Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# URINE NITROGEN IN HILL COUNTRY PASTURE SOILS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a

Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science,

Massey University

W.M. SAMAN DEEPAL BOWATTE

2003

#### **ABSTRACT**

In New Zealand the traditional way of building up nitrogen (N) fertility in pastures has been to apply phosphorus (P) fertilisers to provide adequate soil fertility for legume growth, which then provides N through biological N fixation. However, the marked responsiveness of hill pastures to N fertiliser indicates that this traditional approach may be placing a serious constraint on hill country production. At the same time, there is concern that the resulting elevated soil P levels may pose some environmental risk.

Although the importance of soil N availability to hill country pasture production has long been recognised, there is surprisingly little information available on N cycling in hill country pastures. This is because the limited research funding available has been directed mainly at determining the requirements for P and sulfur (S) fertilisers, which have constituted the bulk of fertiliser expenditure in hill country. In order to develop best practice in the use of fertiliser N in hill country, information is required on N flows in the soil-plant-animal system on the contrasting topographic land units that comprise hill pastures. The role of grazing animals and particularly the N transformations associated with urine patches are very important components of these N cycles.

In this study, two field experiments were conducted at contrasting locations in North Island hill country pastures to investigate the fate of urine N. These field experiments were then followed by a laboratory incubation experiment that sought to clarify the effect of soil properties on subsequent transformations of urine N. The experimental results were then used, together with data from the literature, to model the N cycle for hill country pasture. In addition, to assess the N availability in hill pastures, an *in situ* N measurement technique using ion exchange resin membrane spikes was developed and evaluated.

The first preliminary field experiment was carried out at the AgResearch Grassland hill country research site in Waipawa, North Island, New Zealand from 09 June 1999 to 29 October 1999. The major soil type was Waipawa Stony Silt Loam (Pallic Soil). Three synthetic urine treatments (0, 200, 400 kg N/ha) were applied in a randomised complete block design and the experiment was repeated in a flat campsite and a steep site. At 1

day after urine application (DAUA), the increase in the soil mineral N pool was close to or greater than the quantity of added urine N. The dominant form of mineral N throughout the experiment was NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N. This suggested that nitrification rates were low and that leaching losses of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N would therefore be low. Only 18-27% of the urine N was recovered by the pasture. Estimates of the loss of urine N by ammonia volatilisation were large, ranging from 21-34% of added urine N. At the end of the experiment (142 DAUA), 34 -50% of added urine N appeared to have been immobilized into complex organic matter.

The second field experiment was carried out at Ballantrae AgResearch hill country research station from 14 July 2000 to 12 December 2000. The soil was Ngamoko Silt Loam (Brown Soil). Three different rates (0, 280, 560 kg urine N/ha) of synthetic urine were applied as treatments and the experiment was repeated as a randomised complete block design on a flat campsite and a steep slope. Shortly after application, recovery of urine N as soil mineral N was greater than 100% (113-141%) in the flat site. This increase in mineral N corresponded to a decrease in mineralisable N, suggesting organic matter mineralisation after urine application. During the first month after urine application, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N was the dominant form of mineral N, but during the second month, NO<sub>3</sub>-N was the dominant mineral N form. At the end of the experiment (88 DAUA), urine N recovery as mineral N was very low, ranging from 0-3%. The rate of nitrification after urine application was higher in flat campsites than in steep slopes. Soil NO<sub>3</sub>-N levels in the 0-10 cm soil depth in urine-treated plots at both sites decreased considerably between 30 and 45 DAUA. A simple model developed in Microsoft Excel suggested that substantial leaching of urine N (9-33% of added urine N) was likely to have taken place. Urine N recovery by herbage in this experiment was low (1-14% of added urine N). Estimates of the loss of urine N through volatilisation were large, ranging from 24-51% of added urine N. At the end of the experiment the amounts of urine N estimated to have been immobilised into the soil organic matter ranged from 8-57% of that added.

A laboratory incubation experiment was conducted using four soils collected from the flat and steep sites of the field experiments at Waipawa and Ballantrae together with three other soils collected from lowland sites (Kairanga silt loam, Karapoti silt loam and Manawatu sandy loam (Fluvial Recent Soils)) that had received substantial quantities of

excretal N over several years. Field moist soil, equivalent to a weight of 100 g of dry soil, was placed in each of 36 small plastic cups for each soil type. Urine was collected from four cows during milking two weeks before the experiment. Urine was applied to 18 cups of each soil at the rate of 6 mL of urine/100 g dry soil (40 mg urine N/100 g dry soil). The remaining 18 cups were used as controls. No solution was added to the control cups.

