Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Investigating the Physiological Impacts of Capture and Handling on Threatened Avian Species by Using Surrogate Species as Models

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Masters of Science

In

Conservation Biology

At Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Thomas Stephen Burns

Abstract

The conservation management of many threatened species requires the capture and handling of wild individuals for monitoring, translocation or research purposes. However whenever wild animals are captured and handled there is the potential for these procedures to negatively impact the animal and result in altered behaviour or physiology, injury and even death. Therefore this thesis aimed to investigate what physiological impacts routine capture and handling may be having on threatened avian species in New Zealand by using surrogate species of birds as models for threatened birds.

Layer hens (*Gallus domesticus*) were used as surrogates to model the physiological impacts of capture and handling on kiwi (*Apteryx spp.*). A treatment and control group of hens were serially blood sampled over 72 hours. Hens in the control group were placed in a box between blood samples and hens in the treatment group went through a simulation of a kiwi chase, capture and handling scenario. After 72 hours all birds were euthanized and their muscles examined histopathologically.

Wild pūkeko (*Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus*) captured using a net-gun at the Awapuni Sustainable Development Centre in Palmerston North were used as surrogates to model the physiological impacts of capture and handling on takahē (*Porphyrio hochstetteri*). Wild mallard ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) captured using a net-gun at Massey University's Turitea campus were used as surrogates to model the physiological impacts of capture and handling on threatened waterfowl such as pateke/brown teal (*Anas chlorotis*), or whio/blue duck (*Hymenolaimus malachorhynchos*). All mallards and pūkeko captured were serially blood sampled at capture (0 minutes), 30 and 120 minutes. Within each species there was a control group that was held in a box between samples and a treatment group which was handled according best practice protocol for takahē (for pūkeko) or pateke (for mallards). A further group of pūkeko was also shot using a rifle as comparison.

To assess the physiological impact of capture, biochemical analytes measured included plasma concentrations of the enzymes creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH) and the stress hormone corticosterone (CORT). In mallards and pūkeko capture using the net-gun the plasma concentrations of uric acid (UA) were also measured.

Capture was found to elicit a stress response in all three of the species studied as shown by elevated plasma CORT; however there were differences between species on the effect of capture on plasma CK, AST, GLDH and UA. The handling protocol was found to have minimal impact on the physiological response of any of the species and the impact of capture either overrode the effects of handling or handling protocol was simply not a significant factor on any of the biochemical analytes measured.

Layer hens were found to have altered physiology at the commencement of the study, probably due to the high metabolic demands of egg production. There was also significant variation in their 'normal' physiology and physiological response between the two weeks they were studied. Layer hens are therefore considered to be inappropriate surrogates for kiwi or any wild bird.

Baseline levels of the biochemical analytes of pūkeko that were captured using a net-gun and those that were shot were similar. The time of day the pūkeko were captured caused significant variation in the concentration of plasma GLDH and UA. Capture did cause significant elevations in plasma CK and AST showing subclinical muscle damage was occurring in the pūkeko and this damage and the stress response was greater when the pūkeko were captured in flight. Capture also had a significant if less clearly defined impact on renal and gastro-intestinal physiology.

Seasonal variation and some time of day variation were observed in the concentration of CK in mallard ducks. While capture caused a significant stress response in captured mallards it did not have a significant effect on CK, GLDH or UA. Plasma AST concentrations decreased significantly following capture albeit by a very small amount.

The difference found between species in their physiological response to similar procedures highlights that surrogate species may not be appropriate and validation between the surrogate and threatened species is required. Small differences in the capture technique may have a significant impact on the animal's physiological response. In conclusion the handling protocol has a minimal physiological impact on these birds following capture and further research should focus on capture techniques and protocols. If surrogate species are used for further research then there should be some attempt to validate that the physiological response observed is similar in the threatened species.

Acknowledgements

In the first of many thank you's, I'd like to acknowledge the effort of my supervisors John and Kerri and especially Brett. I haven't been the easiest student to supervise and I appreciate your patience and support. I am fortunate to have a very supportive family who have kept me clothed, fed and housed especially in the latter part of the thesis. They encouraged and threatened where necessary, and even helped with some of the proofing. Thanks James my unofficial brother for the care packages and text support.

