Besides steering me through the project he provided those two most invaluable commodities: time and space.

Next, I would like to express my gratitude to Ross St George, my second supervisor, who has always given me thoughtful feedback on my writing and proffered enthusiastic support throughout. Ross introduced me to the work of Pittsburgh's Learning Research and Development Center and helped me organise study leave in that city to talk to researchers at LRDC and Carnegie-Mellon. This has had a very great impact on my views and on the direction that the project has taken.

Thanks are also due to other people who have provided useful and often detailed comments on drafts of the thesis. These include Noel Craske, Geoff Cumming, Andre Everett, Gord McCalla, Jean McKendree and John Self. Without their input this document would have been completed several months earlier. Hopefully, however, the final product contains far fewer mistakes and is much more cohesive than would otherwise have been the case.

Lastly, I would like to thank various people who have contributed in other ways to the completion of this project and the content of the thesis: Shamus Smith, who has implemented some of the teaching system ideas, tested out the models and helped set up the Wizard of Oz experiment, as well as carrying out other smaller tasks too numerous to mention; John Andreeae, who helped me get into shape material for the IJHCS paper, much of which has been used in Chapters 4 and 5; Dave Burns, who suggested and helped with the development of the POPIT police teaching system; Phil Carter, who conducted the domestic violence survey used as a basis for the model in Chapter 7; Stephen Cranefield, who validated the planning schema used in Chapter 4; the Computer Science secretarial staff, particularly Rosemary and Wendy, who were always very helpful; and most of all my family: Rebecca and Stephen for their support, and my wife Elizabeth who kept me focussed and sane.

Publications

The following publications are associated with the research presented in this thesis.

Journal Articles

Kemp, R. H. (1992). Intelligent Computer Assisted Instruction: a knowledge-based perspective. *Australian Computer Journal*, 24(3), 121-129.

Kemp, R. H. and Smith, S. P. (1994). Domain and task representation for tutorial process models. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 41, 363-383.

Conference Proceedings

Kemp, R. H. (1990). Knowledge-based methods in teaching and training. *Proceedings of Fourth New Zealand Conference on Expert Systems*, Palmerston North: Massey University.

Kemp, R. H. and Burns, D. (1992). POPIT: an intelligent teaching package for police training. A. Holzl and D. Robb (Eds.), *Proceedings of Second International Conference on Information Technology for Training and Education* (pp. 359-370), Brisbane: University of Queensland.

Kemp, R. H. (1993). Knowledge-based simulation for teaching. *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks and Expert Systems* (pp. 192-195), Dunedin, New Zealand.

Kemp, R. H. and Smith, S. P. (1994). Teaching procedural skills by computer simulation. *Proceedings of Second Singapore International Conference on Intelligent Systems* (pp. B73-78), Singapore.

Kemp, R. H. and Smith, S. P. (1994). Using planning techniques to provide feedback in Interactive Learning Environments. *Proceedings of Sixth IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence* (pp. 700-703), New Orleans.

Smith, S. P. and Kemp, R. H. (1995). Efficient modelling of domains for computer tutoring systems. *Proceedings of 18th Australasian Computer Science Conference*, Adelaide.

Internal Reports

Kemp, R. H. and Smith, S. P. (1994). *Facilitating Feedback in Discovery Learning Systems*. Information and Mathematical Sciences Report No. 94/19. Massey University, Palmerston North.

Kemp, R. H. and Carter, P. (1995). *Knowledge-based Simulation Applied to Domestic Violence Scenarios*. Mathematical and Information Sciences Report Series No. 95/1. Massey University, Palmerston North.



Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Learning environments.....	3
1.3 The problem	5
1.4 Scope, goals and limitations.....	5
1.5 Related research	7
1.6 Outline of content.....	8
Chapter 2 Learning by Computer	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Programmed learning	11
2.3 Early large-scale projects	13
2.4 Intelligent Computer Aided Instruction	15
2.5 Intelligent Tutoring Systems	21
2.5.1 Structure of ITSs	21
2.5.2 Domain module	22
2.5.3 Student module.....	24
2.5.4 Tutorial module	25
2.5.5 Interface module.....	25
2.5.6 ITS appraisal	28
2.6 Research at LRDC.....	29
2.6.1 Knowledge structures.....	29
2.6.2 Problem solving methods	31
2.6.3 The acquisition of expertise	33
2.6.4 Facilitating learning.....	35
2.6.5 LRDC software	38
2.7 CMU research	40
2.7.1 The ACT models	40
2.7.2 CMU software	42
2.7.3 A comparison of LRDC and CMU research.....	45
2.8 Summary	46
Chapter 3 Key Concepts	48
3.1 Introduction	48
3.2 Knowledge issues.....	48
3.2.1 Expert systems.....	49
3.2.2 Situated cognition.....	56
3.3 Modelling and fidelity	62
3.3.1 Process models	63
3.3.2 Fidelity of models.....	71
3.3.3 Classifying fidelity	77
3.4 Discovery learning.....	82
3.5 Summary.....	87
Chapter 4 Domain and Task Representation for Tutorial Process Models	89
4.1 Introduction	89
4.2 Domain description	90
4.2.1 Transition nets	91
4.2.2 Strips.....	94
4.2.3 An alternative Strips formulation.....	96
4.3 Task specification	98
4.3.1 Domains and tasks.....	99
4.3.2 Domain and task separation	100
4.4 Summary.....	104

