

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

LIME-ALUMINIUM-PHOSPHATE INTERACTIONS  
IN SELECTED ACID SOILS FROM FIJI

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science  
at Massey University

Ravendra Naidu

1985

## ABSTRACT

Poor crop production in Fiji has long been associated with Al-toxicity and/or P deficiency problems. Although attempts have been made to alleviate these problems, the lack of suitable soil-testing procedures and a limited understanding of lime-Al-P interactions are restricting the better utilization of these soils.

Following a preliminary investigation, 4 contrasting Fijian soils (Batiri, Koronivia, Nadroloulou, and Seqaqa) were chosen for a lime-Al-P interaction study. The soils, which had pH and M KCl-extractable Al values ranging from 3.9 to 4.9 and 35.6 to 0.3 mmol kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were used to investigate the effect of liming on surface charge, P-sorption characteristics, the amounts of P extracted by a number of soil-testing procedures, and plant uptake of P.

A study was conducted to compare M KCl-extraction procedures for exchangeable Al and analytical techniques used in the determination of Al. For each soil, different extraction procedures and analytical techniques measured significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) different amounts of extractable Al. It was recommended that extractable Al in Fijian soils could be best determined by the oxine reagent following a 2 x 1-h shaking with M KCl.

The ion retention method, which is commonly used to measure charge, was examined critically with a view to standardising it for the range of soils used in the present study. The method involves an initial washing of soils with an electrolyte of high concentration to remove exchangeable ions, equilibration of the washed soils with an electrolyte of the desired concentration and subsequent extraction of the equilibrated soils. The concentrations of prewash electrolyte (0.5M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub>) used to remove exchangeable ions prior to equilibration with 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub> and the soil:solution ratio were found to have a marked effect on the magnitude of the surface negative charge of unlimed soils. However, these differences were largely related to the amount of Al removed during the prewash and the equilibration procedures. Thus when the Al released in the extracting solution (0.5M KNO<sub>3</sub>) was included in the calculation of charge, the differences in the measured negative charge obtained either because of varying concentrations of prewash electrolyte or for the effect of soil:solution ratio were reduced.

Surface charge, determined in 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, was always found to be higher than that determined in 0.03M NaCl and this difference was more pronounced in limed soils at high pH values. Subsequent studies revealed that this anomaly was largely due to the inability of Na to exchange with Ca at high pH values. The results of these studies, together with those involving the prewash electrolytes and the soil:solution ratio, suggested that a suitable method of measuring surface charge of limed soils would use 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub> as the equilibration electrolyte and include in the calculation of charge the amount of Al released in the extracting solution.

Incubation of soils with added lime caused a large increase in surface negative charge. However, the magnitude of increase in the negative charge varied considerably between soils. For example, the negative charge in the Seqaqa soil increased from 8 to over 38 cmol(p)kg<sup>-1</sup>, compared to only a small increase of 2 to 10 cmol(p)kg<sup>-1</sup> in the Batiri soil over the same pH range.

In contrast to liming, P additions resulted in only a small increase in negative charge. Interestingly, all soils possessed positive charge up to 1 cmol(p)kg<sup>-1</sup>, even at pH values as high as 7. Subsequent studies showed that this may have been due to substitution of Ti<sup>4+</sup> and/or Mn<sup>4+</sup> in the iron oxide lattice.

Extraction of lime- and P-treated soils with Olsen and Mehlich reagents showed that liming had a marked effect on the amount of P removed. Whereas Olsen P increased on either side of pH values 5.5 - 6.0, Mehlich P consistently decreased with increasing soil pH. For example, in the high P-sorbing Seqaqa soil, Mehlich P decreased from 0.2 mmol kg<sup>-1</sup> at pH 4.5 to < 0.01 mmol kg<sup>-1</sup> in soils with pH higher than 7.0. The decrease in Mehlich P was shown to be due to the neutralizing effect of lime on the extractant. An isotopic-exchange study revealed an increase in exchangeable P up to a pH approximating 7, above which there was a sharp decrease, possibly indicating the formation of insoluble Ca-P compounds.

