

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**KAMAHI DECLINE IN TONGARIRO  
NATIONAL PARK**

---

A thesis presented  
for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in Ecology  
at Massey University

---

Kim Suzanne M<sup>c</sup>Breen

1999

*"And I forget just what it takes  
and , yeah, I guess it makes me smile,  
I found it hard,  
it was hard to find,  
oh well, whatever, nevermind."*

Cobain, 1990.



*"I greet him the days I meet him,  
and bless when I understand."*

Hopkins, 1875.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Heck, so many people to thank. Start with the official ones I guess.

This thesis was funded by the **Department of Conservation, Massey University** Graduate Research Fund, Massey University **Ecology Department** funding, and a grant from the **Robert Bruce Trust**.

DoC also provided much logistic support, by way of labour and accommodation, as well as heaps of advice and support from the likes of **Harry Keyes**, **Cam Speedy**, and **Cathy Jones**, all of whom initially came up with the idea and money for this project, also **Sean Husheer**. DoC collected much of the data for Chapter 2, and set up the exclosure plots for Chapter four, providing all labour and equipment.

The Ecology Department was equally supportive, and I am grateful to the many technicians who have helped, particularly **Jens Jorgensen** and **Paul Barrett** who, along with some lucky volunteers, helped set up the treatments for Chapter three.

Much is owed to my supervisors - **Jill Rapson**, **Murray Potter**, **Peter van Essen** and **Ian Atkinson** for all the help and advice. Particularly Jill, who's put a lot of time into this project especially in the initial stages, helping set up the treatments for chapter three and tagging seedlings for chapter four, and in the last year or so, with editing, and advice on writing and stats.

I've had advice and assistance from so many other people on this project, I'm beginning to wonder what I actually did. At least this will make my thesis longer...

For free advice many thanks and much awe to:

**Ian Henderson**, who was the fortunate recipient of an endless stream of questions and barely articulated requests regarding the appropriateness of statistical techniques, and explanations of results. If the stats in this thesis make any sense, all credit must go to him.

**Bill Faulds**, who sent me in the right direction when setting up the experiment for Chapter three, and provided useful advice throughout the project, or asked other people at **FRI** on my behalf.

**Ross Beever** and **Peter Johnston** for explanations relating to *Sporothrix* taxonomy and ecology.

**J.B.Wilson**, for the software and analysis for chapter three, plus statistical advice along the way.

For practical help, setting up experiments and such like, I am eternally grateful to: **James** and **Suzanne Lambie**. James pretty much helped set up everything I did for the chapter three experiment, and I didn't even have to marry him. Suzanne also helped with possum banding of trees for that experiment, as well as providing heaps of moral support, and an able and willing husband. And while I'm on the topic of Lambies, I guess I better not forget **Charlie** and **Anne**, who fed me, gave me a warm place to stay, and endless supplies of gin, beer, whisky and possums. Cheers.

**Vaughan Keesing**, who also helped band trees, kept me company on countless rec. trips to the Park, and when we got lost, counted (as well as tagging and id.ing) seedlings for chapter four, and generally kept me more sane than I would otherwise have been during the initial stages of this thesis.

**Halema Flannigan**, yet another person who tagged seedlings for chapter four, crawling around very small exclosure plots so I didn't have to.

**Nick Singers** for help setting up the experiment for chapter three and also helping keep me sane.

**Penny Aspin** helped set up the litter traps for chapter three, provided me with a car whenever I was desperate for one, and introduced me to her grandma, **Wanaka Coogan**, who gave me a warm and lovely place to stay, and lots of interesting conversation.

**Jarn Godfrey**, worked like a Trojan (whatever that means) helping sort and weigh samples for chapter three.

Whoa, I hope I haven't missed anyone, deepest apologies if I have, it's just that I'm trying to block this part of my life from memory.

To the many, many people who have given me much needed support - big sloppy kisses, in particular everyone previously mentioned, and:

**Mum** and **Dad**, because they're my mother and father respectively.

everyone I've flatted with over the last couple of years, but mostly **Stove** and **Waka**, because they had to put up with me for longest (and because they're lovely), and **Jenny** and **Patrick**, because they're as lovely as people can possibly be.

**Hirani**, for obvious reasons, being neat, and eating with me, and letting me stay when I didn't exactly have a flat, and being someone I could bitch to, because that's what I most needed through most of this thesis.

**Steph**, for similar reasons, taking my mind off school at all times.

**Megan**, lovely, sigh, who spent as much time with me as I needed while I was losing my mind trying to finish this ridiculous piece of work.