A big thank you to Lance, Christy, Robyn, Mirjam, Andrew, Sarah, and Zoe for their time and help either in the field or with the chickens. Especially those of you who helped with the late night mission at the dump. Thanks also to Lance for the great photos he took of the pūkeko which made all the difference when presenting at conferences. An especially big thank you has to go Jez for his reluctant but reliable help and who withstood sleet, hail, snow and near hyperthermia on one (his last) outing. As my culinary talented flatmate he also fed me throughout University. Another big thanks has to go to my beautiful fiancée Micah without whom I don't know whether I would have started and am even less sure I would have finished. I've known you were a keeper since I saw you sleeping on the tarmac in full waterproofs and thermals at 1am while we waited for the sampling time to be up. I'm grateful to the techs at the Wildbase Hospital (Nicky, Pauline and Bridey) and in Ecology (Shaun and Tracy) for their help during work hours and sometimes their own free time to help with sampling or obtaining field supplies. Thank you to Jane Candy for the corticosterone laboratory work and to Phil Battley for the use of the net-gun.

All procedures in the following were carried out with permission from the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee (MUAEC 10/110; MUAEC 11/33) and Fish & Game New Zealand (File No: 1181). I would also like thank the local iwi Rangitaane O Manawatu for their help and consultation. I would also like to acknowledge the research grants provided by the IVABS Post Graduate Research Fund (2010) and The Avian Health Research Fund (2010 & 2011) and the IVABS Travel Grant (2011 & 2012).

I dedicate the following work to my late Grandparents, and thank them for their love and support throughout my life and for the many pictures and videos about birds and nature that helped sparked my interest in the natural world.

Contents

| Abstract | i |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Contents | iv |
| List of Figures | ix |
| List of Tables | xi |
| | |
| Chapter One | |
| General Introduction | |
| 1.1 Capture and Handling of Threatened Species for Conservation | 2 |
| 1.2 Capture Impacts | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Capture Myopathy | 3 |
| 1.2.2 Capture Stress | 7 |
| 1.3 Avian Capture and Handling Techniques | 8 |
| 1.3.1 Avian Capture Techniques | 9 |
| 1.3.2 Avian Handling Techniques | 13 |
| 1.4 Using Surrogate Species in Conservation | 14 |
| 1.5 Selected Measures of the Physiological Effects of Capture and Handling | 15 |
| 1.5.1 Creatine Kinase (CK) | 15 |
| 1.5.2 Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) | 16 |
| 1.5.3 Glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH) | 16 |
| 1.5.4 Uric acid (UA) | 17 |
| 1.5.5 Corticosterone (CORT) | 17 |
| 1.6 Thesis Aims and Organisation | 18 |
| D. C. | 1.0 |

Chapter Two

A Study on Layer Hens (*Gallus domesticus*) as a Surrogate for Assessing the Physiological Impacts of Capture and Handling on Kiwi (*Apteryx spp.*)

| Abstract | | .28 |
|------------|---|-----|
| 2.1 IN | TRODUCTION | .29 |
| 2.1.1 | Status, Ecology and Management of Kiwi (Apteryx spp.) | .29 |
| 2.1.2 | Capture and Handling Protocols Used for Kiwi (Apteryx spp.) | .31 |
| 2.1.3 | Suitability of Layer Hens (Gallus domesticus) as a Surrogate for Assess | ing |
| Handlir | ng Protocols used for Kiwi (Apteryx spp.) | .34 |
| 2.1.4 | Specific Aims of the Study | .35 |
| 2.1.5 | Hypothesis | .35 |
| 2.1.6 | Approval for Study | .35 |
| 2.2 MA | ATERIALS AND METHODS | .36 |
| 2.2.1 | Experimental Methodology | .36 |
| 2.2.2 | Laboratory Methodology | .38 |
| 2.2.3 | Statistical Methodology | .41 |
| 2.3 RE | SULTS | .43 |
| 2.3.1 | Confounding Factors in the Analysis | .43 |
| 2.3.2 | The Effect of Pre-sample Time on the Starting Concentration of the Analytes | 44 |
| 2.3.3 | Physiological Responses of Chickens to Capture and Handling | .46 |
| 2.3.4 | Pathology | .54 |
| 2.4 DIS | SCUSSION | .55 |
| 2.4.1 | Impact of Capture and Handling on Layer Hen Muscle Physiology | .55 |
| 2.4.2 | Impact of Capture and Handling on Layer Hen Stress Physiology | .58 |
| 2.4.3 | Impact of Capture and Handling on Layer Hen Liver Physiology | .60 |
| 2.4.4 | Assessment of Layer Hens as a Surrogate for Kiwi | .60 |
| 2.4.5 | Conclusions & Recommendations | .61 |
| References | | .62 |