Although liming had only a small effect on the sorption of added P, this was sufficient to have a significant effect on equilibrium solution P concentration. Generally, liming caused an increase in equilibrium solution P concentration up to pH values of 5.0 - 6.0, above which there was a marked decrease. The initial increase in equilibrium solution P concentration appeared to result from an interaction between added P,

surface negative charge and electrostatic potential in the plane of sorption. Subsequent sorption studies using Nadroloulou soil incubated with either KOH or  $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$  showed that the decrease in solution P at high pH values was probably due to the formation of insoluble Ca-P compounds.

The effects of lime and P addition on the growth of the tropical legume Leucaena leucocephala were studied in a controlled-climate laboratory. With all 4 soils, there was an initial increase in the dry matter yield of the plant tops with liming which was followed by a marked decrease. This trend was most pronounced in the Seqaqa soil where dry matter yield of tops increased by ~2000% at the pH at which maximum growth occurred. Similar but smaller increases were noted in the other soils.

The concentration of Al in plant tops increased on either side of the pH of maximum growth, but Al uptake by the whole plant (tops + roots) declined steadily with increasing pH. Poor growth at low pH values was attributed to Al-induced P deficiency within the plant and at high pH values largely to a soil P deficiency and to a smaller extent to the increased concentration of Al in the plant tops. P deficiency at high pH values was attributed to the formation of insoluble Ca-P compounds and this was supported by the data obtained from isotopic-exchange and P-sorption studies.

A further plant growth study was conducted on the limed soils, previously used for the growth of Leucaena leucocephala, Ryegrass (Lolium perenne L) plants were initially grown in sand and then transferred onto the soils. Plant growth was again retarded at low and high pH values but comparison with control plants grown in a similar manner but not transferred onto the soils demonstrated that the poor growth at both high and low pH was due in part to a toxicity effect rather than simple P deficiency. It is likely that Al was responsible.

Comparison of the data obtained by resin extraction and plant P uptake gave a close 1:1 relationship. In contrast, Olsen-, Colwell-, Bray (I)-, Bray (II)-, and Mehlich-extractable P were only weakly correlated with P uptake. The difficulty in relating plant P uptake data to extractable P levels was attributed to the problems associated with extracting P from limed soils.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my appreciation to a number of people without whose help and guidance this thesis would not have been possible. In particular, I would like to thank:

Professor J. Keith Syers, who with the cooperation of MAFF, Fiji and the Fiji Public Service Commission initiated this study. Also for his supervision, unending enthusiasm, and encouragement during the study. His rigorous standards of scientific thoroughness and presentation, have been highly rewarding.

Mr. Russell Tillman, to whom I am grateful for his patience, constructive ideas and continual guidance during the planning and execution of this study.

Dr. J.H. Kirkman for many helpful discussions with several aspects of this study.

Mr. Lance Currie and Dr. I. Warrington of the Department of Soil Science and D.S.I.R., respectively, for guidance in the execution of the glasshouse trials.

Dr. R. Lee, Soil Bureau, Lower Hutt, for his advice in the studies related to exchangeable Al.

Past and present Post-doctoral Fellows and students in the Department of Soil Science. Especially, Dr. D. Curtin, Dr. N.S. Bolan, Dr. R. Harrison, and Miss P. Sorn-srivichai for helpful discussions with certain aspects of this study.

Mr. D.M. Leslie, Soil Bureau, Lower Hutt and Professor R.J. Morrison, University of the South Pacific, Fiji, for their advice in the collection of soil samples.

Dr. D. Horne, Dr. B. Ponter, and Miss M. Wallace for proof reading the thesis.

Fiji Government for financial support in the form of a scholarship and to the University of the South Pacific for granting me study leave.

The Faculty of Agricultural and Horticultural Science, Massey University, for a Johannes August Anderson award.