In contrast to the field experiments, there was little evidence of an initial priming effect, with mineral N levels 3 DAUA ranging from 64-81% of added urine N. Nitrification rates were highly variable (0.3 to 18.3 µg NO<sub>3</sub>-N/g soil/day) across the seven soils. All lowland soils had higher nitrification rates than hill soils, while those soils collected from campsites had higher nitrification rates than soils collected from steep slopes. Although nitrification could account for most of the disappearance of soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N from 3-45 DAUA, it was evident that mineralisable N and soil microbial biomass N also increased after urine application.

A simulation model of a hill country N cycle developed in Microsoft Excel confirmed the importance of urine N in hill country pastures. The model indicated that N outputs in animal products, together with losses through ammonia volatilisation and leaching from urine patches were likely to exceed the N inputs to hill pastures by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition. This may be the reason for the observed high N responsiveness in hill country pastures. Pasture utilisation and excretal distribution in the paddock were the most important factors influencing the overall N balance in the paddock. More work is required to obtain information on these parameters in hill country pastures.

The *in situ* N measurement technique using ion exchange resin membrane spikes proved to be a useful approach to monitoring the continuous changes in soil mineral N in the field experiments as well as in the incubation experiment. Resin spikes were able to detect apparently real differences in the availability of soil N - even when the standard 2 M KCl extraction could detect no differences. The potential of resin spikes to detect spatial variability in soil N status was also demonstrated.

A simple model developed in Visual Basic in Microsoft Excel to simulate the N adsorption by resin spike in soils demonstrated that soil moisture, soil temperature, soil N concentration and the time the resin spike is in the soil are all major determinants of the amount of N adsorbed to resin in soil.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to thank following people and organisations for their part in seeing this project through to completion.

My supervisors, Professor Russ Tillman, Mr Andrew Carran and Dr Allan Gillingham for their supervision, valuable suggestions, constructive criticism, encouragement, support and friendship during my study.

Dr Dave Scotter for interesting lessons of modelling.

Associate Professor Nanthi Bolan for his warm welcome on my first day at Massey University and the great help in finding accommodation and settling us in.

James Hanly, Bob Toes and Phillip Theobald for their enormous support for laboratory and fieldwork, proof reading the thesis and especially the friendship throughout the study.

Associate professor Mike Headly, Lance Currie, Ann West, Ian Furkett, Mike Bretherton, Ross Wallace, Glenys Wallace, Dr. Loga Loganathan, Hera Kennedy and Denise Brunskill for their continuous support.

Massey University for granting a Massey University Doctoral Scholarship and Helen E. Akers Ph.D. Scholarship.

Managers and technical staff at Ballantrae AgResearch research station and the Waipawa AgResearch research stations for allowing me to conduct field experiments and supplying necessary resources.

All past and present post graduate students; Sena, Tin, Asoka, Lui, Aravin, Tony, Steven, Khan, Fabio, Jamie, Rita, Thabo and many others for providing friendly atmosphere at Massey.

Mrs. Connie Cathcart and her family for introducing this beautiful country to us and the warm-hearted assistance and friendship for settling to kiwi life.

I especially thank to my loving wife Deepa and two lovely daughters Dinithi and Tharushi for patient, understanding, support, belief and love through times when I needed it most.

Finally I would like to dedicate this thesis to my mother and father and all my teachers for their effort to bring me up to this level.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRA	CT	II
ACKNOV	VLEDGEMENT	VI
TABLE C	OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST OF	TABLES	XIII
LIST OF	FIGURES	XVI
LIST OF	PLATES	XXIV
	CHAPTER 1	
	INTRODUCTION	
INTRODI	JCTION	1
	CHAPTER 2	
	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 In	troduction	4
2.2 Ne	w Zealand hill country	4
2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4	Topography Soil Pasture composition Nitrogen use in hill country	5 6
	trogen balances in different topographic units of hill country	
2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5 2.3.6 2.3.7 2.3.8	Pasture N uptake N fixation Non-symbiotic N fixation and atmospheric deposition Pasture utilisation N remaining in pasture litter N in animal products Dung and urine N Above ground N balance in hill country	1113141414
2.4 Su	mmary of literature review	18

