Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DISTRICT NURSING CLIENTS: PERCEPTIONS OF PARTICIPATION IN NURSING CARE

A thesis presented
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of
 Master of Arts
 in
 Nursing at
 Massey University
Palmerston North, New Zealand

WILMA AUDREY YOUNG 1989

New Zealand & Pacific Collection

AMENDMENTS

I apologize to readers who may be inconvenienced by these typographical errors. Please amend body of text as follows:

- Fuchs, 1974 to Fuchs, 1975 p. 17
- p. 18 New, Holton, May, & Hesler to New, Holton, May, Jude, & Hesler, 1977 x 2
- p. 19 Oakley, 1979 to Oakley, 1983
- p. 19 Kalish & Kalish, 1983 to Kalish & Kalish, 1981 x 2
- Rodin & Janis, 1978, 1982 to Rodin & Janis, 1979, 1982 p. 19
- p. 31 Nelson (1982) to Nelson (1981)—
- Conway-Rutkowski, 1987a to Conway-Rutkowski, 1982a p. 33
- p. 33 Rover, 1987 to Rovers, 1987
- p. 43 O'Hagan, 1988 to O'Hagan, 1984
- p. 44 Moulson, 1983 to Moulson, 1984 x 3
- p. 46
- Coney, p.212 to Coney, 1988, p.212 Professor Scott (1988) to Professor Scott (1983) p. 46
- Kinross, Takarangi, Nevatt, and White, 1983 to White, Takarangi, Nevatt, p. 47 and Kinross, 1983
- Sandelwoski, 1986 to Sandelowski, 1986 p. 52
- p. 53 Sandelwoski, 1986 to Sandelowski, 1986
- p. 65 Leininger, 1984 to Leininger, 1985
- p. 85 Shamansky & Germain, 1987 to Shamansky & St. Germain, 1987

Please make amendments to bibliographic entries as follows:

Bailey, Donald B. Jr., et al (1985) [change 80(4) to 89(4)]

Becker, Marshall H. & Rosenstock, Irwin M. (1984). [add pp.175-208.]

Butteriss, Margaret. (1971). [change satisfaction to participation]

Cary, Ann H. (1988). [change 341-351 to 341-349.]

Chick, Norma. (1983). [add pp.13-37.]

Del Fante, Anne L. [change (1983) to (1985).]

Flaskerud, Jacquelyn H., et al (1979). [change 158-175 to 158-174.] Flowers, Mark. (1987). [change community services to community health services]

Foxall, Martha, et al (1985). [change 425-444 to 425-443.]

Glogow, Eli. [change (1971) to (1973).]

Kalish, Beatrice J. & Kalish, Phillip A. (1981). [change pp 1-29 to pp. 211-226.]

McGilloway, F.A. (1976). [change 9 to 1]

New, Peter Kong-Ming, Holton, Wilfred E., May, Thomas, Jude, & Hessler, Richard M. (1977). [change to New, Peter Kong-Ming, Holton, Wilfred E., May, Jude, Thomas, & Hessler, Richard M. (1977).]

Oakley, A. [change (1984) to(1983).]

Rovers, Ria. [add (1987, October).]

Schulman, Beryl Ann. (1977). [change 2624-B to 2624-B - 2625-B.]

Shaw, Sally. (1986, May)a. [change 23-25 to 22-25.]

Smith, Carol E., Buck, Susan, Kerndt, Patricia, & Sollie, Tina. [change to Smith, Carol E., Buck, Susan, Colligan, Eva, Kerndt, Patricia, & Sollie, Tina.]

Sparer, Gerald, et al (1970). [change 1090-1102 to 1091-1102] Tagliacozzo, Daisy L. & Mauksch, H.O. (1972). [add pp.162-175]

Change all the following entries from the same text: Abbott, Nellie Kuhtik. (1981); Brophy, Elizabeth B. (1981); Gosch, Susan & Fox, Lee F. (1981); Marriner, Ann. (1981); Mason, Donald. (1981); Smyth, Kathleen. (1981); Van Ort, Suzanne. (1981) [to In Sydney D. Krampitz & Natalie Pavlovich (Eds.), Readings for nursing research.]

