

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The Linear Wave Response
of a Single and a Periodic Line-Array of
Floating Elastic Plates**

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment

of the requirement for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Mathematics

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Cynthia Dewi Wang

2004

Abstract

We propose an improved technique to calculate the linear response of a single and multiple plates models due to ocean waves. The single plate model is the basis for the multiple plates model which we take to be a periodic array of identical plates. For the single plate model we solve the plate displacement by the Finite Element Method (FEM) and the water potential by the Boundary Element Method (BEM). The displacement is expanded in terms of the basis functions of the FEM. The boundary integral equation representing the potential is approximated by these basis functions. The resulting integral operator involving the free-surface Green's function is solved using an elementary integration scheme. Results are presented for the single plate model. We then use the same technique to solve for the periodic array of plates problem because the single and the periodic array plates model differ only in the expression of the Green's function. For the periodic array plate model the boundary integral equation for the potential involves a periodic Green's function which can be obtained by taking an infinite sum of the free-surface Green's function for the single plate model. The solution for the periodic array plate is derived in the same way as the single plate model. From this solution we then calculate the waves scattered by this periodic array.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my greatest gratitude to Dr. Michael Meylan who has been an excellent supervisor to me and the inspiration for this thesis. I thank him for being a teacher, a father, and a friend to me in the last five years. I would also like to thank my second supervisor Professor Robert McKibbin for his invaluable moral support during the completion of this thesis. I gratefully acknowledge Mr. Adrian Swift who had kindly spared his time to proofread this thesis. I would like to acknowledge the following people: Ms. Jill Duncalfe, Dr. Caroline Meade, the staff of the Health and Counseling Centre of Massey University Albany, and the CATT team of North Shore Hospital for their unconditional love and support. They have made this thesis possible.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Three-dimensional Single Floating Elastic Plate Model	8
2.1	The Pictorial Description of the Model	8
2.2	The Mathematical Description of the Model	8
2.2.1	The Equation of Motion for the Water	10
2.2.2	The Equation of Motion for the Plate	11
2.2.3	Non-Dimensionalizing the Variables	11
2.2.4	The Single Frequency Problem	12
2.3	The Application of the Boundary Element Method to the Water Potential	13
2.3.1	Transforming the Boundary Value Problem for the Potential into a Boundary Integral Equation	14
2.3.2	The Free-surface Green's function for water of finite and infinite depth	15
3	Solving the Motion of the Plate and the Water	18
3.1	Discretization of the Plate	18
3.2	The Expansion of the Plate's displacement	19
3.3	Solving for the Displacement of the Plate	22
3.3.1	The variational form of the displacement equation	23
3.3.2	Minimization of the Discretized Variational Equation	23
3.4	Solving the Plate-Water Motion by the Constant Panel Method	26

3.4.1	Solving the Potential.....	27
3.4.2	Coupling the Water and the Plate	28
3.5	A Higher Order method to solve the Plate-water motion.	29
3.5.1	Solving for the Potential	30
3.5.2	Coupling the Plate and the Water	34
3.5.3	Numerical Scheme to Solve the Green's Integral Equation	34
4	Numerical Implementation and Results of the Higher Order Method	37
4.1	Implementing the Mass and the Stiffness Matrices	37
4.2	Implementing the Green's Matrix	41
4.3	Results	43
4.3.1	The Comparison Between Meylan's Method and the Higher Order Method	43
4.3.2	The Convergence of the Higher Order Method	45
4.3.3	The Displacement of the Plate.....	56
5	An Infinite Line-Array of Periodically-Arranged Identical Plates...	62
5.1	The Application of Diffraction Grating	62
5.2	The Application of the Floquet's Theorem to the Periodic Grating	63
5.3	The Far-field Approximation of the Periodic Green's function	66
5.4	Accelerating the periodic Green's function.....	67
6	The Scattering of Waves by the Periodic Line-Array of Plates.....	71
6.1	The Modes of the Scattered Waves	71
6.2	The Diffracted, Reflected, and Transmitted Waves.....	73

