Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Loneliness among older people living in long term care settings in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Philosophy

in

Health

at Massey University, Albany

New Zealand.

Rubina Bogati

2016

Abstract

Introduction

Loneliness is a major problem experienced by older people living in long term care settings. Among older people, loneliness has been associated with poorer physical and mental health. However, there is limited information known about the prevalence of loneliness and socio-demographic and health characteristics associated with loneliness, among older people living in long term care settings in New Zealand.

Methodology

This study used a cross-sectional correlational design to explore the degree of loneliness among older people living in long term care settings in New Zealand and the sociodemographic and heath characteristics associated with loneliness. Methods included a demographic data sheet, the Barthel Index to measure functional independence, the EQ-5D-5L and EQ-VAS to measure health and wellbeing, the geriatric depression scale to measure depression, and the De-Jong Gierveld loneliness scale to measure loneliness. Between December 2015 and April 2016, data from 36 participants were collected using predominantly face to face interviews.

Results

The mean age of participants was 81 years (95% CI: 77.81-84.42). Analysis revealed older people experienced marginal loneliness (M = 2.36, 95% CI: 1.76-2.96). Logistic regression predicted loneliness was almost twice as likely to occur in older people with low perceived health (OR = 1.78, p = .04) and was more than twice as likely to occur in those with fewer social networks (OR = 2.53, p = .03). Logistic regression also predicted that those with fewer social networks were three times more likely to experience social loneliness (OR = 3.18, p < .00).

Conclusion

Loneliness is prevalent among older people living in long term care settings in New Zealand and is associated with lower levels of perceived health and fewer social networks. Further research with a larger population is needed to understand loneliness, the factors associated with it, and more importantly, strategies to reduce it.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the people without whom this thesis would have never been completed. First and most importantly, to older people who very kindly participated in this study and long term care settings who allowed me into their premises to conduct this study.

My supervisor, Doctor Alison Pirret for her patience, support, knowledge, and encouragement while I muddled through the steps of this thesis. I am forever grateful to you, without your endless support this thesis would have never been completed. You have been inspirational throughout this journey.

I am very thankful to Associate Professor Stephen Neville for providing me with the idea and information to start this thesis. Julia Russell, Mary Jo Gagan, and Carla Arkless for cordially giving their time and valuable suggestions to improve the design of this study. Your input has been instrumental in designing this study, for which I am very thankful.

The Massey University Human Ethics Committee for providing ethical approval to conduct this thesis. The New Zealand Nursing Education and Research Foundation for providing postgraduate study grant and the Massey University Graduate Research Fund that provided the financial support which covered some of the cost associated with data collection. Age Concern Auckland for being prepared to support participating older people if they experienced distress during the research process.

A massive thanks to all of my friends and my ward 8 family for your support. Finally, to the most important people in my life, Dad, Mom, Rishna, Maa, Aama and Jeet for providing constant motivation, love and support. Thank you Jagz for supporting me every single day while I lived this thesis for past two years.

Table of Contents

Abstract		ii
Acknowled	gements	iv
Table of Co	ontents	v
List of Tab	les	X
List of App	endices	xi
Chapter on	e: Introduction	1
1.1.	Background and rationale	1
1.2.	Usage of terms	2
1.3.	Research aims and questions	2
1.4.	Overview of the thesis	3
1.5.	Chapter summary	3
Chapter tw	o: Literature review	5
2.1.	Literature search process	5
2.2.	Loneliness	6
2.3.	Effects of loneliness	7
2.4.	Loneliness in older people	9
2.5.	Loneliness in long term care	10
2.6.	Socio-demographic and health factors associated with loneliness	12
	2.6.1. Age	12
	2.6.2. Gender	13
	2.6.3. Marital status	14

