Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE DARE TO MAKE A CHOICE PROGRAMME: EXPLORING PARENTAL CHANGES IN KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDES, AND BEHAVIOUR

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Arts in Psychology at Massey University

> Craig Dunlop 1999

Abstract

Overseas research has stressed the role that parents have to play in drug abuse prevention through modeling, values clarification, and communication. Dare to make a CHOICE involves parents through homework assignments. Previous evaluations of the programme had noted that some parents changed their behaviour and attitudes as a result of the programme. The purpose of this study was to further explore the changes that parents make as a result of Dare to make a CHOICE. The participants were 53 children and 100 parents from schools in Palmerston North who participated in the Dare to make a CHOICE programme. Only two of the seven hypotheses were supported by the results. Parents did not exhibit any changes in behaviour, attitudes or knowledge. Children's attitudes and behaviour was not related to that of their parents. It was concluded that persons who had changed their attitudes or behaviour in previous studies were influenced by other variables in addition to the programme. The results are discussed in relation to research looking at the roles of parents in drug education.

Acknowledgments

I express my thanks to my supervisor Cheryl Woolley for her patience, wisdom, and time. I also thank Ross Flett (H.G.B) for his advice and help in getting to know SPSS/PC software and the statistics used in this study. I appreciate the computer wizardry of Harvey Jones who helped sort out corrupt files, and also converted files for me. Thanks must be given to the industrious workers in the school of psychology office who handled incoming questionnaires and are always so nice. Thanks Melanie, Robyn, Anne, and Heather.

I must thank all those people who gave of themselves through participating in this study. Thank you to the police education officers and people from the New Zealand Dare Foundation who gave up time to answer my questions and help set up schools to take the study to. I appreciate the principals and teachers who allowed me to take up their teaching time for this study.

My heart-felt thanks goes out to my family who sacrificed so much so that I could gain an education. Thank you Mum and Dad and Chris and Pearl for the many real sacrifices that you have made that have enabled me to complete my studies. Thanks to my brothers and sisters who each gave in their own way.

Finally, a very special thanks to my beautiful wife and children who gave up their husband and daddy to his school work.

Table of Contents

Abstract	* * * *	****	***	* * * *		555	200	***	***	1
Acknowledg	ments	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	ii
Table of Cor	ntents	***	144.4	1.10	***			***	***	iii
List of Table	s					***	***	444	***	v
Chapter 1	Intro	duction	and Lit	erature	Review	V	•••	•••		1
Gene	eral Princ	ciples o	f Effect	ive Dru	g Educ	ation Pr	ogramn	nes	***	1
D.A.	R.E. Dr	ug Abu	se Resis	stance I	Educatio	on	•••	***	***	6
DAR	E in the	USA	***	***		66	***	***	***	6
CHO	ICE in 1	New Ze	ealand	***	***	554	***	38.85	***	7
Cont	rasts Be	tween l	DARE i	n the U	SA and	CHOIC	CE in N	ew Zeal	and	8
Evalu	uations o	of the C	CHOICE	E progra	amme	***			***	9
Parer	nts as Ta	rgets F	or Inter	vention	1		***	***	222	12
Evalu	uating th	ie Impa	ct of CI	HOICE	on Pare	ents	***	***	***	14
Нурс	otheses			***		***	•••		***	17
Chapter 2	The P	Present	Study	***		***	***	17.1	***	19
Partie	cipants	***	***		10.00	isv	***	477	***	19
Meas	sures	***		***	Teas.	* * *)		***	***	20
Child	l Questio	onnaire			***		***			20
Ques	stionnair	e for A	dults	***	***	***	***	66		21
Desig	gn				***					22
Proc	edure	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	23
Ethic	cal Consi	ideratio	ns			***	2.00	•••	***	24
Chapter 3	Resul	ts	***	***	***		***	***	3300	26
Quar	ntitative	Data A	nalysis				•••		***	26
The l	Hypothe	ses	***		3466	***	***	***	***	40
Qual	itative D	ata								41

Su	ımmary of Ç	ualitati	ve Data	***	***	***	***	****	***	47
Chapter 4	4 Discus	sion	***	***	***	223	***		***	49
Su	mmary of C	uantita	tive and	Qualita	ative Re	sults	***		***	49
Diamerica of Henry										50
	mmary		***	***	contract to	*(4.*)	***		***	55
	mitations	***						***		56
	esign			***	•••	• • •	***			56
	trition	***	***	***	3000	***:	3000	•••	***	57
	iestionnaire		***	***		•••	•••			58
57.5			ICE	***	***	***	(*)£(*)	***	nor:	
	plications fo			••••	***	555	(*,*,*)	1016		60
Re	commendat	ions for	future	research	1	***	***	***	***	62
Co	onclusion	***	***	***	***	•••	232	***	***	62
Reference	es	***	245	in.	755	***	acx:		***	64
Appendic	es		***	VX.4	***		***	***		69
Ap	pendix A	Inform	ation Sl	heet for	Principa	als	***	***	•••	70
Ap	pendix B Information Sheet for Parents					i	***	944		73
Ap	pendix C	endix C Parent Consent Form for Children					***	***	***	76
Ap	Appendix D Information Sheet for Children					n				78
Ap	pendix E	Consent Form For Children						***	***	81
Ap	pendix F	ndix F Questionnaire for Children					444		***	83
	Appendix G Adult Questionnaire (Pre-test))	***	***	184	87
	pendix H	Adult	Questio	nnaire (Post-tes	st)		***		94

List of Tables

Table 1	Percentages of respondents identifying each substance as a drug.								
	Also the percentage of substances correctly identified as a drug								
	or non-drug and standard deviation expressed as a percentage								
		27							
Table 2	The mean responses to question two of the child, and adult pre-								
	and post-test questionnaires. Also, the mean percentage of								
	correct responses to question two	29							
Table 3	Mean responses to question three of the adult pre- and post-test.								
	Also the mean percent of correct responses to question three	31							
Table 4	The mean responses to items in question three of the child								
	questionnaire and question four of the adult pre- and post-test								
	questionnaires. Also the mean score obtained for the whole of								
	question three of the child questionnaire and four of the adult								
	pre- and post-test questionnaires	33							
Table 5	The mean responses to question five of the adult pre- and post-								
	test questionnaires. Also the mean score for the whole of								
	question five of the adult questionnaires	35							
Table 6	Mean use of a range of substances by children (question 4 of the								
	child questionnaire) and by parents at pre- and post-testing								
	(question 6 of the pre-test and 9 of the post-test)	37							
Table 7	The percentage of parents reporting having changed their ideas								
	and/or behaviour as a result of Dare to make a CHOICE. Also								
the percentage of parents reporting to have been pressure									
	their children to change their behaviour in relation to personal								
	drug use	38							

Table 8	Correlations between the summary scores obtained by children								
	and their parents at pre-testing. Correlations are between the								
	parent and child drug identification, knowledge, and attitude								
	measures	9							
Table 9	Correlations between parent's and their children's use of various								
	substances	9							