Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

CAUSES OF CORRUPTION: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION IN A CROSS-COUNTRY FRAMEWORK

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

In

Economics

Massey University, Turitea Campus, Palmerston North New Zealand

> Shrabani SAHA 2009

ABSTRACT

In recent years corruption has come to be considered as a pervasive phenomenon, and a major obstacle in the process of economic development. However, there exist few studies that discuss the factors that cause corruption and why some countries are more corrupt than others. This research contributes to that rather scanty literature and focuses on the causes of corruption. More importantly, the study empirically investigates various causes of corruption, in particular the role of economic development, democracy and economic freedom in explaining the observed variations in corruption across countries, and the nexus between democracy and economic freedom in combating corruption.

The study first tests the reliability of the recent quantitative innovations in the study of corruption in terms of the Corruption Perception Index, constructed by Transparency International. Using theoretical and empirical analysis, various hypotheses regarding corruption and its determinants are examined using panel data for 100 countries during the period 1995 to 2004. The empirical findings show that the subjective indexing process of corruption perception eventually converges to a common consensus. In evaluating the relationship between economic development and corruption, the results suggest that income per capita, education, unemployment, income inequality, economic freedom and democracy are among the factors which determine and help explain the cross-country differences in corruption. Furthermore, the assessment of the relationship between democracy and corruption shows that an 'electoral democracy', represented by 'political rights', is not in itself sufficient to reduce corruption. Instead, for low levels of corruption to exist, the presence of an advanced fully-formed mature democracy is required.

A characteristic of a mature democracy is the existence of an environment where the probability of being caught, if acting corruptly, is very high. In addition, the examination of the interaction between economic freedom and democracy suggests that economic freedom reduces corruption in any political environment, and the effect

is substantially larger with a high level of democracy. The interesting and important findings of the analysis indicate that there exists a non-linear relationship between corruption and the level of income as well as democracy. The findings suggest that developed countries have succeeded in controlling corruption through higher levels of economic development along with the economic and political freedoms that their peoples enjoy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my principal thesis advisor Associate Professor Rukmani Gounder, for walking me through the journey of PhD study and for being there at every step as a source of inspiration, motivation and moral support. Dr Gounder's excellent supervision, invaluable guidance, suggestions, corrections and empirical skills have helped shape much of this thesis. I would also like to extend deepest appreciation to my associate advisor Associate Professor Neil Campbell (now at Bond University) for his invaluable guidance and encouragement throughout this study. I also extend my thanks to Dr. Jen-Je Su (now at Griffith University) for consenting to be a member of my advisory committee and for offering invaluable comments and suggestions for empirical analysis.

I am extremely grateful to Professor Srikanta Chatterjee for his constant source of guidance, support and encouragement throughout my study. I am also grateful to Associate Professor Subhas Mukhopadhyay and Associate Professor Jeffrey Kline (Bond University) for providing their mathematical expertise and guidance in the theoretical aspect of the chapter. I would like extend my thanks to both Dr. James Obben for sharing his knowledge and expertise, and Dr Andrew Coleman of MOTU Research for his valuable feedback.

My thanks are also extended to Professor Anton Meister and Professor Martin Young for providing me the financial support as a graduate assistantship in the department during my PhD study. I extend special thanks to the members of the administrative staff of the Department of Economics and Finance, particularly Ha-Lien Ton and Sue Edwards for their support.

I am deeply indebted to Saptarshi Mukherjee, who has been the motivational force in my life, and thank him for his patience, understanding and invaluable support during the PhD study. I am grateful to my mother, brother and sisters for their selfless and unreserved support over the years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	X
List of Abbreviations	xi

Chapter 1 Introduction

1.1	Background of the Thesis	1
1.2	Aims and Objectives	2
1.3	Chapter Outline	4

Chapter 2 Corruption: Literature Review

2.1 Introduction	. 7
2.2 The Concept of Corruption	. 8
2.2.1 What is corruption?	8
2.2.2 Measurements of corruption	12
2.3 The Impacts of Corruption	16
2.3.1 Effects on growth	16
2.3.2 Effects on efficiency	20
2.3.3 Effects on distribution	25
2.4 Factors Behind Different Incidence of Corruption	27
2.4.1 Socio-economic factors and corruption	27
2.4.2 Democracy and corruption	28
2.4.3 Economic freedom and corruption	30
2.5 Empirical Evidence on the Causes of Corruption	32
2.6 Summary	34

Cha	pter 3 Corruption Perception Index: A Deeper Insight	
	3.1 Introduction	36
	3.2 CPI and It's Standard Deviation of Rankings	37
	3.2.1 Corruption perception index	
	3.2.2 Standard deviation of the CPI rankings	
	3.3 Empirical Analysis	40
	3.3.1 Empirical results for the CPI scores	40
	3.3.2 Empirical results for the standard deviation of CPI	rankings46
	3.4 Conclusion	
Cha	apter 4 Does Economic Development Matter for the Causes of Co	orruption?
	4.1 Introduction	54
	4.2 Determinants of Corruption: Theory	55
	4.3 Models, Data and Methodology	58
	4.3.1 Model specification	59
	4.3.2 Data description	61
	4.3.3 Econometric methodology	63
	4.4 Estimation Results	65
	4.4.1 Panel estimation results for all countries	65
	4.4.2 The per capita income and corruption relationship in	n LICs, Mics
	and HICs	72
	4.4.3 Real GDP per capita and corruption: non-linear estin	nation 76
	4.5 Sensitivity Analysis	
	4.5.1 Cross-section estimations	
	4.5.2 Two-stage estimations	83
	4.6 Conclusion	85