Carolyn Hedley for excellent illustration of figures.

Dianne Syers, for producing an excellent typescript.

Finally to my son Roneal for providing a lively atmosphere at home and to my wife Shamila who has helped me in many aspects of my work. Her patience, help, and encouragement have been a constant inspiration.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT . . . . .	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	vii
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	xiii
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	xix

## CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1

## CHAPTER 2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE . . . . .	3
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	3
2.2 Mechanism of Phosphate Retention . . . . .	3
2.3 Effect of Liming on Aluminium and Phosphate Chemistry of Acid Soils . . . . .	5
2.3.1 <u>Solution chemistry of pure aluminium systems and its implication to soils</u> . . . . .	6
2.3.2 <u>Origin of exchangeable aluminium and its interaction with added phosphate</u> . . . . .	8
2.3.3 <u>Lime-aluminium-phosphate interactions in acid soils</u> . . . . .	10
2.4 Effects of Excess Soil Aluminium and Low Phosphate on Plant Growth . . . . .	16
2.4.1 <u>Uptake of aluminium by plants</u> . . . . .	16
2.4.2 <u>Toxicity symptoms</u> . . . . .	16
2.5 Conclusions . . . . .	17

## CHAPTER 3

GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS . . . . .	18
3.1 Soils . . . . .	18
3.2 Analytical Procedures . . . . .	18
3.3 Selective Chemical Dissolution Techniques . . . . .	21
3.3.1 <u>Determination of oxalate-extractable           iron and aluminium</u> . . . . .	21
3.3.2 <u>Determination of citrate-dithionite-           bicarbonate-extractable iron and aluminium</u> . . . . .	21
3.4 Preliminary Lime Incubation Study . . . . .	24
3.4.1 <u>Preparation of soil samples</u> . . . . .	24
3.5 Extraction of Aluminium from Soils using M KCl:	
A Comparison of Methods . . . . .	24
3.5.1 <u>Introduction</u> . . . . .	24
3.5.2 <u>Methods</u> . . . . .	27
3.5.2.1 Aluminium-release curves . . . . .	27
3.5.2.2 Determination of M KCl-extractable aluminium: Extraction procedures . . . . .	27
(a) Short-term shaking (Pratt and Blair, 1961) . . . . .	27
(b) Long-term shaking . . . . .	27
(c) Long-term standing (Yuan, 1959) . . . . .	27
(d) Leaching (Black, 1965) . . . . .	29
(e) Sequential extraction . . . . .	29
3.5.2.3 Analytical procedures . . . . .	29
3.5.2.3.1 Colorimetric methods . . . . .	29
(a) Oxine . . . . .	29
(b) Aluminon . . . . .	29
3.5.2.3.3 Atomic absorption spectrophotometry . . . . .	30
3.5.2.4 Recovery tests . . . . .	30
3.5.3 <u>Results and discussion</u> . . . . .	30
3.5.3.1 Aluminium-release curves . . . . .	30
3.5.3.2 Comparison of extraction procedures . . . . .	33
3.5.3.3 Analytical techniques . . . . .	36
3.5.3.4 Recovery tests . . . . .	38
3.5.4 <u>Conclusions</u> . . . . .	41

## CHAPTER 4

CHARGE CHARACTERISTICS OF ACID SOILS AS INFLUENCED BY LIMING . . . . .	42
4.1 Introduction . . . . .	42
4.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	44
4.2.1 <u>Preparation of soil samples</u> . . . . .	44
4.2.2 <u>Charge measurement procedures</u> . . . . .	45
4.2.2.1 Effect of concentration of prewash electrolytes on charge subsequently determined in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> solutions . . . . .	45
4.2.2.2 Effect of soil:solution ratio on negative and positive charge determined in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> . . . . .	46
4.2.2.3 Effect of index cations on the determination of negative and positive charge . . . . .	46
4.2.3 <u>Effect of soil pH and added phosphate                 on negative and positive charge determined                 in 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub></u> . . . . .	46
4.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	47
4.3.1 <u>Charge measurement procedures</u> . . . . .	47
4.3.1.1 Effect of concentration of prewash electrolytes . . . . .	47
4.3.1.2 Effect of soil:solution ratio . . . . .	49
4.3.1.3 Effect of index cations . . . . .	52
4.3.2 <u>Effect of soil pH on charge                 characteristics of soils</u> . . . . .	55
4.3.3 <u>Effect of added phosphate on charge</u> . . . . .	59
4.4 Conclusions . . . . .	61

