Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FOREIGN DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE AND HUMAN RIGHTS PERFORMANCE - A CASE STUDY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CANADA, THE NETHERLANDS AND INDONESIA

A Research Project Presented in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master in Philosophy in Development Studies at Massey University

DIONISIO DA COSTA BABO SOARES

1995

Dedicated to:

My grandfather, parents, brothers & sisters, uncles, aunts, cousins, and the one I really love.

ABSTRACT

This dissertation examines the degree to which donor countries have attempted to suspend or discontinue foreign aid to the recipients on human rights ground.

Contemporary approaches to human rights in development are represented by both the theory of "Natural Rights" and the theory of "Cultural Relativism". Donors and most of the Western countries, advocate the former and give emphasis to civil and political rights. Whereas most of the recipient countries uphold the theory of "Cultural Relativism" and put emphasis on economic, social and cultural rights. One of the common facets of cultural relativism is the postponement of civil and political rights for the sake of economic development. The basic tenet of this position is that, if economic development has been achieved then civil and political rights will be exercised naturally. Indeed, this is the common conviction in some Third World nations where stability is regarded as the key to successful economic development. Poor treatment of human rights are therefore often a consequence of this conviction in these countries. The advocacy of prevention of abuses of these rights, through the suspension of foreign aid, emerges from this consequence. Another consequence is that, opposition to the "link between aid and human rights" grows largely in the recipient nations.

This thesis, acknowledging the complexity of the issue, sets out to assess the case study of three donor countries; the USA, Canada and the Netherlands. All were, and still are, strong advocates of "giving aid on human rights grounds". The foreign aid flow of these donor countries, each dealing independently with Indonesia as the recipient, is assessed. The results suggest that, despite the fact that the legitimacy of cutting aid on human rights grounds is emphasized, practical moves in this direction by the USA, Canada and the Netherlands from 1980 until 1995, remain the exception rather than the rule. Though Indonesia's record on human rights has remained deplorable, Foreign Development Assistance (ODA) has continued on the ground. This thesis, finally, suggests possibilities for future improvements of human rights in the recipient countries, particularly in Indonesia, in its recommendations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my Lord and His Mother for the extraordinary gift of grace that I have received for reasons only Heaven can fathom. When I started compiling information, I never believed I would find such a treasure of rich vein of knowledge, which have allowed me to formulate within this piece of writing.

I would also like to thank my supervisor, Dr Katharine Davidson, for her support and considered advice and her peculiar touch of motherhood. This project would have been hard to unfold without her tireless support.

I am grateful to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Crosbie and his wife Vijaya Walsh, Ms Diana Te Nana (secretary), Dr Brian Ponter and all the staff of Development Studies for their valuable assistance during my years of study at this institute. I am particularly indebted to Dr Hadi Soesastro and staff members of the Centre for Strategic and International Studies (CSIS) - Jakarta, for their support during my field research from the early December 1993 until the late January 1994.

I am thankful to John McDougall (editor for Apakabar list - USA), Dr Djisman Simanjuntak (Prasetia Mulya), Dr George Aditjondro and Dr Arief Budiman (UKSW), Goenawan Moehamad (TEMPO), Dr T. M. Lubis (YLBHI), Dr Dewi Fortuna Anwar (LIPI), Mr Firmansyah (Bapenas), Mrs Saodah B.A. Syahruddin. M.A.(Foreign Affairs Ministry) and Prof. Paul Gordon Lauren (Montana University - USA) for their useful insights and assistance during the early draft of this thesis. My special thanks also go to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade (MFAT) in general for the financial support it provided me throughout my study, and to Rachel Gundessen, Charles Chua and Bruce Graham, Student Support Officers for Massey University in particular.

Finally, to all my friends and those whose names could not be mentioned here, I would like to extend my gratitude for the brotherhood and friendly atmosphere we have created and, for the cooperation and support throughout my years of study at this beloved Institute of Development Studies. May God Bless you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	viii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1. Background	1
1.1. Definition	1
1.2. Brief History of Human Rights	2 6
1.3. The Concept of Aid 1.4. The Linking of Aid to Human Rights	9
1.5. The Intellectual Debate	15
1.5. The interfectual Debate	15
2. Objectives	17
2.1. The Relevance of the Study to Indonesia	17
2.2. The Focus of the Study	20
2.3. The Purpose of the Assessment	22
3. Methodology	23
3.1. Theoretical Approach	23
3.2. Data Source	23
3.3. Approach (es) of the Enquiry	23
3.4. Organisation of the Thesis	25
4. Notes	28
CHAPTER TWO: THE REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	30
1. The Foreign Aid Debates	30
 1.1. The Moral Aspect 1.2. Critics of the Moral Aspect 	31 35

V

1.3. The Historical and Theoretical Debates on Aid	40
1.3.1. Historical Debates	41
1.3.2. Theoretical Debates	42
1.4. Critics of Aid	45
2. The Human Rights Debates	48
2.1. The Natural Rights Theory	49
2.2. Critics of the Natural Rights Theory	51
2.3. The Cultural Relativism Theory	55
2.4. Critics of the Cultural Relativism Theory	58
3. The Notion of Intervention	61
3.1. The State Autonomy Perspective	62
3.2. The Cosmopolitan Perspective	63
3.3. Retaking The Middle Ground	64
4. Conclusion	67
5. Notes	69
CHAPTER THREE: THE NATURE OF FOREIGN AID IN PRACTICE	71
1. Interest of Donor Countries	72
2. Interest of Recipient Countries	76
3. Assessing Aid Effectiveness	77
4. Notes	80
CHAPTER FOUR: DONOR FOREIGN AID POLICIES, THE HUMAN RIGHTS DEBATE IN INDONESIA AND	
THE ROLE OF NON-GOVERNMENTAL	
ORGANISATIONS (NGOs)	81
1. Donor Foreign Aid Policies	81
1.1. The United States of America	81
1.2. Canada	85
1.2. Callada 1.3. The Netherlands	87
1.5. The routenands	07

vi

2. The Debate on Aid and Human Rights in Indonesia	91
2.1. Government View2.2. Non-Government View	92 97
3. The Role of Non-Governmental Organisation (NGOs)	100
4. Assessing the Effectiveness of Human Rights Criteria	104
5. Notes	106
CHAPTER FIVE: ASSESSING THE HUMAN RIGHTS PERFORMANCE AND THE FOREIGN AID	
FLOW TO INDONESIA	109
1. Legal Procedures on Human Rights	109
 1.1. The National Procedures 1.2. Indonesia and International Conventions 	109 112
2. Brief Account on Indonesia's Human Rights Performance	114
3. Donor's Aid Performance to Indonesia from 1980 to 1995	130
3.1. The United States of America	130
3.2. Canada3.3. The Netherlands	133 134
4. Indonesia's Response to Donor Countries' Reaction	136
5. Notes	140
CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	141
1. Introduction	141
2. Overall Conclusion	143
3. Comments and Recommendation	146

vii

	viii
Appendices	151
Bibliography	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Chronology of Human Rights Instruments	113
Table 2: Assessment of Human Rights in Indonesia	117
Table 3: Assessment of Human Rights in Indonesia	118
Table 4: Assessment of Human Rights in Indonesia	119
Table 5: Assessment of Human Rights in Indonesia	120
Table 6: Assessment of Human Rights in Indonesia	120
Table 7: The ODA Net (US 000') To Indonesia	131