6.2.1	The Diffracted Waves	74
6.2.2	The Reflected and the Transmitted Waves	75
6.3	The Energy Balance	78
7	Results for the Multiple Plates Model	79
7.1	The Convergence of the Periodic Green's Function	79
7.2	A Periodic Line-Array of Stiff and Unmovable Plates	85
7.2.1	The Case of Joined Square Plates	85
7.2.2	The Case of Periodical Square Plates with Gaps	85
7.2.3	The Case of Oblique Incident Wave	87
7.3	A Periodic Line-Array of Elastic and Separated Plates	89
7.3.1	The Dependency of the Scattered Waves to the Wavelength, the Channel Width, and the Incident Angle	89
7.3.2	The Scattering of Wave with Various Incident Angles	94
7.3.3	The Displacement of the Plates	102
8	Summary and Conclusion	108
A	The Derivation of the Incident Plane Wave	111
B	The Derivation of the Integral Equation for the Potential	113
C	Computing the Matrices, the Vectors and the Operators	115
D	The Asymptotic Representation of the periodic Green's Function .	119
D.1	The Spatial Representation of the Periodic Green's function	119
D.2	The Spectral Representation of the Periodic Green's function	120

Bibliography 122

Index 126

List of Figures

Figure 2.1.1. The depiction of the domain for the single plate model.	9
Figure 3.1.1. The discretisation of a plate of arbitrary geometry by rectangular panels. (a) The plate is covered by p total number of panels where each panel is denoted by Δ_d and numbering of $d = 1, \dots, p$ is directed by the arrows. (b) Each rectangular panel is of area $4ab$ and its corners are numbered locally by $q_j^{(d)}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). In total a plate has q nodes which are the corners of the rectangular panels.	20
Figure 4.1.1. The distribution diagram of a panel matrix into the matrix for the plate.	42
Figure 4.3.1. The comparison of the plate's displacement generated by Meylan's method with 900 panels (right hand side) and the higher order method with 100 panels (left hand side). The plate is of stiffness $\beta = 0.01$ and mass $\gamma = 0$. The incident wave has length $\lambda = 2$ and propagates at waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The water is infinitely deep.	46
Figure 4.3.2. The diagram showing the five geometries of the plate shapes that will be used to to illustrate the subsequent examples using the higher order method. The direction of the incident wave is shown in Figure 2.1.1.	49
Figure 4.3.3. An illustration of the effect of panel size on approximating an isosceles triangle. The size of the panels in (b) are double from the ones in (a).	50
Figure 4.3.4. The absolute value of the Kochin's function $H(\tau)$ as a function of the angle τ for a square plate of area 16, mass $\gamma = 0$, and given stiffness. The number of panels used are 32 (dotted line), 64 (broken line), 128 (chained line), and 256 (solid line). The incident wave of unit amplitude has wavelength $\lambda = 2$ and waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show the energy scattering around the square plate for given stiffness constants β	51

- Figure 4.3.5.** The absolute value of the Kochin's function $H(\tau)$ as a function of the angle τ for a triangular plate of area 16, mass $\gamma = 0$, and given stiffness. The number of panels used are approximately 32 (dotted line), 64 (broken line), 128 (chained line), and 256 (solid line). The incident wave of unit amplitude has wavelength $\lambda = 2$ and waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show the energy scattering around the triangular plate for given stiffness constants β 52
- Figure 4.3.6.** The absolute value of the Kochin's function $H(\tau)$ as a function of the angle τ for a circular plate of area 16, mass $\gamma = 0$, and given stiffness. The number of panels used are approximately 32 (dotted line), 64 (broken line), 128 (chained line), and 256 (solid line). The incident wave of unit amplitude has wavelength $\lambda = 2$ and waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show the energy scattering around the circle plate for given stiffness constants β 53
- Figure 4.3.7.** The absolute value of the Kochin's function $H(\tau)$ as a function of the angle τ for a parallelogram plate of area 16, mass $\gamma = 0$, and given stiffness. The number of panels used are approximately 32 (dotted line), 64 (broken line), 128 (chained line), and 256 (solid line). The incident wave of unit amplitude has wavelength $\lambda = 2$ and waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show the energy scattering around the parallelogram plate for given stiffness constants β 54
- Figure 4.3.8.** The absolute value of the Kochin's function $H(\tau)$ as a function of the angle τ for a trapezoidal plate of area 16, mass $\gamma = 0$, and given stiffness. The number of panels used are approximately 32 (dotted line), 64 (broken line), 128 (chained line), and 256 (solid line). The incident wave of unit amplitude has wavelength $\lambda = 2$ and waveangle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show the energy scattering around the trapezoidal plate for given stiffness constants β 55
- Figure 4.3.9.** The displacement of a square plate with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$ floating on water of given depth. The plate is discretized using 100 panels. The area integral over the panel uses 16 quadrature points. The incident wave of unit amplitude and length $\lambda = 2$ propagates at angle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show that waves with higher frequency (deeper water) affect the plate's displacement more than waves with lower frequency (shallower water). 57

