

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

School Self-review: towards a theory for practice.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Educational Administration
at Massey University.

Graeme Joseph Martyn
1996

Abstract

An on-going programme of school self-review has been a mandatory requirement for schools in New Zealand since 1993. This study takes the view that the nature of school self-review is not well understood by practitioners or policy makers, that the motives or purposes behind its promotion by those in authority are often mistrusted, that there is a need for a clarification of what is meant by the term, and that an improved theoretical understanding would aid practice.

Following a review of the literature in the field of educational evaluation and school improvement, the study sets out to survey the nature of school self-review currently being carried out by primary and intermediate schools in New Zealand and the reasons why schools have become involved in self-review. The approaches followed by schools vary in frequency, scope and focus, decision-making, and in methods of data gathering and analysis. School self-review is generally seen by practitioners as aimed at whole school improvement with public accountability as a somewhat reluctantly tolerated by-product of the process.

The findings of this survey are combined with conclusions from the literature, and with ideas from some current theoretical perspectives, in an effort to identify a number of theoretical conditions and considerations which may guide those interested in the design and implementation of programmes of school self-review.

The concepts of evaluation and review are examined, and the relationships between evaluation and review explored and discussed. A collaborative, reflective and critical approach to school self-review is suggested as a professional-contextualist alternative to the pervasive technocratic reductionalist approach.

School self-review is presented as a functionally integrated, strategically planned, but contextually responsive programme of collaborative self-reflective/self-evaluative activity operating within tight constraints of time, resource, and methodological imprecision, which is dependent upon the participation and knowledge-in-action of participants.

To successfully develop and implement such a notion of school self-review schools must develop conditions supportive of free, open and honest communication amongst all participants aimed at the uncovering, clarification and redefinition of values,

expectations and intentions. Systematic, on-going, functionally integrated but manageable data collection processes, operating within illuminative, progressive, participative, and responsive inquiry modes, which are dedicated to the discovery of truth and the interests of social justice, are seen as key conditions for successful school self-review. Collaborative analysis; reflective critique; democratic, culturally sensitive and morally just leadership; together with, commitment to personal learning and self-improvement action; the development and holding of a shared vision for improvement; and, the formulation of consensually determined plans of development action, are also suggested as necessary conditions.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful for the assistance and support of Dr Wayne Edwards of Massey University who supervised this study and provided valuable constructive criticism and encouragement.

The contributions, both informally through discussion, and formally through participation in the survey, of many fellow school principals are also acknowledged. In particular the assistance of Laurie Thew, Trevor Easton, Warren Dobbs, Murray Edlin and Roger Stephenson who acted as research agents in their respective areas of the country is recognised and appreciated.

Thanks also to my wife Sue for her patience and support, not only during the preparation of this work, but also over the many (far too many!) years of extra-mural university study, and also to our children, Philip and Raewyn, who have had to share the family computer with a Dad who doesn't seem to want to give up on homework.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------|
| i | Title Page | |
| ii | Abstract | |
| ii | Acknowledgements | |
| iii | Table of Contents | |
| iv | List of Figures and Tables | |
| 1. | <i>Introduction</i> | <i>1</i> |
| 2. | <i>Review of the Literature</i> | <i>5</i> |
| | 1. Educational Evaluation: An overview. | 7 |
| | 2. School Effectiveness, School Development, School Improvement and Organisational Learning. | 14 |
| | 3. Experiences from Some Other Countries. | 26 |
| | 4. Some New Zealand Experiences and Perspectives. | 41 |
| | 5. Lessons from the Literature. | 58 |
| 3. | <i>Methodology</i> | <i>62</i> |
| | 1. The Literature Review | 63 |
| | 2. The Survey of Current Practice | 64 |
| | 3. Conceptual and Theoretical Analysis | 70 |
| 4. | <i>Forms and Purposes of School Self-review in some New Zealand Schools</i> | <i>73</i> |
| | 1. Forms of Self-review in use. | 75 |
| | (i) The frequency of review activities | 76 |
| | (ii) The scope and focus of self-review activities | 78 |
| | (iii) Decision-making about what is to be reviewed | 80 |
| | (iv) Data gathering methods used | 82 |
| | (v) Methods used to analyse data | 84 |
| | 2. The Purposes of School Self-review. | 86 |
| | 3. Outcomes of the Self-review Process. | 90 |
| | 4. The Success of School Self-reviewing. | 93 |
| | 5. Development of the Process. | 98 |
| | 6. General Comments. | 106 |
| | 7. Summary of Findings, Tentative Propositions, and Focus Points for Further Study. | 112 |
| 5. | <i>Defining the Ground</i> | <i>122</i> |
| | 1. The Nature of Evaluation | 124 |
| | 2. The Nature of School Self-review | 133 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3. Some Associated Ideas | 140 |
| 4. School Self-review as Collaborative Reflection | 163 |
| 6. <i>From Theory to Practice: Guidelines and considerations.</i> | 167 |
| Appendix I: Survey Questionnaire | 176 |
| Appendix II: Survey Data Summary Sheets | 188 |
| Bibliography | 193 |