## CHAPTER 5

EFFECT OF LIMING ON PHOSPHATE EXTRACTED BY TWO SOIL-TESTING PROCEDURES . . . . .	63
5.1 Introduction . . . . .	63
5.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	64
5.2.1 <u>Preparation of soil samples</u> . . . . .	64
5.2.2 <u>Chemical analyses</u> . . . . .	64
5.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	65
5.3.1 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions on isotopically-exchangeable phosphate</u> . . . . .	65
5.3.2 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions on Olsen-extractable phosphate</u> . . . . .	68
5.3.3 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions on Mehlich-extractable phosphate</u> . . . . .	71
5.3.4 <u>Comparison of soil-testing procedures</u> . . . . .	73
5.4 Conclusions . . . . .	75

## CHAPTER 6

EFFECT OF LIMING ON PHOSPHATE SORPTION BY ACID SOILS . . . . .	78
6.1 Introduction . . . . .	78
6.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	79
6.2.1 <u>Preparation and preliminary analysis of soils</u> . . . . .	79
6.2.2 <u>Incubation of soils with KOH</u> . . . . .	79
6.2.3 <u>Phosphate sorption studies</u> . . . . .	79
6.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	82
6.3.1 <u>Effect of liming on pH, extractable aluminium, and Olsen-extractable phosphate</u> . . . . .	82
6.3.2 <u>Effect of pH on phosphate sorption</u> . . . . .	83
6.3.3 <u>Effect of background electrolyte on phosphate sorption by Nadroloulou soil incubated with calcium or potassium hydroxide</u> . . . . .	89
6.4 Conclusions . . . . .	94

## CHAPTER 7

LIME-ALUMINIUM-PHOSPHATE INTERACTIONS AND	
THE GROWTH OF <u>LEUCAENA LEUCOCEPHALA</u> . . . . .	98
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	98
7.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	99
7.2.1 <u>Soils</u> . . . . .	99
7.2.2 <u>Plant growth study</u> . . . . .	101
7.2.3 <u>Chemical analyses</u> . . . . .	101
7.2.3.1 Soils . . . . .	101
7.2.3.2 Plants . . . . .	102
7.3 Results and Discussion . . . . .	102
7.3.1 <u>Effect of liming on pH, extractable</u> <u>aluminium, and extractable phosphate</u> . . . . .	102
7.3.2 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions</u> <u>on plant growth</u> . . . . .	107
7.3.3 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions</u> <u>on root growth</u> . . . . .	110
7.3.4 <u>Effect of lime and phosphate additions</u> <u>on the chemical composition of Leucaena leucocephala</u> .	112
7.3.5 <u>Lime-aluminium-phosphate interactions</u> <u>in soils and plants</u> . . . . .	121
7.4 Conclusions . . . . .	123

## CHAPTER 8

ASSESSMENT OF PLANT-AVAILABLE PHOSPHATE	
USING SEVERAL SOIL-TESTING PROCEDURES . . . . .	125
8.1 Introduction . . . . .	125
8.2 Materials and Methods . . . . .	126
8.2.1 <u>Soils</u> . . . . .	126
8.2.2 <u>Extractable Phosphate</u> . . . . .	126
8.2.3 <u>Determination of buffer capacity</u> . . . . .	127