- Figure 4.3.10.** The displacement of a triangular plate with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$ floating on water of given depth. The plate is discretized using 105 panels. The area integral over the panel uses 16 quadrature points. The incident wave of unit amplitude and length $\lambda = 2$ propagates at angle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show that waves with higher frequency (deeper water) affect the plate's displacement more than waves with lower frequency (shallower water). 58
- Figure 4.3.11.** The displacement of a circular plate with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$ floating on water of given depth. The plate is discretized using 93 panels. The area integral over the panel uses 16 quadrature points. The incident wave of unit amplitude and length $\lambda = 2$ propagates at angle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show that waves with higher frequency (deeper water) affect the plate's displacement more than waves with lower frequency (shallower water). 59
- Figure 4.3.12.** The displacement of a parallelogram plate with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$ floating on water of given depth. The plate is discretized using 110 panels. The area integral over the panel uses 16 quadrature points. The incident wave of unit amplitude and length $\lambda = 2$ propagates at angle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show that waves with higher frequency (deeper water) affect the plate's displacement more than waves with lower frequency (shallower water). 60
- Figure 4.3.13.** The displacement of a trapezoidal plate with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$ that floats on water of given depth. The plate is discretized using 116 panels. The area integral over the panel uses 16 quadrature points. The incident wave of unit amplitude and length $\lambda = 2$ propagates at angle $\theta = \pi/6$. The figures show that waves with higher frequency (deeper water) affect the plate's displacement more than waves with lower frequency (shallower water). 61
- Figure 5.1.1.** The depiction of the periodic surface grating that represents the array of identical floes. 64
- Figure 6.2.1.** The diagram showing the diffracted waves and the angles of diffraction. 76

- Figure 7.1.1.** The loglog plot of the relative errors \hat{E}_{12} (solid line) and \hat{E}_{13} (chained line) between the near-field periodic Green's function with 10^6 terms $G_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}$ and, respectively, the near-field $G_{\mathbf{P}}^{(2)}$ and the far-field $G_{\mathbf{P}}^{(3)}$ with the given number of terms. The parameters used are $X = 0$, $Y = 0.01$, channel width $l = 1$, wavelength $\lambda = 2$, and waveangle $\theta = \pi/4$ 81
- Figure 7.1.2.** The loglog plot of the relative error \hat{E}_{14} between the slow convergent near-field periodic Green's function with 10^6 terms $G_{\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}$ and the fast convergent $G_{\mathbf{P}}^{(4)}$ calculated using the given number of terms. The number of spatial terms (m) is equal to the number of the spectral terms (n) in the summation. The smoothing factor is $c = 0.05$. Other parameters used are $X = 0$, $Y = 0.01$, channel width $l = 1$, wavelength $\lambda = 2$, and waveangle $\theta = \pi/4$ 82
- Figure 7.1.3.** The plot of the number of terms used in the summation representing the spatial and the spectral parts in the accelerated periodic Green's function versus the smoothing parameter c . The result from each combination is compared with the one from slow convergent $G_{\mathbf{P}}$ with 10^6 terms. The relative error is set to be of maximum 10^{-5} . The absolute error between results from different combination of parameters is set to be 10^{-4} . The parameters used are $X = 0$, $Y = 0.01$, channel width $l = 1$, wavelength $\lambda = 2$, and waveangle $\theta = \pi/4$ 84
- Figure 7.2.1.** The comparison plot of various wavelengths versus the scattered coefficients due to a periodic array of stiff, unmovable, and joined plates in three dimensional domain and a stiff and unmovable beam in two dimensional. In the three dimensional domain the plates are squares of area 1 (side length of 1), the length of the channel is $l = 1$ ($b = 0$), and the waveangle is $\theta = 0$. In the two dimensional the length of the beam is 1. This figure shows the agreement in the results for the stiff, unmovable, and joined three-dimensional plates with the two-dimensional beam. 86
- Figure 7.2.2.** The scattering of waves by a periodic array of stiff and unmovable square plates where each has area 16 and is confined by channel of length $l = 6$. The incident wave of length $\lambda = 4$ is oblique at angle $\theta = -\pi/3$. There are 3 pairs of diffracted waves of order from 0 to 4 (all are generated in the positive y region). The amplitude $|A_m^{\pm}|$ of the scattered wave is shown in Table 7.2.2. 90