List of Figures and Tables

Figures - Chapter 2

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Stake's Prototypes of Curriculum Evaluation | 7 |
| 2.2 | House's Taxonomy of Major Evaluation Approaches | 8 |
| 2.3 | McDonald's Political Classification of Evaluation Studies | 11 |
| 2.4 | A Critical Theory Analysis of Evaluation | 11 |
| 2.5 | Stewart & Prebble's Six Step Problem Solving Process | 18 |
| 2.6 | Hargreaves & Hopkins' Development Planning Cycle | 20 |
| 2.7 | Fullen et al's "Cogs" Framework for School Improvement | 21 |
| 2.8 | Argyris & Schon's Single Loop Organisational Learning | 23 |
| 2.9 | Argyris & Schon's Double Loop Organisational Learning | 23 |
| 2.10 | Argyris & Schon's Deutro Organisational Learning | 24 |
| 2.11 | Calhoun's Action Research Cycle | 36 |
| 2.12 | King's Comparison of Approaches to Collaborative Evaluation/Research | 37 |
| 2.13 | Schollum & Ingram's Visual Summary and Pathway Through Review | 49 |
| 2.14 | Sutton's Overview of the Process of School Evaluation | 50 |

Figures - Chapter 3

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----|
| 3.1 | Overview of Approach to the Study | 62 |
|-----|-----------------------------------|----|

Data Tables - Chapter 4

| | | |
|--------|--|----|
| 3.1(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses for <i>Frequency</i> of Review Activities | 76 |
| 3.1(b) | Responses for <i>Frequency</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 76 |
| 3.2(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses for <i>Scope and Focus</i> | 79 |
| 3.2(b) | Responses for <i>Scope and Focus</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 79 |
| 3.3(a) | <i>Who Decides</i> what is to be reviewed? | 81 |
| 3.3(b) | Responses for <i>Decision Makers</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 81 |
| 3.4(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses for <i>Data Gathering</i> | 82 |
| 3.4(b) | Responses for <i>Data Gathering</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 83 |
| 3.5(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses for <i>Analysis</i> | 85 |
| 3.5(b) | Responses for <i>Analysis</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 85 |
| 3.6(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses for <i>Purposes</i> of Self-reviewing | 87 |
| 3.6(b) | Responses for <i>Purposes</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 88 |
| 3.7(a) | Raw and Weighted Responses on the <i>Outcomes</i> of School Self-reviewing | 91 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.7(b) Responses for <i>Outcomes</i> sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 91 |
| 3.8(a) <i>Success</i> in Meeting Purposes | 91 |
| 3.8(b) Responses for the <i>Success</i> of School Self-reviewing sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 93 |
| 3.9(a) Raw and Weighted Responses on Success in Leading to Improvement | 94 |
| 3.9(b) Success in Leading to Improvement sorted by Weighted Percentage Scores | 95 |
| 3.10 Raw and Weighted Responses for Ease of Implementation | 95 |

Figures - Chapter 5

| | |
|--|-----|
| 5.1 The Essential Elements of Evaluative Processes | 125 |
| 5.2 The Evaluative Process | 127 |
| 5.3 The Process of Evaluation | 128 |
| 5.4 Aspinwall et al's Dimensions of Evaluation | 129 |
| 5.5 Stufflebeam et al's Needs Identification Process | 144 |
| 5.6 Stufflebeam et al's Information Gathering Processes | 145 |
| 5.7 Stufflebeam et al's Overview of the Analysis of Needs Assessment Information | 146 |
| 5.8 Codd's Contradictory Discourses of Educational Evaluation | 148 |
| 5.9 Reflection on-action-in-action, and Reflection on-action -out-of-action | 164 |
| 5.10 A Collaborative Reflective Model of School Self-review | 165 |