#### **CHAPTER 3**

# FIELD INVESTIGATION OF THE FATE OF URINE NITROGEN ON A SUMMER DRY HILL COUNTRY PASTURE

3.1	Intr	oduction	20
3.2	Lite	rature review	21
3	3.2.1	Nitrogen cycling through animals	21
3	3.2.2	Urine N	
3	3.2.3	N transformations in the urine patch	
		r	
	3.2.3.1	Urea hydrolysis	24
	3.2.3.2		
	3.2.3.3	Nitrification	28
	3.2.3.4	Leaching	34
	3.2.3.5	Denitrification	37
	3.2.3.6	Mineralisation and immobilisation	37
	3.2.3.7	Plant uptake	40
	3.2.3.8	Fixation to clay minerals	41
2 2	D.C 4	erials and methods	41
3.3	Mat	erials and methods	41
3	3.3.1	Field site	41
3	3.3.2	Field trial design	
	3.3.3	Treatments	
3	3.3.4	Soil and plant sampling	
3	3.3.5	Ammonia volatilisation	
3	3.3.6	Statistical analysis	46
	-		
3.4	Res	ults	46
7	3.4.1	Rainfall	46
_	3.4.2	Statistical data interpretation	
	3.4.3	Mineral nitrogen	
_	3.4.4	Ammonium	
	3.4.5	Nitrate	
_	3.4.6	Mineralisable N	
	3.4.7	Pasture response	
	3.4.8	Ammonia volatilisation	
3.5	Disc	cussion	59
	2.5.1	Urine N recovery	50
	3.5.1 3.5.2	Mineral N	
	3.5.2 3.5.3	Nitrification and leaching	
		Pasture response	
	3.5.4	Ammonia volatilisation	
	3.5.5	Mineralisation and immobilization	
-	3.5.6	IVIIII alisation and ininiounization	00

3.5	.7	Comparison of urine N transformations at two sites	68
3.6	Conc	clusions	68
		CHAPTER 4	
_	PIKE	LOPMENT OF ION EXCHANGE RESIN MEMBRANE S FOR CONTINUOUS MONITORING OF AVAILABLI SOIL NITROGEN IN HILL COUNTRY PASTURE	
4.1	Intro	oduction	70
4.2	Liter	ature review	71
4.2 4.2 4.2	.2	Ion exchange resins  Diffusion Ion exchange resin use in recent soil research	74
4.3	Deve	elopment of the ion exchange resin membrane spike	77
4.3 4.3 4.3 4.3	3.2 3.3 3.4	Experiment 1: Preliminary assessment of the ability of resin strips to adsorb mineral N from Soil	78 79 80
4.4	N ad	sorption to resin membranes	82
4.4	1.1	N adsorption to resin spikes over time	87
	4.4.1.1 4.4.1.2	Experiment 1: N adsorption from solution.  Experiment 2: N adsorption from soil	88
4.5	Resi	n spikes performance in field	91
4.5 4.5		Field experiment 1Field experiment 2	
4.6	Mod	elling of in situ N adsorption to resin membrane spikes	96
4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6	5.2	Introduction Basic equations for solute diffusion in soil Model development Model output	96 100
	4.6.4.1 4.6.4.2	The effect of time of burial on N adsorption by a resin spike in soil  Effect of soil moisture on N adsorption by resin spikes	109
4.6	5.4.3	Effect of initial soil N concentration	11

4.6.	4.4	Effect of temperature on N adsorption by resin spike in soil	111
4.6	Reco	ommended procedure	112
4.8	Disc	ussion	114
FI		CHAPTER 5 INVESTIGATION OF NITROGEN DYNAMICS OF PATCHES IN NORTH ISLAND HILL COUNTY	
		PASTURE	
5.1	Intr	oduction	118
5.2	Mat	erials and methods	119
5.2.	1	Site description	119
5.2.	2	Field layout and soil sampling	
5.2.	3	Treatments	
5.2.	4	Soil sampling	
5.2.	5	Ammonia volatilisation	
5.2.	6	Soil mineral and mineralisable nitrogen	
5.2.		Dissolved organic carbon and dissolved organic nitrogen	
5.2.		Resin-adsorbed nitrogen	
5.2.		Plant dry matter production and pasture nitrogen uptake	
5.2.		Statistical analysis	
5.3	Resi	ults	123
5.3.	.1	Climate	123
5.3.	2	Statistical interpretation	125
5.3.	.3	Mineral nitrogen	
5.3.		Ammonium	
5.3.		Nitrate	
5.3.		Performance of resin spikes	
5	.3.6.1	Relationships between 2 M KCl -extractable N and resin-ads	orbed N.135
5.3.	.7	Mineralisable N	137
5.3.	8	Pasture response	141
5.3.	9	Ammonia volatilisation	
5.3.	10	Leaching	146
5	.3.10.	1 Leaching model development	146
	.3.10.	-	
5.3.	.11	Nitrification	154
5.4	Disc	cussion	158