8.3 Plant Growth Studies . . . . . 128

8.4 Results and Discussion . . . . . 128

    8.4.1 Plant growth studies . . . . . 128

    8.4.2 Relationship between plant uptake  
          of phosphate and soil-test results . . . . . 129

8.5 Conclusions . . . . . 137

  

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . . 139

APPENDICES . . . . . 144

BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . . 150

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Solubility of aluminium in water solution as affected by pH of solution (McLean, 1976) . . . . .	7
2.2a Influence of pH on the phosphate sorbed by goethite at a solution concentration of 0.2 mmol L <sup>-1</sup> together with the proportion of phosphate present as the divalent ion (Bowden et al., 1980b) . . . . .	12
2.2b Retention of phosphate by hydrolytic reaction products of aluminium formed in systems at the initial aluminium concentration of 1.10 mmol L <sup>-1</sup> and OH/Al molar ratio of 3.0 as a function of time (Kwong et al., 1978) . . . . .	12
2.3 Effect of pH on the amount of phosphate in soil solution and on the amount of labile phosphate present in an Illinois soil studied by Murrmann and Peech (1969), (reproduced from Haynes, 1982) . . . . .	13
3.1 Map of the Fiji Islands showing the location of soils used for the present study . . . . .	19
3.2 Amounts of extractable Al (●) released and pH (○) of the soil suspension during eight sequential extractions of the unlimed soils with M KCl . . . . .	32
3.3 Amounts of Al extracted from unlimed soils by the M KCl extraction procedures, short-term shaking, (A); long-term shaking, (B); long-term standing, (C); 2 X 1 h shaking, (D); and 2 h leaching of soils, (E); relative to the cumulative amount removed during 8 successive extractions, (S). LSD (5%) of results for comparison between extraction procedure within a soil = 0.06 . . . . .	35

Figure	Page
3.4	Amounts of Al in M KCl extracts of unlimed soils estimated by the oxine, (P); aluminon, (Q); titration, (R); and AAS, (S); techniques. LSD (5%) of results for comparison between analytical techniques within a soil = 0.06 . . . . . 37
3.5	Effect of increasing the solution concentration of K on the intensity (absorbance) of colour developed by the aluminon reagent . . . . . 39
4.1	Effect of soil:solution ratio on the distribution of (a) total negative (Ca ads + Al-KNO <sub>3</sub> ), (b) negative (Ca ads), and (c) positive charge (Cl ads) in the four soils . . . . . 50
4.2	Effect of soil:solution ratio on the pH (in the sixth equilibration) of the soil suspension . . . . . 51
4.3	Effect of soil pH on the distribution of negative and positive charge determined in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> and 0.03M NaCl, (a) negative charge determined in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> , (b) total negative charge (Na ads + Ca(NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> )) determined in 0.03M NaCl, (c) negative charge (Na ads) determined in 0.03M NaCl, (d) positive charge determined in 0.03M NaCl, and (e) positive charge determined in 0.01M CaCl <sub>2</sub> . . . . . 53
4.4	Effect of increasing amounts of Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> on the pH of four contrasting soils . . . . . 56
4.5	Effect of soil pH and added P on the distribution of negative and positive charge in soils. (a) negative charge in P-treated (16.1 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) soils, (b) negative charge in untreated (0 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) soils, (c) positive charge in P-treated (16.1 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) soils, and (d) positive charge in untreated (0 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) soils . . . . . 58

