Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Teacher	perceptions	of s	ocially	withdrawn	children
Lacitor	perceptions	01 3	ocialiy	vvittiai a vvii	CIIII ai CII

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of
Master of Education (Educational Psychology)
at Massey University, Albany,
New Zealand.

Pamela Seccombe 2012

ABSTRACT

This thesis addresses how understanding teacher's beliefs and perceptions about socially withdrawn behaviours offers insight into how teacher-child relationships may support or harm the child. This study examined teacher perceptions of characteristics such as 'intelligent', resilient' and 'assertive', at primary school level by using teacher ratings in response to vignettes describing shy and unsociable children of both genders. Teacher beliefs about the negative costs of socially withdrawn behaviour, and attributions of socially withdrawn behaviour were also explored through teacher ratings, and teacher reported pedagogical practice was investigated through open-ended questions.

Ninety seven teachers responded to an online survey. They rated withdrawn children most highly on the characteristics of intelligent, self-controlled and capable, and least highly on the characteristics of assertive, self-confident and cooperative. This study found that teachers do differentiate between shy and unsociable children, with unsociable children generally viewed more favourably than shy children. Teachers did not distinguish between withdrawn children on the basis of gender, however, interaction effects were also found across a range of characteristics, with a general pattern of less concern for 'female shy' and 'male unsociable' types. Teachers perceived more negative cost (both academic and social) for the shy type of child. The teachers who answered this survey demonstrated knowledge about ways if assisting socially withdrawn children, although suggestions differed vastly. Recommendations were made that teachers be encouraged to be more aware of the consequences of social withdrawal and of their own responses to socially withdrawn children.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been completed without the support and guidance of a number of people. I would particularly like to thank Professor Michael Townsend for his expert advice and excellent supervision. Dr. Michael Irwin also provided appropriate support when it was most needed.

I also wish to express my thanks to the teachers who generously supported this thesis by taking the time to complete the survey. Many teachers also responded generously with detailed and thoughtful anecdotes from their own classroom experience, which contributed so much to the research.

Finally, thank you to the friends and family who have supported me throughout the process with their patience and words of encouragement.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
List of tables	vi
List of figures	vii
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
Background of the study	1
Statement of the problem	2
Purpose of the study	2
Significance of the study	3
Definition of Terms	3
Theoretical framework	4
Organisation of Study	5
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	6
Social withdrawal	6
Intervening with Social withdrawal	15
Teachers and Social Withdrawal	18
New Zealand schools and social withdrawal	25
Factors relating to positive life outcomes for withdrawn children	26
Research questions	31
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY	32
Introduction	32
Participants	32
Research Paradigm	33

Survey	33
Procedure	37
Data Analysis	39
CHAPTER IV RESULTS	40
Preliminary Analyses	40
Research Question 1	42
Research Question 2	49
Research Question 3	54
Exploratory Analyses	60
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION	63
Summary of the Study	63
Discussion of the findings	65
Implications for practice	72
Limitations and recommendations for future research	73
Concluding statement	77
References	78
Appendices	88
Appendix A: Survey (printed copy of online version)	88
Appendix B: Information letter to principals	91
Appendix C: Low risk evaluation confirmation	92

List of tables

Chapter	3
---------	---

Table 3.0	Demographic characteristics of participants	32
Chapter 4		
Table 4.0	Mean Scores (standard deviations) for Child Characteristics as a	44
	Function of their Type of Withdrawal and Gender	
Table 4.1	Mean scores (standard deviations) for adjectives relating to	46
	'intelligence' across vignette gender and withdrawal type	
Table 4.2	Mean scores (standard deviations) for adjectives relating to 'social	47
	competence' across vignette gender and withdrawal type	
Table 4.3	Mean scores (standard deviations) for 'resilience' across vignette	48
	gender and withdrawal type	
Table 4.4	Mean Scores (standard deviations) for attribution and negative cost	49
	statements as a Function of their type of withdrawal and gender	
Table 4.5	Percentage of teacher answers mentioning common themes in	52
	response to the question: "What would you need to consider when	
	working with this child, if anything?	
Table 4.6	% of teachers mentioning types of support or resources for a socially	54
	withdrawn child (across vignette gender and vignette withdrawal	
	type)	
Table 4.7	Frequency of responses for open-ended question: What strategies	59
	would you consider using to develop this child's peer relationships, if	
	any?	
Table 4.8	Highest and lowest means for 'resilience', 'intelligence', 'social	61
	competence', negative academic cost' and 'negative social cost' across	
	vignette withdrawal type and gender	

List of figures

Chapter 3		
Figure 3.0	Vignettes used in online survey	35
Chapter 4		
Figure 4.0	Mean responses to elements of social competence across vignette	48
	withdrawal type and vignette gender	
Figure 4.1	Frequency of responses indicating the location of the behaviour across	53
	vignette withdrawal type and gender	
Figure 4.2	Number of teachers mentioning specific types of support or resources	55
	for a socially withdrawn child by vignette gender and vignette	
	withdrawal type.	
Figure 4.3	Type of vignette child with highest and lowest mean scores for each	60
	objective	