Chapter 5 The Role of Democracy in Controlling Corruption: A Theoretical Perspective

5.1 Introduction	96
5.2 Democracy-Corruption Association: An Overview	.98
5.3 The Model	102
5.4 Non-Linear Democracy-Corruption Relationship	.106

	5.5 Democracy, Income and Corruption	109
	5.6 Conclusion	111
Chapte	r 6 Democracy and Corruption: An Empirical Analysis in a Cross-	
	Country Framework	
	6.1 Introduction	115
	6.2 Conceptual Issues of Democracy Indices	117
	6.3 Data, Models and Methodology	119
	6.3.1 Data description	119
	6.3.2 Model specifications	120
	6.3.3 Econometric methodology	121
	6.4 Panel Estimation Results	122
	6.5 Sensitivity Analysis	129
	6.5.1 Cross-section results	131
	6.5.2 Alternative corruption measure	133
	6.5.3 Alternative measure of democracy	134
	6.6 Non-Linear Estimation	135
	6.6.1 Partial estimation	140
	6.7 Conclusion	141

Chapter 7 The Interactive Effect of Economic Freedom and Democracy on Corruption

7.1 Introduction	149
7.2 Economic-Political Liberalistion and Corruption: An Overview	150
7.3 Demand-Supply Analysis of Democracy, Economic Freedom and	
Corruption	152
7.4 Empirical Models and Methodology	154
7.4.1 Models with the interaction term	154
7.4.2 Methodology	155
7.5 Empirical Evidence	156
7.5.1 Robustness analysis	160
7.5.2 Partial effect	163
7.6 Conclusion	167

Chapter 8 Conclusion and Further Research

8.1 Introduction	169
8.2 Conclusion and Policy Implications	170
8.2.1 Conclusion	170
8.2.2 Policy implications	173
8.3 Further Research	175
Bibliography	177

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Estimated standard deviation values of CPI scores over 1995-2006 41
Table 3.2 Movement of countries between groups over time, 1995-96 to 2005-
2006
Table 3.3 Regression results of significant improvement/deterioration of CPI
scores
Table 3.4 Average standard deviations of the rankings of CPI over the period 1995-
2006
Table 4.1 Dependent and independent variables and their expected signs
Table 4.2 Determinants of corruption
Table 4.3 The RGDP per capita and corruption relationship in LICs, MICs
and HICs 74
Table 4.4 Non-linear relationship between per capita income and corruption77
Table 4.5 Turning points of the real GDP per capita
Table 4.6 Determinants of corruption: OLS estimation
Table 4.7 Two-stage least square regression results for CPI and CCI
Table 5.1 Corruption perception index for India, Singapore and South Korea 102
Table 5.2 Relationship among democracy level, probability of detection and
punishment and proportion of bribe takers
Table 6.1 Impact of narrow and broad democracy on controlling corruption:
Corruption perception index as dependent variable
Table 6.2 Impact of other indicators of democracy on controlling corruption 127
Table 6.3 OLS estimates for democracy and corruption relationship
Table 6.4 Effect of narrow-and broad-democracy on the control of corruption
index, ,1996-2004 134
Table 6.5 An alternative measure of democracy and corruption, 1995–2004 135
Table 6.6 Estimated results for the non-linear relationship between corruption and
various components of narrow-and broad-democracy democracy 137
Table 6.7 Turning points for the level of various democracy indices

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Kernel fits of standard deviations of CPI ranking and CPI scores	48
Figure 3.2 Variation of the average standard deviations of CPI rankings over time.	50
Figure 3.3 Boxplots of standard deviation of the rankings of CPI, 1995 to 2006	53
Figure 4.1 RGDP per capita and CPI for LICs, MICs and HICs	75
Figure 4.2 Range of CPI in LICs, MICs and HICs	76
Figure 4.3 Kernel fit plots of log (RGDP) and corruption for LICs, MICs and	
HICs	78
Figure 5.1 Relationship between democracy and probability of getting caught and	
punished	109
Figure 6.1 The relationship between democracy indicators and corruption	130
Figure 7.1 The optimum level of corruption in various level of democracy	153
Figure 7.2 Levels of economic freedom in less and more democratic countries	157
Figure 7.3 Economic freedom and corruption: less and more democratic	
Countries	157
Figure 7.4a Partial effects of democracy on corruption	166
Figure 7.4.b Partial effects of economic freedom on corruption	166

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 2SLS Two Stage Least Squares
- CCI Control of Corruption Index
- CPI Corruption Perception Index
- FDI Foreign Direct Investment
- FE Fixed Effect
- GDP Gross Domestic Product
- HICs High-Income Countries
- IV Instrumental Variable
- LICs Low-Income Countries
- MCLEAN Mostly-Clean Countries
- MCOR Most-Corrupt Countries
- MEDCOR Medium-Corrupt Countries
- MICs Middle-Income Countries
- OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Economic Development
- OLS Ordinary Least Squares
- PFERD Period Fixed Effect with Regional Dummies
- PLS Panel Least Squares
- RE Random Effect
- TI Transparency International
- US United States