5.4	4.1	Urine N recovery	158
5.4	4.2	Mineral N	161
5.4	4.3	Priming effect	162
5.4	4.4	Ammonia volatilisation	164
5.4	4.5	Nitrification	165
5.4	4.6	Leaching	165
5.4	4.7	Pasture response	167
5.4	4.8	Denitrification	168
5.4	4.9	Immobilisation	168
5.5	Coi	nclusions	169
		CHAPTER 6	
-	ΓRAN	ABORATARY INCUBATION STUDY OF N NSFORMATIONS IN HILL COUNTRY AN VASTURE SOILS AFTER APPLICATION (	ND LOWLAND
6.1		roduction	
( 2	2.6	terials and methods	181
6.2	Ma	terials and methods	1/1
	2.1	Soils used for the incubation	
	2.2	Experimental procedure	
6.	2.3	Chemical analysis	173
	6.2.3.	l Mineral nitrogen (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N)	173
	6.2.3.		
	6.2.3.	3 Dissolved organic carbon	173
	6.2.3.		
	6.2.3.	5 Microbial nitrogen	174
	6.2.3.	_	
	6.2.3.		
	6.2.3.		
6.	2.4	Statistical Analysis	175
6.3	Res	sults	175
6	3.1	Organic matter quality of tested soils	175
	3.2	Mineral N	
	3.3	Ammonium	
	3.4	Nitrate	
	3.5	Mineralisable N	
	3.6	Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)	
	3.7	Soil microbial biomass (SMB)	
6.4	Dis	scussion	194
6.	4.1	Nitrification	198

6.4.	2 Relationships between resin-adsorbed N and 0.5M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> - extractable N204
6.5	Conclusion
	CHAPTER 7
N	ODELLING THE NITROGEN CYCLE IN SHEEP GRAZED NORTH ISLAND HILL COUNTRY PASTURE
7.1	Introduction
7.2	Model inputs and development
7.3	Model outputs
7.4	Sensitivity of the model to different conditions220
7.4. 7.4. 7.4 7.5	2 Impact of pasture utilisation
	CHAPTER 8
SUM	MARY AND IMPLICATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH232
REF	RENCES241
APPI	ENDIX 1261
APPI	ENDIX 2263
APPI	ENDIX 3271
APPI	ENDIX 4274

#### LIST OF TABLES

## **CHAPTER 2**

Table 2.1	Calculation of amount of dung and urine N derived from on each slope category in the notional 1 ha paddock	15
Table 2.2	N balance on different land slopes within a notional sheep-grazed hill pasture. (Values are based on Fig. 2.1 and Fig. 2.2)	16
Table 2.3	N inputs and N surplus in the notional 1 ha hill country paddock.  Data on N inputs per ha and N surplus per ha are from Table 2.2 and proportions of land in each slope category are from Table 2.1 and Gillingham (1978)	17
	CHAPTER 3	
Table 3.1	The partition of urinary nitrogen (Doak, 1952).	21
Table 3.2	Constituents of the synthetic urine solution (pH= 7.8).	43
Table 3.3.	Treatments used in the experiment.	43
Table 3.4	Apparent recovery of urine N as mineral N and mineralisable N after urine application (kg N/ha/7.5 cm depth) in the soil profile (0-15 cm) i.e. all values are treatment minus control. * = did not measure	49
Table 3.5	Mineralisable N levels (kg N/ha) in control soils at different depths. Values are the average of soils sampled 27, 100 and 142 DAUA	53
Table 3.6	Pasture DM production and N uptake as effected by urine application	58
Table 3.7	Effect of urine treatments on ammonia volatilization	59
Table 3.8	Apparent fate of urine NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N from 1-27 DAUA (A) and 27-100 DAUA (B). All quantities are expressed as kg N/ha.	64
Table 3.9	Soil fertility indices of the two sites.	68
	CHAPTER 4	
Table 4.1	Resin-adsorbed N ( $\mu$ g-N/5 cm <sup>2</sup> /3 days) from two different pasture plots.	78
Table 4.2	Resin-adsorbed N ( $\mu$ g-N/5 cm <sup>2</sup> /day) from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution containing 10 $\mu$ g/mL NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and 10 $\mu$ g/mL NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	79