Research on participation in nursing care is sparse in New Zealand, particularly in the district nursing field. No studies were found on participation from the perspective of the New Zealand client of district nursing care. This study initiates steps to fill this gap by examining the question " What are the perceptions of district nursing clients toward participation in their care?". An exploratory descriptive study using a multiple triangulation design provided a background data base and revealed rich, meaningful qualitative information. Thirty eight district nursing clients were interviewed seeking quantitative data which were statistically analyzed and qualitative data which were analyzed using analytic description technique. The district nurse/client relationship studied here provided evidence supporting Kim's (1983a) theoretical framework of collaborative decision making, particularly that the nurse controls the "client's propensity to participate" through "allowance" or "sanctioning" of participation (p.279). This research discovered that from the client's perspective the district nurse guides the client/nurse relationship, encouraging the client's participation through discussion. Client and nurse cooperated and worked together to achieve a mutual goal of selfcare and independence. Study clients acquiesced to the nurse's guidance as a result of preconceived patient/nurse role attitudes and deference to the nurse's professional expertise. A continuum of participation was conceptualized with four perceptions of participation evident in this study: 'Withdrawal' of those who did not wish to participate; 'Acquiescence' or supporting the status quo by consenting without comment; a mid-point of 'Cooperation and Working Together'; and, 'Taking more Control' of care.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to the people who participated in the research - the clients. Without their participation and co-operation I would have nothing. My thanks.

I also thank the District Nurses who indirectly assisted in this research by nursing the clients I interviewed. I add my admiration to those of a grateful caregiver below.

TRIBUTE TO NURSES

Sir. - I would like to pay tribute to a wonderful group of people, who I feel may be taken for granted in the normal course of events. I refer. to the district nurses, who undoubtedly ease the burden of spouses and families of patients who wish to remain in their homes rather than be hospitalised.

My husband passed away recently after a short but very trying illness, during which he needed a great deal of care, and without these dedicated people I could never have coped with nursing him.

They came in pairs, three times a day, armed with linen, towels and all the necessary equipment, and never once did we see anything but kindness and caring. everything was done without complaint, and always

done without complaint, and always with a smile.

I will always be grateful for their help, as they enabled me to keep John at home with his family which was his last wish.

I hope you will publish this letter, as I believe many people have no idea this service is available, or how extensive it is. available, or how extensive it is.

Moira Glasgow

Palmerston North.

Letter to the Editor. Evening Standard, Palmerston North, New Zealand. Monday, October 3, 1988

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is my pleasure to acknowledge those who helped this thesis to materialize. With sincere gratitude

To Marion Pybus, my thesis supervisor, for her consistent guidance and support through some trying times.

To Professor Nan Kinross, whose inspiration, support, and help in so many ways encouraged me to go on.

To the New Zealand Nursing Education and Research Foundation for its financial assistance.

To my husband, Chris, for his loving support, honest criticism, and willingness to move 10,000 miles to fulfill my dream.

To my children for their love, support, understanding, and encouragement; especially when I wasn't there when they needed me.

And lastly, to my cohorts and fellow students, whose invaluable friendship and support helped to make it all worthwhile.

As a Canadian, the two years I have spent in New Zealand have been, for me, an ethnological exploration of the New Zealand way of life. To all those who helped that process - Merci beaucoup, I am very grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

СНАР	TER																									<u>P</u>	AGE
DEDI	CATION	۱.,					•		•	•		•			•							•		•			iii
ACKN	OWLED	SEME	NTS				•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•		•				•	•	•			iv
TABL	E 0F (CONT	ENT	s.	•	•	•	•	•	٠			•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			٧
LIST	OF TA	ABLE	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•		X
LIST	OF F	I GUR	RES.	•	•			•	•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	хi
1.	INTRO	DUCT	TION		•			•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•			•	1
	SECT	ION	I:	OVE	RV	ΙE	W	•	•	•				•	•	•	•					•	•	•			1
	ä	Sta Sta Def	tic atem idy ini	ent Que tic	st ons	f io	th n	•	Pu	irp		•							•		:	:	:	:			2 3 4 4
	SECT	ION	II:	TH	HE0	RE	ΤI	CA	\L	FC	UC	IDA	TI	01	IS	•	•				•		•				5
	Nurs	ing	The	ory	/ a	ınd	P	ar	ti	ici	ipā	ati	or	1			•					•					5
	Part	icip	ati	on:	: Т	he	or	et	ic	a	l E	3as	e	fo	r	Th	nis	5	iti	ıdy	,	•	٠	•		•	6
2.	LITERA	ATUF	RE R	EV]	[EW	1 0	F	TH	łΕ	CO	ONC	EP	T	OF	P	PAF	RTI	C	P	\TI	101	V	•	•	•	•	12
	SECT	ION	I:	P.A	ART	IC	ΙP	ТА	ΊC	N	AS	S A	(CIT	ΙZ	ZEN	l A	AN E) <i>V</i>	VOR	RKE	R	•	•	•	•	12
		Dec	cisi	on	Ма	ki	ng	į	anc	1 (Cor	itr	01	li	n	tł	ne	Wo	rk	(pl	lac	e	•	•	•	•	14
	SECT	ION	II:															RΕ		•	•	•	•			•	17
	Conte	ext	of	Par	^ti	ci	рā	int		•	•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•		•	17
			fini ver																							•	17 18
					P	at	er	na	ali	isr	n a	anc	1 [Oon	nir	nar	ıce	ۥ	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	20
	SECT	ION	III	:		ID I																		CAI	RE	•	22
	Conte	ext	of	the	e P	ar	ti	ici	ipa	ant	t		•		•	•				•	•						22