Yeah... I think that's probably all the more important people, obviously God for the inspiration, MUSA for the distraction and blah blah

Pretty much every morning I get dressed. I don't have many clothes to choose from, but I pick out what I feel like wearing and ask either Megan or my flatmates how I look. Scruffy, usually. Sweet as, I say - because I'm a student. A student. And with that in mind...

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... IX

ABSTRACT ..... XIII

**CHAPTER 1: THE DIEBACK PHENOMENON WITH REFERENCE TO KAMAHI (WEINMANNIA RACEMOSA) IN TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK, NEW ZEALAND**1

DIEBACK..... 2

MODELS OF DIEBACK..... 4

    1. GENERAL MODEL OF DIEBACK ..... 4

    2. DECLINE DISEASE THEORY OF STAND-LEVEL DIEBACK..... 5

    3. COHORT SENESCENCE THEORY..... 6

    4. MODEL OF SUCCESSION ..... 7

TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK ..... 8

    Park History ..... 10

KAMAHI (WEINMANNIA RACEMOSA)..... 11

AIMS OF THIS STUDY ..... 14

    APPROACHES..... 15

REFERENCES ..... 17

**CHAPTER 2: SURVEYS OF KAMAHI (WEINMANNIA RACEMOSA) DIEBACK IN TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK .....2 1**

INTRODUCTION.....2 1

**CHAPTER 2A: ASSESSMENT OF EXTENT AND POSSIBLE CAUSES OF KAMAHI (WEINMANNIA RACEMOSA) DIEBACK IN TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK....2 3**

ABSTRACT.....2 3

INTRODUCTION .....2 5

    STAND DYNAMICS AND PATTERNS OF REGENERATION.....2 5

    AIMS.....2 6

METHOD.....2 8

    SITE SELECTION.....2 8

    SITE SURVEY.....2 8

    TREE SURVEY.....3 0

        Characters.....3 0

        Health.....3 0

        Potential causes of dieback.....3 1

    ANALYSIS.....3 1

        Site descriptors.....3 1

        Tree data.....3 2

RESULTS.....3 4

    SITES.....3 4

    TREES.....3 8

DISCUSSION.....4 5

    SITE RELATIONSHIPS TO DIEBACK .....4 5

*TREE RELATIONSHIPS TO DIEBACK* ..... 46

*CONCLUSION* ..... 48

REFERENCES ..... 50

APPENDIX A ..... 52

**CHAPTER 2B: CAUSES OF KAMAHI ILL-HEALTH IN LOCALISED AREAS OF HIGH TREE MORTALITY IN TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK.....5 7**

ABSTRACT ..... 57

INTRODUCTION..... 59

*CAUSES OF KAMAHI DIEBACK*..... 61

*AIMS AND APPROACH* ..... 63

Measures of health..... 63

Possible causes of dieback ..... 64

Statistical Approach..... 67

METHOD ..... 68

*SITE SELECTION*..... 68

*SURVEY*..... 68

Site character ..... 68

Tree health..... 68

*ANALYSIS*..... 72

Site character ..... 72

Tree health..... 73

RESULTS ..... 74

*SITES* ..... 74

*TREES* ..... 80

DISCUSSION ..... 83

*PREDISPOSING FACTORS*..... 85

*TRIGGERING AND ACCELERATING FACTORS*..... 88

*CONCLUSIONS* ..... 89

REFERENCES ..... 90

APPENDIX A ..... 94

*APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TRANSECTS*..... 94

APPENDIX B ..... 95

**CHAPTER 2: DISCUSSION OF THE TWO SURVEYS.....9 7**

**CHAPTER 3: AN EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH TO DETERMINE EFFECTS OF POSSUMS, PINHOLE BORER, AND *SPOROTHRIX* ON KAMAHI HEALTH....1 0 1**