Figure	Page	
5.1	Effect of increasing pH on isotopically-exchangeable P in 4 soils incubated with 3 rates of added P. ( ■ = 0; ▲ = 8.1; ● = 16.1 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) . . . . .	66
5.2	Effect of increasing pH on Olsen P in 4 soils incubated with 3 rates of added P. ( ■ = 0; ▲ = 8.1; ● = 16.1 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> soil). . . . .	69
5.3	Effect of increasing pH on Mehlich P and pH of the Mehlich extract ( Δ ) in 4 soils incubated with 3 rates of added P. ( ■ = 0; ▲ = 8.1; ● = 16.1 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) . . . . .	72
6.1	Effect of soil pH on the amounts of Al extracted from limed soils by M KCl solution . . . . .	84
6.2	Effect of soil pH on the amount of P sorbed (←→) at 2 initial solution P concentrations (P1 = ● and P2 = ▲ , Table 6.2) and the concentration of Ca ( - - - ) in the control samples ( ■ ) and in the presence of added P (P1 = ○ and P2= Δ , Table 6.2) . . . . .	86
6.3	Effect of pH on the amount of P sorbed by untreated ( ▲ ) and P-incubated ( ● ) Koronivia and Seqaqa soils at initial solution P concentrations of 0.69 and 2.15 mmol L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively. . . . .	88
6.4	Values for pH and Ca and P concentrations from the section on the pH-P sorption curve where sorption increases, plotted according to the method of Clark and Peech (1955) . . . . .	90
6.5	Effect of background electrolyte concentration on the amount of P sorbed by Nadroloulou soils incubated with KOH at an initial solution P concentration of 1.61 mmol L <sup>-1</sup> . . . . .	92

Figure	Page	
6.6	Effect of background electrolyte concentration on the amount of P sorbed by Nadroloulou soils incubated with $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ at an initial solution P concentration of $1.61 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}$ . ( $\text{H}_2\text{O} = \bullet$ ; $0.01\text{M KCl} = \blacktriangle$ ; $0.1\text{M KCl} = \blacksquare$ ; $1\text{M KCl} = \blacklozenge$ ) . . . . .	93
6.7	Effect of electrolyte concentration on pH of the sorption medium in the Nadroloulou soils incubated with $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ . . . . .	95
6.8	Effect of increasing the pH by additions of dilute NaOH to soils previously limed to pH 6.9 on the amount of P sorbed by Nadroloulou soil suspended in M KCl . . . . .	96
7.1	Effect of increasing additions of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ on the pH of soils. . . . .	103
7.2	Effect of soil pH on the amounts of Al extracted by M KCl from soils treated with 3 rates of added P (Table 7.1). ( $\bullet = \text{P1}$ , $\blacktriangle = \text{P2}$ , and $\blacksquare = \text{P3}$ ) . . . . .	104
7.3	Effect of soil pH on the amount of P extracted by the Olsen reagent from soils treated with 3 rates of added P (Table 7.1). ( $\blacksquare = \text{P1}$ , $\blacktriangle = \text{P2}$ , and $\bullet = \text{P3}$ ) . . . . .	105
7.4	Effect of soil pH on the amount of P extracted by anion-exchange resin from soils treated with 3 rates of added P (Table 7.1). ( $\blacksquare = \text{P1}$ , $\blacktriangle = \text{P2}$ , and $\bullet = \text{P3}$ ) . . . . .	106
7.5	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on dry matter top yield. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( $\blacksquare = \text{P1}$ , $\blacktriangle = \text{P2}$ , and $\bullet = \text{P3}$ ) . . . . .	108
7.6	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on dry matter root yield. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( $\blacksquare = \text{P1}$ , $\blacktriangle = \text{P2}$ , and $\bullet = \text{P3}$ ) . . . . .	111

Figure	Page	
7.7	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the concentration of P in plant tops. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2, and ● = P3) . . . . .	113
7.8	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the concentration of P in roots. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2 and ● = P3) . . . . .	114
7.9	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the concentration of Al in plant tops. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1 and 0.1% level of significance. ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2, and ● = P3) . . . . .	115
7.10	Relationship between the concentration of Al in plant tops and (a) dry matter top yield and (b) concentration of P in the tops . . . . .	116
7.11	Effect of soil pH on the concentration of Al in roots of plants grown in soils treated with 4.84 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> . Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance . . . . .	118
7.12	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the uptake of Al by plant tops. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2, and ● = P3) . . . . .	119
7.13	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the uptake of Al by plant roots. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2, and ● = P3). . . . .	120