Table 4.3	Adsorption of N (μg-N/5 cm²/day) by glued spikes and fresh resin membranes from 25 mL samples of NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution containing 10 μg NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N/mL and 10 μg NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N/mL over a day	.79
Table 4.4	N adsorption ( $\mu g$ -N/5 cm <sup>2</sup> /day) to resin spikes immersed in NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution containing 10 $\mu g$ /mL NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and 10 $\mu g$ /mL NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N for a day.	.80
Table 4.5	N adsorption to resin spike from homogeneous soil (µg-N/5 cm²/7 days)	
Table 4.6	Estimation of resin-adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> -N from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution containing different initial quantities of NO <sub>3</sub> -N	.85
Table 4.7	Estimation of resin-adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solutions containing different initial quantities of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N	86
Table 4.8	Soil NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N assessed by 2 M KCl extraction and resin adsorption, together with pasture N uptake over 12 days at 2 sites. Means with common letters are not significantly different ( <i>P</i> <0.05) within a column at each site.	92
Table 4.9	Levels of mineral N in two soils as measured by 2 M KCl extraction and resin spikes. Measurements were made over a 7 day period in the field and also after incubation for 7 days in the laboratory.	95
	CHAPTER 5	
Table 5.1	Treatments used in the experiment at Ballantrae AgResearch hill country research station investigating the fate of simulated urine N applied to hill country pasture.	120
Table 5.1A	Constituents of the synthetic urine solution (pH=7.8).	120
Table 5.2	Average meteorological conditions for 1970-1995 at Ballantrae AgResearch Hill country research station (wind speed data was only available for 1994).	124
Table 5.3	Net mineral N (extracted by 2 M KCl ) in the soil profile (0-30 cm) (i.e. treatment minus control values)	127
Table 5.4	Mineralisable N levels (kg/ha) in control soils at different depths.  Values are the average of soils from sampling times at 3, 12 and 27  DAUA.	138
Table 5.5	Pasture DM production, herbage N concentration and N uptake following urine application.	144
Table 5.6	Quantities of NH <sub>3</sub> trapped by samplers and estimated losses of NH <sub>3</sub> by volatilisation.	145

Table 5.7	Amounts of urine N lost through NH <sub>3</sub> volatilisation and overall recovery of added urine N at 3 DAUA. # = data from Table 5.3145
Table 5.8	Example of leaching calculation by the model. Data extracted from leaching model of the F560 treatment
Table 5.9	Estimated leaching losses from urine treatments by the two models151
Table 5.10	Example of nitrification calculation. Calculations up to day 8 are presented for the F560 treatment plots
	CHAPTER 6
Table 6.1	Apparent fate of urine N at 3 DAUA. * (rate of urine N was 400 $\mu$ g N/g soil) i.e. values are treatment minus control)
Table 6.2	Quantitative comparison of $\mathrm{NH_4}^+\text{-}\mathrm{N}$ decrease and $\mathrm{NO_3}^-\text{-}\mathrm{N}$ increase in urine treated soils from 3-45 DAUA. (All values are in $\mu g \ N/g \ soil$ )198
Table 6.3	Nitrification rates during first 15 DAUA in the experimental soils199
	CHAPTER 7
Table 7.1	Data used to evaluate the model. G= measured data of Gillingham (1978), B= measured data of Blennerhassett (2002), T= Findings from this thesis.
Table 7.2	Modelled N balances for individual slope categories and for the overall paddocks taking into account that campsites, easy slopes and steep slopes occupy 12.2%, 45.5% and 42.3% of the paddock area respectively.
Table 7.3	Data used to evaluate the model on a paddock with a high level of P fertility. G = measured data of Gillingham (1978), B = measured data of (Blennerhassett (2002), T = Findings from this thesis
	CHAPTER 8
Table 8.1	Comparison between sustainable levels of pasture production with current N inputs and theoretical maximum pasture production in different slope categories of hill country

#### **LIST OF FIGURES**

#### **CHAPTER 2**

Fig. 2.1	Above-ground N balances for hill country with a north facing aspect. All values are kg N/ha/yr.	9
Fig. 2.2	Above-ground N balances for hill country with a south facing aspect. All values are kg N/ha/yr.	10
	CHAPTER 3	
Fig. 3.1	Percentage urine N recovery in urine patches. Data extracted from Ball et al., 1979 (Palmerston North) and Carran et al., 1982 (Gore)	23
Fig. 3.2	Four general patterns of nitrification observed by Steel <i>et al</i> . (1980) when soils are perfused with .005M (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	32
Fig. 3.3	Relationships between INA (Initial Nitrification Activity) and total N (%) and C/N ratio of soil. The data are extracted from soils that showed the Type 1 and Type 2 nitrification patterns of Steel <i>et al.</i> (1980).	33
Fig. 3.4	Relationships between INA (Initial Nitrification Activity) and total N (%) and C/N ratio of soil. All the data presented in Steel et al. (1980) were used for the relationships.	33
Fig. 3.5	Daily rainfall during the experimental period	46
Fig. 3.6	Effect of urine application on soil (0-15 cm) mineral N level. *= significant treatment differences were observed within a sampling at the same site. NS = no significant treatment differences were observed within a sampling at the same site.	48
Fig. 3.7	Effect of urine treatments on soil (0-15 cm) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N. * = significant treatment differences were observed within the sampling time.  NS = no significant treatment differences were observed within the sampling time.	50
Fig. 3.8	Effect of urine treatments on soil (0-15 cm) NO <sub>3</sub> -N. * = significant treatment differences were observed within the sampling time.  NS = no significant treatment differences were observed within the sampling time.	52