Chapter 5 Interactive Learning Environments for Procedural Tutors	106
5.1 Introduction	106
5.2 Implementing domain models	106
5.2.1 The gear assembly domain.....	106
5.2.2 The VCR domain	108
5.3 Implementing a task model.....	110
5.4 Graphical representation for a procedural task tutor	116
5.4.1 Procedural nets	116
5.4.2 Plan nets	122
5.5 Teaching knowledge.....	125
5.6 Summary.....	128
Chapter 6 Knowledge-based Simulation for Teaching	130
6.1 Introduction	130
6.2 Simulation.....	132
6.2.1 Classifying simulation.....	132
6.2.2 Knowledge-based simulation	134
6.2.3 Knowledge-based simulation in ill-structured domains.....	135
6.3 Scenarios.....	141
6.4 Modelling behaviour.....	143
6.4.1 Schank and Abelson's behaviour model.....	143
6.4.2 A behaviour model for teaching	148
6.5 Summary.....	154
Chapter 7 Towards a Knowledge-based Simulation Tutor	155
7.1 Introduction	155
7.2 POPIT: applying knowledge-based simulation	155
7.2.1 The domain.....	156
7.2.2 Outline of system	158
7.2.3 The adviser	160
7.2.4 The student model	161
7.2.5 The interface.....	162
7.3 Domestic disputes.....	163
7.4 Wizard of Oz simulation.....	171
7.5 Summary.....	174
Chapter 8 Conclusions and Further Work	177
8.1 Introduction	177
8.2 Summary of research.....	177
8.2.1 Procedural tutors	178
8.2.2 Knowledge-based simulation in ill-structured domains.....	180
8.3 Contributions of research	181
8.4 Future work	183
References	185

Appendix A Gear Mechanism Description	209
A.1 Introduction	209
A.2 Gear mechanism representation	209
A.3 Summary	209
Appendix B Domain Description using Plan Nets	215
B.1 Denoting VCR operation using plan nets	215
Appendix C Domestic Dispute Simulation	219
C.1 Introduction	219
C.2 Event breakdown	219
C.3 Profiles.....	227
C.3.1 Police officers	227
C.3.2 The batterer.....	230
C.3.3 The battered wife	233
C.3.4 Other actors.....	236
C.4 A sample scenario.....	236
C.5 Summary.....	239
Appendix D Administration of Wizard of Oz Experiment and Results	241
D.1 Introduction.....	241
D.2 Experimental set-up	241
D.3 Sessions, questionnaire and results.....	244
D.4 Conclusion	257

Figures

Figure 1.1	Development of ideas in thesis	9
Figure 2.1	SCHOLAR semantic network.....	15
Figure 2.2	Extract from Scholar dialogue	17
Figure 2.3	Extract from WHY dialogue	18
Figure 2.4	SOPHIE dialogue.....	20
Figure 2.5	Organisation of typical ITS.....	21
Figure 2.6	Section of WUSOR's Genetic Graph	23
Figure 2.7	Screen from RBT	26
Figure 2.8	Screen from ANGLE 3.0	27
Figure 2.9	Weak problem solving methods.....	32
Figure 3.1	The Alcohol Rule from MYCIN	51
Figure 3.2	A tutorial rule.....	54
Figure 3.3	Knowledge types used in MYCIN, NEOMYCIN and GUIDON	55
Figure 3.4	Purposes of CO ₂ removal system model.....	62
Figure 3.5	Qualitative models of processes	64
Figure 3.6	Concept map of baroreceptor reflex	66
Figure 3.7	Qualitative description of buzzer	70
Figure 3.8	A linear depiction of fidelity types	78
Figure 3.9	Conceptual simulation	79
Figure 3.10	Qualitative reasoning model	80
Figure 3.11	Components of idealized expert system	81
Figure 3.12	Idealized teaching system model	82
Figure 4.1	Gearing mechanism in Bluebird starter motor.....	91
Figure 4.2	Schematic diagram of starter motor gearing.....	92
Figure 4.3	Part of gearing assembly transition net.....	93
Figure 4.4	Transition in gearing assembly problem.....	93
Figure 4.5	Action table for gearing assembly problem.....	94
Figure 4.6	Analysis of possible feedback from Strips system	95
Figure 4.7	Overlapping subdomains	96
Figure 4.8	Three VCR operations	98
Figure 4.9	Specification for VCR task	100
Figure 4.10	Task overlay in the gearing assembly problem.....	101
Figure 4.11	Projection graph for gearing problem.....	102
Figure 4.12	Highlighted task routes in gearing problem projection graph	102
Figure 4.13	Crucial situated control rules for gear assembly task	103
Figure 5.1	Part of gear assembly tutorial session.....	107
Figure 5.2	Analysis of gear assembly dialogue.....	108
Figure 5.3	Essential predicates and parameters for VCR simulation	109
Figure 5.4	Action table for VCR and television operation.....	111
Figure 5.5	Dialogue from VCR simulation program	112
Figure 5.6	Part of projection graph for VCR simulation.....	112
Figure 5.7	Permissible operation sequences for playing a video tape.....	113
Figure 5.8	Situated control rules for playing a video tape	114
Figure 5.9	Part of dialogue for video tape playing task	115