Figure 7.2.3. The scattering of waves by a periodic array of stiff and unmovable square plates where each has area 16 and is confined by channel of length $l = 6$. The incident wave of length $\lambda = 4$ is oblique at angle $\theta = -\pi/6$. There are 3 pairs of diffracted waves of order from $M = -1$ (one originated from the negative y region) to $N = 3$ (three originated from the positive y region). The amplitude $|A_m^\pm|$ of the scattered wave is shown in Table 7.2.3. 91

Figure 7.2.4. The scattering of waves by a periodic array of stiff and unmovable square plates where each has area 16 and is confined by channel of length $l = 6$. The incident wave of length $\lambda = 4$ is oblique at angle $\theta = 0$. There are 3 pairs of diffracted waves of order from $M = -2$ to $N = 2$ (both positive and negative y regions generate two). The amplitude $|A_m^\pm|$ of the scattered wave is shown in Table 7.2.4. 92

Figure 7.2.5. The scattering of waves by a periodic array of stiff and unmovable square plates where each has area 16 and is confined by channel of length $l = 6$. The incident wave of length $\lambda = 4$ is oblique at angle $\theta = \pi/4$. There are 3 pairs of diffracted waves of order from $M = -3$ to $N = 0$ (all generated in the negative y region). The amplitude $|A_m^\pm|$ of the scattered wave is shown in Table 7.2.5. 93

Figure 7.3.1. The scattering of waves of wavelength $\lambda = 4$ by a periodic array of square plates of area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. Each plate is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. (a) The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the incident angle θ . (b) The transmission amplitude $|T|$ versus the incident angle θ . (c) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of of order 1. (d) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of order 2. The waves are directed towards positive x (solid line) and negative x (chained line) in the negative y region and directed towards positive x (dotted line) and negative x (dashed line) in the positive y region. The figures show symmetry about angle $\theta = 0$ in all the scattered waves and this is due to the symmetry of the shape of the plates and the periodic gratings. 97

Figure 7.3.2. The scattering of waves of wavelength $\lambda = 4$ by a periodic array of triangular plates of area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. Each plate is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. (a) The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the incident angle θ . (b) The

transmission amplitude $|T|$ versus the incident angle θ . (c) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of of order 1. (d) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of order 2. The waves are directed towards positive x (solid line) and negative x (chained line) in the negative y region and directed towards positive x (dotted line) and negative x (dashed line) in the positive y region. The scattered waves are not symmetric about $\theta = 0$ because the shape of the plates are not symmetric..... 98

Figure 7.3.3. The scattering of waves of wavelength $\lambda = 4$ by a periodic array of circle plates of area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. Each plate is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. (a) The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the incident angle θ . (b) The transmission amplitude $|T|$ versus the incident angle θ . (c) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of of order 1. (d) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of order 2. The waves are directed towards positive x (solid line) and negative x (chained line) in the negative y region and directed towards positive x (dotted line) and negative x (dashed line) in the positive y region. The figures show symmetry about angle $\theta = 0$ in all the scattered waves and this is due to the symmetry of the shape of the plates and the periodic gratings..... 99