Fig. 3.9	Effects of urine treatments on soil (0-7.5cm) mineralisable N levels at 27, 100, 142 DAUA. Treatments with common lower case letters do not differ ( $P$ <0.05) within a sampling day at the same site. Treatments at the same site with common upper case letters do not differ at ( $P$ <0.05) between sampling days	53
Fig. 3.10	Effect of urine treatments on pasture DM accumulation. Total DM accumulations at the same site with common upper case letters do not differ at the $P<0.05$ level. Treatments at the same site and at the same harvest with common lower case letters do not differ at the $P<0.05$ level.	56
Fig. 3.11	Effect of urine treatments on pasture N accumulation. Total pasture N accumulations at the same site with common upper case letters do not differ at the $P$ <0.05 level. Treatments at the same site and at the same harvest with common lower case letters do not differ at $P$ <0.05 level.	57
Fig. 3.12	Effect of urine treatments on ammonia volatilisation. Treatments with common letters within a site do not differ at the $P$ <0.05 level	58
Fig. 3.13	Total urine N recovery (%) during the experiment.	60
Fig. 3.14	Urine N recovery during the experiment.	61
	CHAPTER 4	
Fig. 4.1	Polymerization synthesis of a styrene sulfonic acid cation exchange resin (Harland, 1994).	73
Fig. 4.2	Relationship between diffusion impedance factor and moisture content. (Logistic curve fit for the data in Fig. 4.1 of Tinker and Nye (2000).)	75
Fig. 4.3	N adsorption to resin spikes from different concentrations of NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution. Each point represents the average of three replicates.	83
Fig. 4.4	Estimated and measured resin-adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solutions containing different initial quantities of NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	85
Fig. 4.5	Estimated and measured resin-adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solutions containing different initial concentrations of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N. n = Selectivity coefficient of K <sup>+</sup>	87
Fig. 4.6	N adsorption by resin spikes with time from NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> solution containing 10 µg NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N/mL and 10 µg NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N/mL	88
Fig. 4.7	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N levels during the incubation as measured by the 2 M KCl -	89

Fig. 4.8	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N levels during the incubation as measured by 2 M KCl -extractable and Resin methods90
Fig. 4.9	Notional box of soil. 97
Fig. 4.10	Modelled experimental soil cube with resin spike inserted, viewed from above. The anion exchange resin membrane is facing cells (10,9), (10,10), (10,11), and (10,12) and the cation exchange resin facing the cells (11,9), (11,10), (11,11) and (11,12)
Fig. 4.11	Electrical conductivity of different NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> concentrations at 20° C
Fig. 4.12	Measured and modelled NO <sub>3</sub> -N adsorption to resin spikes with time106
Fig. 4.13	Modelled $NO_3$ -N concentration ( $\mu g/cm^3$ soil solution) in each soil compartment (0.25 x 0.25 x 5 cm) 1 day after placement of the resin spike in soil. The asymmetrical depletion pattern is caused by the placement of the anion resin strip on the side of the spike apparently closest to the top of page. (This diagram shows only half of the system).
Fig. 4.14	Soil NO <sub>3</sub> -N (µg/cell) distribution in each soil compartment (0.25x 0.25x1cm) 1 day after placement of the resin spike in soil. The resin spike is placed at 2-3 cm on the X axis and at 2.5 cm on the Y axis. The asymmetrical depletion pattern is caused by the placement of the anion resin strip on the side of the spike apparently closest to the reader.
Fig. 4.15	Modelled $NO_3$ -N concentration ( $\mu$ g/cm <sup>3</sup> soil solution) in each soil compartment (0.25 x 0.25 x 5 cm) 7 days after placement of the resin spike in soil (This diagram shows only half of the system). 108
Fig. 4.16	Soil $NO_3$ -N (µg/cell) distribution in each soil compartment (0.25x 0.25x1cm) 7 days after placement of the resin spike in soil. The resin spike is placed at 2-3 cm on the X axis and at 2.5 cm on the Y axis108
Fig. 4.17	Modelled effect of soil moisture content on $NO_3$ -N adsorption by resin spikes with time after burial. W = gravimetric moisture content109
Fig. 4.18	Effect of soil moisture on soil NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (μg/cell) distribution 7 days after placement of the resin spike in soil. The resin spike is placed at 2-3 cm on the X axis and at 2.5 cm on the Y axis. The asymmetrical depletion pattern is caused by the placement of the resin strip on the side of the spike 'apparently closest' to the reader
Fig. 4.19	Modelled effect of initial soil NO <sub>3</sub> -N concentration (µg NO <sub>3</sub> -N/g soil) on NO <sub>3</sub> -N adsorption by resin spikes with time after burial.  The gravimetric moisture content is 0.26 (w/w)