ABSTRACT ..... 101

INTRODUCTION..... 103

*POSSUMS*..... 103

*POSSUMS AND KAMAHI DIEBACK*..... 104

*SPOROTHRIX AND PINHOLE BORER*..... 105

*SPOROTHRIX, PINHOLE BORER AND KAMAHI DIEBACK* ..... 107

*AIMS* ..... 108



STUDY SITE .....	109
METHOD.....	110
<i>HEALTHY SUB-SITE</i> .....	110
Banding.....	110
Fungus .....	112
Holes and Inoculation.....	112
<i>UNHEALTHY SUB-SITE</i> .....	114
Banding.....	114
Fungicide inoculation .....	114
<i>MONITORING</i> .....	116
Leaf litter traps .....	116
Observational data .....	117
<i>ANALYSIS</i> .....	117
RESULTS.....	119
<i>ALL TREATMENTS</i> .....	119
Counts and weights per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	119
Proportions of counts.....	121
Counts per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	121
Proportion of weight.....	124
Proportion of kamahi component.....	124
Weight per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	125
<i>HEALTHY TREATMENTS</i> .....	125
Proportion of counts .....	125
Counts per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	126
Proportion of weight.....	126
Proportion of kamahi component.....	127
Weight per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	127
<i>UNHEALTHY TREATMENTS</i> .....	127
Proportion of counts .....	127
Counts per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	128
Proportion of weight.....	128
Proportion of kamahi weight .....	129
Weight per day per m <sup>2</sup> .....	129
<i>OBSERVATIONAL DATA</i> .....	129
DISCUSSION.....	131
REFERENCES .....	134
APPENDIX I .....	136
 <b>CHAPTER 4: REGENERATION UNDER A HEALTHY OR THINNING KAMAHI CANOPY, AND THE EFFECT OF EXCLUDING LARGE ANIMALS.....</b>	 <b>153</b>
ABSTRACT.....	153
INTRODUCTION.....	155
<i>REGENERATION</i> .....	155
<i>ANIMALS</i> .....	155
<i>AIMS</i> .....	156
STUDY SITE .....	157
METHOD.....	158
<i>ENCLOSURE PLOTS</i> .....	158

RECORDING.....158

ANALYSIS.....160

RESULTS .....161

DATA EXPLORATION.....161

ANALYSIS.....165

DISCUSSION .....170

RESULTS.....170

COMPARISON WITH LITERATURE.....174

REFERENCES.....176

**CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION.....177**

INVESTIGATIONS INTO KAMAHI DIEBACK.....177

KAMAHI ECOLOGY.....180

UNDERSTANDING THE DIEBACK PROCESS.....181

IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORIES OF DIEBACK .....183

Model of Dieback Management.....186

CONCLUSIONS FOR KAMAHI IN TONGARIRO NATIONAL PARK .....189

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS.....191

1. TREATMENT OF DIEBACK .....191

2. MAINTENANCE OF POSSUM DENSITY .....191

3. DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION TO PUBLIC .....191

4. RESEARCH .....191

FUTURE RESEARCH.....192

REFERENCES.....194

## ABSTRACT

Forest dieback is a complex area of study that has led to the development of a number of theories or models which purport to explain it. These models are examined using the example of kamahi dieback in Tongariro National Park. There has long been concern over the health of kamahi in the Park and it is thought kamahi could be in a state of decline. A survey on three transects in the area of the Park where dieback is most apparent compared kamahi health to possible predisposing, triggering and hastening factors (the decline-disease theory of forest dieback) to determine their role in any dieback. Possums, pinhole borer, and *Sporothrix* fungus were highlighted in literature as likely triggering factors in kamahi dieback; an experiment examined their role: possums were excluded from trees, pinhole borer attack simulated, and healthy trees infected with *Sporothrix*, while unhealthy trees were treated with fungicide. To assess the effect of canopy health and vertebrate browsers on regeneration (regeneration is important in both the cohort senescence theory and the model of stand succession), another experiment was conducted using open and exclosure plots under healthy and thinning canopies. A second survey assessed the overall health of kamahi in the area, and compared site and tree factors to levels of dieback.

The survey of an area with high apparent dieback found 14 % of kamahi stems were dead. There was some evidence that age predisposed stems to dieback, and *Sporothrix* was identified as accelerating stem death; no causal factor was determined. The experiment found no evidence that possums, pinhole borer, or *Sporothrix* were affecting the health of kamahi at this site. Sites under a thinning canopy in the regeneration study were much more variable in composition than sites under the healthy canopy; while sites in exclosure plots had higher densities of seedlings than sites that browsers had access to. Sites covered by the broad-scale survey contained very few unhealthy or dead kamahi trees, and none of the factors studied seemed to be impacting on the health of kamahi. There were more small (between 50 cm and 2 m) saplings present at sites with more dead kamahi trees.

It was concluded that kamahi in Tongariro National Park is generally in a healthy state, and not undergoing decline; although localised dieback may be very high. Possums, pinhole borer and *Sporothrix* are not having a large effect on kamahi health in this area. Vertebrate browsers may be maintaining regeneration at a level below natural. Comparison between the theories of dieback, succession and the kamahi data indicate that the successional model may best explain the pattern of kamahi dieback.

The models of dieback and succession can be inserted into an overriding model of dieback management, which will indicate the best path for investigating forest dieback.