Figure 7.3.4. The scattering of waves of wavelength $\lambda = 4$ by a periodic array of parallelogram plates of area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. Each plate is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. (a) The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the incident angle θ . (b) The transmission amplitude $|T|$ versus the incident angle θ . (c) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of of order 1. (d) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of order 2. The waves are directed towards positive x (solid line) and negative x (chained line) in the negative y region and directed towards positive x (dotted line) and negative x (dashed line) in the positive y region. The scattered waves are not symmetric about $\theta = 0$ because the shape of the plates are not symmetric..... 100

Figure 7.3.5. The scattering of waves of wavelength $\lambda = 4$ by a periodic array of trapezoidal plates of area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. Each plate is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. (a) The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the incident angle θ . (b) The transmission amplitude $|T|$ versus the incident angle θ . (c) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of of order 1. (d) The overlapping plot of the diffracted wave of order 2. The waves are

directed towards positive x (solid line) and negative x (chained line) in the negative y region and directed towards positive x (dotted line) and negative x (dashed line) in the positive y region. The figures show symmetry about angle $\theta = 0$ in all the scattered waves and this is due to the symmetry of the shape of the plates and the periodic gratings. 101

Figure 7.3.6. The displacement plot of five square plates as part of the periodic array. Each plate has area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, mass $\gamma = 0$, and is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. The array is subjected to incident wave of wavelength (a) $\lambda = 4$ and (b) $\lambda = 8$. The incident angle is $\theta = \pi/6$ 103

Figure 7.3.7. The displacement plot of five triangular plates as part of the periodic array. Each plate has area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, mass $\gamma = 0$, and is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. The array is subjected to incident wave of wavelength (a) $\lambda = 4$ and (b) $\lambda = 8$. The incident angle is $\theta = \pi/6$ 104

Figure 7.3.8. The displacement plot of five circular plates as part of the periodic array. Each plate has area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, mass $\gamma = 0$, and is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. The array is subjected to incident wave of wavelength (a) $\lambda = 4$ and (b) $\lambda = 8$. The incident angle is $\theta = \pi/6$ 105

Figure 7.3.9. The displacement plot of five parallelogram plates as part of the periodic array. Each plate has area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, mass $\gamma = 0$, and is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. The array is subjected to incident wave of wavelength (a) $\lambda = 4$ and (b) $\lambda = 8$. The incident angle is $\theta = \pi/6$ 106

Figure 7.3.10. The displacement plot of five trapezoidal plates as part of the periodic array. Each plate has area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.1$, mass $\gamma = 0$, and is confined by a channel of width $l = 6$. The array is subjected to incident wave of wavelength (a) $\lambda = 4$ and (b) $\lambda = 8$. The incident angle is $\theta = \pi/6$ 107

List of Tables

Table 4.3.1. The error E_{mn} in the results by Meylan’s method using different number of panels. Column n represents the varying number of panels and column m is the reference of 2500 panels. The plate used is a square with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. The wave parameters are $\lambda = 2$ and $\theta = \pi/6$. The table shows that the result converges as we increase the number of panels used to discretized the plate..... 44

Table 4.3.2. The error E_{mn} in the results produced by the higher order method using different number of panels. Column n represents the varying number of panels and column m is the reference of 900 panels. The plate used is a square with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. The wave parameters are $\lambda = 2$ and $\theta = \pi/6$. The table shows that the result converges as we increase the number of panels used to discretized the plate..... 44

Table 4.3.3. The error E_{mn} in the results by Meylan’s low order method versus the results by the higher order method. Column n represents Meylan’s method that uses various number of panels and column n is the reference that is the higher order method with 900 panels. The plate used is a square with area 16, stiffness $\beta = 0.01$, and mass $\gamma = 0$. The wave parameters are $\lambda = 2$ and $\theta = \pi/6$. The table shows that the accuracy using 2500 panels in Meylan’s method is equivalent to the accuracy using 900 panels in the higher order method..... 44

Table 4.3.4. The error E_{QP} showing the convergence of the higher order method using various number of panels versus number of quadrature points. The referencing result uses 900 panels and 64 quadrature points. The area of the square plate is 16, its stiffness is $\beta = 0.01$, and its mass is $\gamma = 0$. The wavelength is $\lambda = 2$ and the waveangle is $\gamma = 0$ 48

Table 7.2.1. The convergence of the total energy for identical plates with area 16. Each plate is discretized using the specified number of panels. The width of the channel is $l = 12$. The parameters for the incident wave are $\lambda = 8$ and $\theta = 0$. The incoming energy is 1. 88