Fig. 4.20	Modelled effect of temperature on N adsorption by resin spikes with time. The moisture content of the soil is 0.26 (w/w)
Fig. 4.21	Schematic diagram of resin spike
Fig. 4.22	Schematic diagram of processes controlling the available soil N115
	CHAPTER 5
Fig. 5.1	Rainfall during the experimental period (14/7/2000-19/10/2000)124
Fig. 5.2	Air and soil temperature during the experimental period (14/7/2000-19/10/2000)
Fig. 5.3	Effect of urine application on soil (0-30cm) mineral N levels126
Fig. 5.4	Effect of urine application on soil NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N levels (0-10 cm) as determined by 2 M KCl extraction and resin adsorption methods129
Fig. 5.5	Quantities of 2 M KCl extractable NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N in the 0-30 cm soil depth during the experimental period. Note. Change in scale between control and treated plots.
Fig. 5.6	Effect of urine application on soil NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N levels (0-10 cm) as determined by 2 M KCl -extraction and resin-absorption methods132
Fig. 5.7	Effect of urine treatments on resin-adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N at 55 to 97 DAUA
Fig. 5.8	Relationship between resin-adsorbed N and 2 M KCl extractable N when both steep and flat site data are used
Fig. 5.9	Relationships between (A) resin adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N and 2 M KCl - extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N. (B) Resin-adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and 2 M KCl adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N.
Fig. 5.10	Effects of urine treatments on soil (0-10 cm) mineralisable N levels at 3, 12, 27 days after urine application. Treatments with common upper case letters do not differ at $P$ <0.05 level within a sampling day. Treatments with common lower case letters do not differ at $P$ <0.05 level between sampling days of the same treatment139
Fig. 5.11	Effect of urine application on soil mineralisable and mineral N levels (0-10 cm depth) at 3, 12 and 27 days after urine application (DAUA)140
Fig. 5.12	Relationship between increase in soil mineral N and decrease in soil mineralisable N from 3 to 12 and from 12 to 27 DALIA

Fig. 5.13	Effect of urine treatments on pasture DM accumulation at the flat and steep sites. Dry matter yields with common letters between treatments within same cut and same site do not differ at $P$ <0.05. Total DM accumulation with common lowercase letters between treatments within same site do not differ at $P$ <0.05.
Fig. 5.14	Effect of urine treatments on herbage N accumulation. Herbage N accumulations with common uppercase letters between treatments within the same cut and site do not differ at $P < 0.05$ . Total herbage N accumulations with common lowercase letters between treatments within same site do not differ at $P < 0.05$ .
Fig. 5.15	Effect of urine treatments on ammonia volatilisation during the first 6 days after urine application. Values with common upper case letters between treatments within same site do not differ at $P < 0.05$ .
Fig. 5.16	Estimated and measured 2 M KCl -extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N in the 0-10 cm soil depth during the experimental period. Arrows indicate measured 2 M KCl -extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> N. Other marked data points (•) are estimated 2 M KCl -extractable NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N values from the relationship with resin adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N illustrated in Fig. 5.8
Fig. 5.17	Estimated quantities of soil NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) in the 10-20 cm and 20-30 cm depths from the two models during the experimental period152
Fig. 5.18	Estimated cumulative leaching of NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (g/m <sup>2</sup> ) from 10-20 cm and 20-30 cm soil depths from urine treatments from the two models during the experimental period.
Fig. 5.19	Schematic diagram to illustrate nitrification rate calculation
Fig. 5.20	Cumulative daily nitrification during the experimental period
Fig. 5.21	Frequency distribution of daily nitrification rates calculated at each site for the period up to 45 DAUA
Fig. 5.22	Urine N recovery during the experimental period. The dotted line indicates the application rate
Fig. 5.23	Urine N recoveries (%) during the experimental period, estimated as the sum of soil mineral N, NH <sub>3</sub> volatilisation, plant uptake and leaching of NO <sub>3</sub> -N.
Fig. 5.24	Resin adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (A) and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (B) levels during the