	2.6.4. Social	networks	14
	2.6.5. Health	and wellbeing	15
	2.6.6. Institut	ionalisation	15
	2.6.7. Reside	ntial satisfaction	16
2.7.	Measuring lon	eliness	16
	2.7.1. Univer	sity of California, Los Angeles loneliness scale	16
	2.7.2. De Jon	g Gierveld loneliness scale	17
	2.7.3. Social	provision scale	17
2.8.	Measuring fun	ctional independence	17
	2.8.1. The Ba	arthel Index	18
	2.8.2. Function	onal Independence Measure	18
2.9.	Measuring self	f-reported health status	18
	2.9.1. 36-iten	n short form health survey	18
	2.9.2. EQ-5D	9-5L	19
2.10.	Measuring dep	pression	19
	2.10.1.	Hamilton Rating Scale for depression	20
	2.10.2.	Zung self-rating depression scale	20
	2.10.3.	Montgomery-Asberg depression rating scale	20
	2.10.4.	Geriatric depression scale	20
2.11.	Chapter summ	ary	21
ter thre	e: Methodolog	y	22
3.1.	Theoretical fro	nmework	22
3.2.	Research design		23
	2.8. 2.9. 2.10. ter three 3.1.	2.6.5. Health 2.6.6. Institut 2.6.7. Reside 2.7. Measuring lon 2.7.1. Univer 2.7.2. De Jon 2.7.3. Social 2.8. Measuring fun 2.8.1. The Ba 2.8.2. Function 2.9.1. 36-item 2.9.2. EQ-5D 2.10. Measuring dep 2.10.1. 2.10.2. 2.10.3. 2.10.4. 2.11. Chapter summeter three: Methodology 3.1. Theoretical from	2.7.1. University of California, Los Angeles loneliness scale 2.7.2. De Jong Gierveld loneliness scale 2.7.3. Social provision scale 2.8. Measuring functional independence 2.8.1. The Barthel Index 2.8.2. Functional Independence Measure 2.9. Measuring self-reported health status 2.9.1. 36-item short form health survey 2.9.2. EQ-5D-5L 2.10. Measuring depression 2.10.1. Hamilton Rating Scale for depression 2.10.2. Zung self-rating depression scale 2.10.3. Montgomery-Asberg depression rating scale 2.10.4. Geriatric depression scale 2.11. Chapter summary ter three: Methodology 3.1. Theoretical framework

3.3.	Metho	ds			25
	3.3.1.	Demographic	data		25
	3.3.2.	Social networks			25
	3.3.3.	Health Scores	1		26
		3.3.3.1.	Functional in	dependence	26
		3.3.3.2.	Health and w	ellbeing	27
			3.3.3.2.1.	Perceived health	27
			3.3.3.2.2.	Health rating	27
		3.3.3.3.	Depression		28
	3.3.4.	Loneliness			28
3.4.	Partic	ipant selection			29
3.5.	Sampl	aple size			31
3.6.	Data o	a collection			32
3.7.	Ethica	l consideration	ıs		33
<i>3.8</i> .	Data d	analysis			34
	3.8.1.	Inferential sta	tistics		34
		3.8.1.1. Pears	son product-mo	ment correlation coefficient	35
		3.8.1.2. Spear	man rank orde	r	35
		3.8.1.3. The in	ndependent san	aple t-test	36
		3.8.1.4. Mann	-Whitney U tes	rt	36
		3.8.1.5. A one	-way analysis o	of variance	36
		3.8.1.6. Krusk	xal-Wallis test		37
		3.8.1.7. Jonck	cheere–Terpstro	a test for ordered alternatives	37
		3.8.1.8. Logis	tic regression		37

	3.9.	Transformed variables	38
	3.10.	Research rigour	39
		3.10.1. Objectivity	39
		3.10.2. Reliability	39
		3.10.3. Validity	40
		3.10.4. Generalisability	41
	3.11.	Chapter summary	42
Chap	ter fou	r: Results	43
	4.1.	Participant demographics	43
	4.2.	Health scores	45
	4.3.	Demographic factors influencing health scores	45
	4.4.	Relationships between health scores	47
	4.5.	Loneliness	48
	4.6.	Factors associated with loneliness	49
	4.7.	Chapter summary	53
Chap	ter five	: Discussion	55
	5.1.	Socio-demographic factors	55
	5.2.	Health factors	58
		5.2.1. Functional independence	59
		5.2.2. Health and Wellbeing	60
		5.2.3. Depression	60
	5.3.	Loneliness	61
	5.4.	Chapter Summary	62

Cha	pter six:	: Conclusion	64
	6.1.	Limitations of this study	65
	6.2.	Future research	67
	6.3.	Implications of the study	68
	6.4.	Chapter Summary	69
Appendices		70	
Refe	erences		108

List of Tables

Table 1 Reliability of scales	41
Table 2 Patient demographic data	44
Table 3 Relationships between socio-demographic factors and health scores	46
Table 4 Correlation between health scores	47
Table 5 Correlations between health scores and loneliness	48
Table 6 Relationships between loneliness and socio-demographic factors	50
Table 7 Logistic regression predicting loneliness	51
Table 8 Logistic regression predicting emotional loneliness	52
Table 9 Logistic regression predicting social loneliness	53

List of Appendices

Appendix A. Summary of relevant studies	71
Appendix B. Questionnaire	76
Appendix C. Permission to use EQ-5D-5L and EQ-VAS	83
Appendix D. Participant information sheet	85
Appendix E. Participant consent form	88
Appendix F. Coding sheet	89
Appendix G. Massey University Human Ethics Committee approval	93
Appendix H. Graduate research fund approval letter	94
Appendix I. NZNO grant approval letter	95
Appendix J. Consultation email I	96
Appendix K. Consultation email II	101
Appendix L. Recruitment email to Managers of long term care settings	106