Figure 5.10	Procedural net for setting up camera	116
Figure 5.11	Procedural net for taking photograph	117
Figure 5.12	Action table for high level description of photography task.....	117
Figure 5.13	Procedural nets for parts of the photography task	118
Figure 5.14	Extract of dialogue for program derived from procedural nets	119
Figure 5.15	Procedural net for gear assembly problem.....	120
Figure 5.16	Set of procedural nets for representing gear assembly problem.....	121
Figure 5.17	Plan net enablement and causal configurations	122
Figure 5.18	Plan net for hammering.....	123
Figure 5.19	Plan net for cleaning plugs.....	123
Figure 5.20	Action table for cleaning plugs.....	124
Figure 5.21	Plan net for cleaning plugs with radiator check added	124
Figure 5.22	Automated scheme for developing a procedural task tutor	129
Figure 6.1	Classification of simulation methods.....	132
Figure 6.2	Behaviour networks	139
Figure 6.3	Analysis of knowledge-based simulation packages.....	140
Figure 6.4	Hierarchy of events for knowledge based simulation.....	142
Figure 6.5	Expectancy Rules.....	144
Figure 6.6	Life themes.....	145
Figure 6.7	Interaction of situations, mental states and actions.....	149
Figure 6.8	Possible low-level feedback based on causal semantics.....	149
Figure 6.9	Profiles, goals, plans and actions	152
Figure 7.1	Incident-driven policing	157
Figure 7.2	Problem-oriented policing	157
Figure 7.3	Passage of information through POPIT	160
Figure 7.4	Information used by adviser.....	161
Figure 7.5	Life themes of actors.....	165
Figure 7.6	Actors' themes, goals, plans and actions	165
Figure 7.7	Beliefs, goals and actions for actors	166
Figure 7.8	Wife battering event: wife asked out	168
Figure 7.9	Wife battering event: asks husband if she can go	169
Figure 7.10	Wife battering event: evening of social event.....	169
Figure 7.11	Wife battering event: return from evening out	170
Figure 7.12	Wife battering event: the evening after.....	170
Figure 7.13	Wizard of Oz scheme.....	172
Figure 7.14	Screen from Wizard of Oz session.....	173
Figure 7.15	Sample dialogue from a role playing session	175
Figure 7.16	Questionnaire results summary	176
Figure A.1	Gear mechanism.....	210
Figure A.2	Gear mechanism structure.....	211
Figure A.3	Essential predicates for gear mechanism description	211
Figure A.4	Inessential predicates for gear mechanism description.....	211
Figure A.5	Actions for gear mechanism description.....	211

Figure A.6	Static axioms	212
Figure A.7	Gear domain description: casing subsystem	212
Figure A.8	Gear domain description: link gearing subsystem.....	213
Figure A.9	Gear domain description: drive mechanism subsystem.....	214
Figure B.1	VCR on/off and tape handling	215
Figure B.2	Rewinding and fastforwarding.....	216
Figure B.3	Recording.....	216
Figure B.4	Channel selection and television operation.....	217
Figure B.5	Stopping and starting	217
Figure C.1	Event breakdown in causal system	219
Figure C.2	Domestic violence events outline	220
Figure C.3	Stereotypes of Police Officers	228
Figure C.4	Personal qualities of different types of police officers	229
Figure C.5	Characteristics, aims and actions for uniform carrier	229
Figure C.6	Life theme components, etc for the crime fighter.....	230
Figure C.7	Typical beliefs, reasons, goals and actions for police officer	231
Figure C.8	Typical life theme profile for batterer.....	232
Figure C.9	Examples of life theme, goal, plan and actions for batterer.....	232
Figure C.10	Some beliefs, reasons, goals and actions for batterer	233
Figure C.11	Typical life theme profile for battered wife	234
Figure C.12	Beliefs, reasons, goals and actions for battered wife	235
Figure C.13	Beliefs for other possible actors in wife battering scheme	236
Figure C.14	Responses to initial request to go out	237
Figure D.1	Screen from Wizard of Oz session.....	243
Figure D.2	Wizard of Oz configuration	244
Figure D.3	Initial role playing system screens (1)	245
Figure D.4	Initial role playing system screens (2)	246
Figure D.5	Initial role playing system screens (3)	247
Figure D.6	Sample role playing session (1)	248
Figure D.7	Sample role playing session (2)	249
Figure D.8	Sample role playing session (3)	250
Figure D.9	Sample role playing session (4).....	251
Figure D.10	Sample role playing session (5)	252
Figure D.11	Sample role playing session (6)	253
Figure D.12	Sample role playing session (7)	254
Figure D.13	Copy of the role playing system questionnaire	255
Figure D.14	Summary of results from role playing system questionnaire	256