#### **CHAPTER 6**

Fig. 6.1	Soil carbon related organic matter properties studied during the experiment.	7
Fig. 6.2	Nitrogen related organic matter properties studied during the experiment.	3
Fig. 6.3	Soil carbon to nitrogen ratios in experimental soil	)
Fig. 6.4	Relationships between organic matter quality parameters183	l
Fig. 6.5	Effect of urine application on 0.5M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -extractable soil mineral N. (The statistical analysis of the data in this figure is included in Appendix 3).	3
Fig. 6.6	Percentage of urine N recovered as soil mineral N at the beginning (3 DAUA) and end (45 DAUA) of the experiment	1
Fig. 6.7	Effect of urine application on soil 0.5M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -extractable NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3).	5
Fig. 6.8	Effect of urine application on resin-adsorbed NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3) 186	5
Fig. 6.9	Effect of urine application on 0.5 M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -extractable soil NO <sub>3</sub> -N. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3).	8
Fig. 6.10	Effect of urine application on resin-adsorbed NO <sub>3</sub> -N over time. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3.)	9
Fig. 6.11	Effect of urine application on soil mineralisable N with time. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3)190	0
Fig. 6.12	Effect of urine application on soil DOC levels. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in the Appendix 3)	2
Fig. 6.13	Effect of urine application on soil microbial biomass N with time. (The statistical analysis of data in this figure is included in Appendix 3)	3
Fig. 6.14	The distribution of NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N and NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N in urine treated soils with time after urine application	7
Fig. 6.15	Comparison of nitrification of urine N and control soil N20	0
Fig. 6.16	Relationship between C/N ratio and nitrification rate20	2

Fig. 6.1 /	organic C to TC
Fig. 6.18	Relationship between nitrification rate and soil pH of the experimental soils
Fig. 6.19	Relationship between resin-adsorbed N and 0.5M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> - extractable N
Fig. 6.20	Relationships between resin-adsorbed N and 0.5M K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -extractable N in different soils
	CHAPTER 7
Fig. 7.1	Modelled N cycle in a hill country paddock with northerly aspect (12.2% campsite, 45.5% easy slope, 42.3% steep slope). All values kg N/ha/yr
Fig. 7.2	Modelled N cycle in a hill country paddock with southerly aspect (12.2% campsite, 45.5% easy slope, 42.3% steep slope). All values are kg N/ha/yr
Fig. 7.3	Modelled N balance for two hill country paddocks with contrasting proportions of steep, easy and flat land. All values are kg N/ha/yr. F = N input by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition, AT = Animal transfer, AP = Animal products, AV = Ammonia volatilisation, L = Leaching, P.U. = Pasture utilisation, Excretal N = Percentage of excretal N deposited on each slope category in that paddock
Fig. 7.4	N Balances for hill country paddocks with different excretal distributions. Values are kg N/ha/yr. Pasture DM production, proportion of clover in herbage and N concentration in herbage were as for the north aspect paddock in Table 7.1 A. F = N input by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition, AT= Animal transfer, AP = Animal products, AV = Ammonia volatilisation, L = Leaching, P.U. = Pasture utilisation, Excretal N = percentage of excretal N deposited on each slope category in that paddock
Fig.7.5	N Balances for hill country paddocks with different pasture utilisations. Values are kg N/ha/yr. Pasture DM production, proportion of clover in herbage and N concentration in herbage were as for the north aspect paddock in Table 7.1 A. F = N input by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition, AT = Animal transfer, AP = Animal products, AV = Ammonia volatilisation, L = Leaching, P.U. = Pasture utilisation, Excretal N = percentage of excretal N deposited on each slope category in that paddock

Fig. 7.6	N Balances for north aspect hill country paddocks under low P and high P conditions. Values are kg N/ha/yr. F = N input by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition, AT = Animal transfer, AP = Animal products, AV = Ammonia volatilisation, L = Leaching, P.U. = Pasture utilisation, Excretal N = percentage of excretal N deposited on each slope category in that paddock	227
	category in that paddock	221
Fig. 7.7	N Balances for south aspect hill country paddocks. Values are kg N/ha/yr. F = N input by legume N fixation, non symbiotic fixation and atmospheric deposition, AT = Animal transfer, AP = Animal products, AV = Ammonia volatilisation, L = Leaching, P.U. = Pasture utilisation, Excretal N = percentage of excretal N deposited on each slope category in that paddock.	220
	deposited on each slope category in that baddock	228

#### LIST OF PLATES

Plate 3.1	Ammonia volatilisation measurement using chamber methods (Ball <i>et al.</i> , 1979; Theobald, 1983)
Plate 3.2	Ammonia volatilisation measurement using passive samplers (Carran <i>et al.</i> , 2000)