Table 7.2.2. Table of the scattered amplitude A_m^- and A_m^+ ($0 \leq m \leq 2$) depicted by Figure 7.2.2. due to a periodic array of plates of area 16. The incident wave is oblique at angle $\theta = -\pi/3$. The scattered amplitudes of diffraction order $m = 0$ represents the reflected amplitude $R = A_0^-$ and the transmitted amplitude $T = 1 - A_0^+$	90
Table 7.2.3. Table of the scattered amplitude A_m^- and A_m^+ ($-1 \leq m \leq 2$) depicted by Figure 7.2.3. due to a periodic array of plates of area 16. The incident wave is oblique at angle $\theta = -\pi/6$. The scattered amplitudes of diffraction order $m = 0$ represents the reflected amplitude $R = A_0^-$ and the transmitted amplitude $T = 1 - A_0^+$	91
Table 7.2.4. Table of the scattered amplitude A_m^- and A_m^+ ($-1 \leq m \leq 1$) depicted by Figure 7.2.4. due to a periodic array of plates of area 16. The incident wave is oblique at angle $\theta = 0$. The scattered amplitudes of diffraction order $m = 0$ represents the reflected amplitude $R = A_0^-$ and the transmitted amplitude $T = 1 - A_0^+$	92
Table 7.2.5. Table of the scattered amplitude A_m^- and A_m^+ ($-2 \leq m \leq 0$) depicted by Figure 7.2.5. due to a periodic array of plates of area 16. The incident wave is oblique at angle $\theta = \pi/4$. The scattered amplitudes of diffraction order $m = 0$ represents the reflected amplitude $R = A_0^-$ and the transmitted amplitude $T = 1 - A_0^+$	93
Table 7.3.1. The number of diffracted waves M generated in the negative y region for various λ and l while $\theta = 0$	95
Table 7.3.2. The number of diffracted waves N generated in the positive y region for various λ and l while $\theta = 0$. Adding the value of this table to its counter part in Table 7.3.1. gives the total number of diffracted waves for the specified λ and l . Both this table and Table 7.3.1. show that the variability of the diffraction waves number depends greatly on λ and l	95
Table 7.3.3. The number of diffracted waves generated in the negative y region for varying θ and l while $\lambda = 8$ is constant.	95

Table 7.3.4. The number of diffracted waves generated in the positive y region for varying θ and l while $\lambda = 8$ is constant. Adding the values in this table to its counterpart in Table 7.3.3. gives the total number of diffracted waves for the specified θ and l . This table and Table 7.3.3. show that the varying angle causes the number of diffracted waves to vary as well. Nevertheless the number of the diffracted waves in the opposite y regions 'moves' with the angle as it traverses about $\theta = 0$ 96

Nomenclature

Ω	water domain
Δ	plate-covered area
H	water depth
λ	wavelength
k	wavenumber
θ	wave-angle
ω	angular frequency
Φ	dimensional, time-dependent water potential
W	dimensional, time-dependent surface displacement
L	arbitrary length variable
ϕ	dimensionless, spatial-dependent water potential
ϕ^{In}	incident wave
ϕ^s	scattered wave
w	dimensionless, spatial-dependent water potential
α	dimensionless angular frequency
β	stiffness constant
γ	mass constant
A^{In}	incident amplitude
p	total number of panels
q	total number of nodes
a	half length of the side of a panel
$q_j^{(d)}$	index of the j -th node of panel d
$H_0(x)$	Struve function of order zero
$J_0(x)$	first kind Bessel function of order zero
$Y_0(x)$	second kind Bessel function of order zero
$H_0(x)$	Hankel function of order zero
$P_j(x)$	Legendre polynomial of order j
P, Q	total number of integration point
l	width of a channel
b	width of a gap
σl	phase difference
σ_m	propagation constant in y -direction
μ_m	propagation constant in x -direction
c	smoothing parameter
ψ_m^\pm	angle of diffraction
$\tilde{\phi}_m^\pm$	diffracted wave
A_m^\pm	diffracted amplitude
M	lower diffraction order
N	upper diffraction order