CHAPTER	PAGE
Role Attitudes and Control	. 22
Models of Patient Role Relationships	. 23
The Context of the Situation	. 26
The Hospital: Patient Role Attitudes	303133
Choices, Goal Setting, and Planning	. 35
Outcomes: Level of Collaboration	. 37
The Nature of the Participative Relationship	. 37
SECTION IV: NEW ZEALAND: PARTICIPATION IN HEALTH CARE .	. 40
Literature Review of Relevant New Zealand Research	. 40
Citizenship and Workforce Participation Definition of the Situation: Consumer Participation in Health Care	414344
Summary	. 48
3. METHODOLOGY	. 49
Study Question	495051
Data Collection Triangulation	. 51 . 52
Problems of Multiple Triangulation	. 54
Research Design	. 54
Selection of Participants	555556

CH	<u>APTER</u>	PAGE
	Establishing Rapport and Trust	57 58 59
	Reliability	59 60 60
	Quantitative Analysis	62 63
4.	ANALYSIS, RESULTS, AND INTERPRETATION	66
	SECTION 1: CONTEXT OF THE PARTICIPANT	66
	Study Participants	66 67
	Age and Sex	67 68
	Clients' Perspectives: Treatments, Illness, Needs	69
DK.	Nature of illness or disability	70 72 73
	Client Attitudes and Expectations: 'What Does the District Nurse Do?	75
	Social Contact and Personal Attention	75 77 77 79 81
	Hygiene 'Giving a Bath'	81 82 83
	Expectations of the nurse's role	84
	Client's Knowledge and Personal Traits	85
	Independence and self care	85 87
	chronically ill	88
	Analysis: Ouantitative Data	89

CHAPTER		PAGE
SECTION 2: CLIENT DEFINITION OF THE SITUATION		92
Quantitative Analysis: Elements of Participation		92
Would you say that you participate in your nursing care?		94
Significant Elements of Participation		96
Is it important to you that you participate in your nursing care?		96
Client's Quantitative Definition of Participation		97
Qualitative Analysis: What does Participation Mean To You?		99
Participation as Co-operating/ Working Together Semantics		101
"Can't get around much anymore"		104
Participation as Acquiescence/Watching and Waitin	g .	107
Clients' Role Expectations and Attitude Watching and Waiting		108 108 109
Participation as Taking More Control Discouragement of Participation:		111
The Issue of "Allowance" or "Sanctioning" Non/Participation: Withdrawal and Dependency .	• •	112 114
Withdrawal		
Summary		. 117
5: CONCLUSIONS		. 118
Findings and Discussion		. 118
Methodology Fit	• •	. 118 . 119
Relating the Study's Findings to Kim's Model		. 121

CHAPTER	PAGE
Context of the Participant	. 121
Who are they?	123123124
Context of the Situation	
Continuum of Participation	. 126
The Nature of the Client/Nurse Participation Relationship	. 130
Cooperation and Working Together	. 132
Suggestions for Further Research	. 134
APPENDIX A:	. 136
Questionnaire Consent Form Coding Scheme Letters	
APPENDIX B	. 155
Questionnaire Development	
APPENDIX C	. 161
Pilot Study	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	. 168

LIST OF TABLES

TAB	LE		_	AGE
3:	1	Excerpt from Theme Categories	•	64
4:	1	Age and sex distribution of study participants	•	67
4:	2	Living arrangements by age and sex	•	69
4:	3	Frequency of one, two, and three or more conditions in study participants	•	71
4:	4	Frequency of District Nurses Visits: Combined Primary Secondary, and Tertiary Reasons for Visit		72
4:	5	Services Received by Study Participants in Addition to the District Nurse		74
4:	6	Treatments/services from District Nurse	•	79
4:	7	Primary (1), Secondary (2), Tertiary (3 or more) Reasons for District Nurse Visit	•	80
4:	8	Correlation coefficients of participation x "Would you say you participate in your nursing care?" .	•	91
4:	9	Crosstabulation of participation by elements of participation		95
4:	10	Crosstabulation of Participation by Desire to Participate	•	96
4:	11	Crosstabulation of desire to participate by elements of participation	•	98
4:	12	Perceptions of participating in care by mobility	0	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIG	SUR	<u>PAGE</u>
1:	1	Theoretical Framework for Collaborative Decision Making in Nursing Practice
4:	1	Percentage of illness and disability conditions in study participants 71
4:	2	Percentage distribution of frequency of nurses visits
4:	3	Length of time ill and length of time used district nurse
4:	4	Agreement Frequency Distribution: Elements of Participation
5:	1	Continuum of Participation
5:	2	Client/Nurse Participation Relationship