Figure	Page	
7.14	Effect of soil pH and added P (Table 7.1) on the ratio of P roots to P (roots +tops) in plants. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. ( ■ = P1, ▲ = P2, and ● = P3) . . . . .	122
8.1	Effect of soil pH and added P (4.84 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) on the dry weight of ryegrass tops. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance. . . . .	130
8.2	Effect of soil pH and added P (4.84 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) on the concentration of (a) Al and (b) P in ryegrass tops. Vertical bars (I) represent LSD (pH) at 5, 1, and 0.1% level of significance . . . . .	131
8.3	Relationship between uptake of P by plants ( <u>Leucaena leucocephala</u> and ryegrass) and amounts of isotopically-exchangeable P (a) and, Mehlich- (b), resin- (c), Bray(I)- (d), Bray(II)- (e), Olsen- (f), and Colwell-extractable P (g) . . . . .	132/133
8.4	Effect of soil pH and added P (4.84 mmol kg <sup>-1</sup> ) on the amount of P extracted by the Bray(I) reagent . . .	138

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	USDA and Twyford and Wright (1965) classification of soils used for preliminary analyses . . . . .	20
3.2	Some chemical parameters used to characterise the soils . . . . .	22
3.3	Selective dissolution analyses and mineralogical composition of the soils used . . . . .	23
3.4	Amounts of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ added to the soils during the preliminary incubation studies . . . . .	25
3.5	pH of unlimed and limed soils used in the standardisation of the M KCl extraction procedure . . . . .	28
3.6	Cumulative amounts of Al removed during eight sequential extractions . . . . .	31
3.7	Percentage recovery of Al added to M KCl extracts by four analytical techniques . . . . .	40
4.1	Effect of the concentration of prewash electrolytes on the cumulative amount of Al extracted by the saturating ( $\text{CaCl}_2$ ) and extracting ( $\text{KNO}_3$ ) electrolytes and on the negative (Ca ads), total negative (Ca ads + Al- $\text{KNO}_3$ ), and positive charge (Cl ads) determined in 0.01M $\text{CaCl}_2$ in a range of unlimed and limed soils . . . . .	48
4.2	Correlation and linear regression coefficients between negative charge (Na ads) and total negative charge (Na ads + Ca( $\text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3$ )) determined in 0.03M NaCl and negative charge (Ca ads) determined in 0.01M $\text{CaCl}_2$ solution . . . . .	54
4.3	Ratio of Fe:Ti and Fe:Mn in the citrate-dithionite-bicarbonate extracts, positive permanent charge estimated from Ti(IV) and from Ti(IV)+Mn(IV) substitution in iron oxides and that determined by Cl adsorption (Cl ads) from 0.01M $\text{CaCl}_2$ above pH 7 . . . . .	60

Table	Page
5.1 Amount of P extracted by the Mehlich reagent (pH 1.3) from unlimed and limed and subsequently phosphate treated (8.1 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) Batiri, Koronivia, and Nadroloulou soils . . . . .	74
5.2 Amounts of P extracted by the Mehlich and Olsen reagents from unlimed but phosphate treated (0, 8.1, 16.1 mmol P kg <sup>-1</sup> soil) soils . . . . .	76
6.1 pH values of the soils selected to investigate the effect of pH on P sorption . . . . .	80
6.2 Initial solution P concentration in the background electrolyte during the investigation of the effect of pH on P sorption . . . . .	81
6.3 Amounts of crystalline free Fe and Al, and short-range order Fe and Al extracted by citrate-dithionite-bicarbonate and acid ammonium oxalate reagents, respectively, and the ratio of oxalate- to dithionite-extractable Al and Fe . . . . .	85
7.1 Amounts of P added to limed soils prior to incubation and growth of <u>Leucaena leucocephala</u> . . . . .	100
8.1 Proportion of variation in plant P uptake (R <sup>2</sup> ) accounted for by extractable P alone and in combination with various indices of buffer capacity, as determined by multiple regression analyses . . . . .	130