Chapter Three

| Wild Pūkeko (Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus) as a Surrogate For Assessing the |
|--|
| Physiological Impacts of Capture and Handling on Takahē (Porphyrio hochstetteri) |

| Abstract | 68 |
|----------|--|
| 3.1 IN | TRODUCTION69 |
| 3.1.1 | Status, Ecology and Management of Takahē (Porphyrio hochstetteri)69 |
| 3.1.2 | Status, Ecology and Management of Pūkeko (Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus) |
| | 70 |
| 3.1.3 | Capture and Handling Protocols used for Takahē (Porphyrio hochstetteri)70 |
| 3.1.4 | Suitability of Pūkeko as a Surrogate for Assessing Handling Protocols Used for |
| Takahē | E (Porphyrio hochstetteri)74 |
| 3.1.5 | Specific Aims of the Study |
| 3.1.6 | Approval for Study |
| 3.2 M | ATERIALS AND METHODS |
| 3.2.1 | Study Site |
| 3.2.2 | Field Methodology |
| 3.2.3 | Laboratory Methodology |
| 3.2.4 | Statistical methodology |
| 3.3 RE | ESULTS82 |
| 3.3.1 | Field Observations |
| 3.3.2 | Confounding Factors in the Analysis |
| 3.3.3 | Physiological Responses of Pūkeko to Capture and Handling |
| 3.3.4 | Physiological Responses of Pūkeko to Capture in Flight |
| 3.3.5 | Effect of Initial Entanglement Time During Capture on the Biochemical |
| Analyt | es97 |
| 3.4 DI | SCUSSION98 |
| 3.4.1 | Impacts of Capture & Handling on Pūkeko Muscle Physiology98 |

| 3.4.2 | Impacts of Capture & Handling on Pukeko Stress Physiology101 |
|-----------------|---|
| 3.4.3 | Impacts of Capture & Handling on Selected Organ Function |
| 3.4.4 | How the Results Compare With Other Studies on Capture Myopathy106 |
| 3.4.5 | Assessment of the Use of Net-guns to Capture Pūkeko |
| 3.4.6 | Assessment of Using Pūkeko as a Surrogate Species for Takahē |
| 3.4.7 | Management Recommendations Regarding Takahē Capture and Handling |
| Protoco | ols |
| References. | |
| | |
| Chapter Fo | |
| • | Wild Mallard Ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) as a Surrogate for Assessing the |
| • | al Impacts of Capture and Handling on Threatened Native Waterfowl |
| Abstract | 118 |
| 4.1 IN | TRODUCTION119 |
| 4.1.1 | Status, Ecology & Management of Native Waterfowl in New Zealand120 |
| 4.1.2 | Status, Ecology & Management of Mallard Ducks (Anas platyrhynchos)121 |
| 4.1.3 | Capture and Handling Protocols Used on New Zealand Waterfowl122 |
| 4.1.4 Zealan | Suitability of Mallard Ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>) as Surrogates for New dwaterfowl |
| 4.1.5 | Specific Aims of the Study |
| 4.1.6 | Approval for Study |
| 4.2 M | ATERIALS AND METHODS127 |
| 4.2.1 | Study Site |
| 4.2.2 | Field Methodology127 |
| 4.2.3 | Laboratory Methodology |
| 4.2.4 | Statistical Methodology |
| 4.3 RE | SULTS131 |
| 431 | Field Observations 131 |

| 4.3 | 3.2 Potential Confounding Factors in the Analysis |
|------------------|--|
| 4.3 | Physiological Response of Mallard Ducks to Capture and Handling |
| 4.4 | DISCUSSION |
| 4.4 | Impacts of Capture & Handling on Mallard Muscle Physiology143 |
| 4.4 | 1.2 Impacts of Capture & Handling on Mallard Stress Physiology |
| 4.4 | 1.3 Impacts of Capture & Handling on Mallard Gastro-Intestinal/Renal Physiology |
| 4.4 | Assessment of Using Net-guns to Capture Mallard Ducks |
| 4.4 Wa | 4.5 Assessment of Using Mallard Ducks as a Surrogate Species for other aterfowl |
| 4. ² | 4.6 Management Recommendation Regarding New Zealand Waterfowl Capture d Handling |
| Referen | ices |
| Chapte Genera | r Five Il Discussion |
| 5.1 | Threatened Species' Handling Protocols |
| 5.2 | The Importance of Capture Techniques |
| 5.3 | Assessing the Potential for Subclinical Capture Myopathy in the Field163 |
| 5.4 | The Role of Stress in the Development of Muscle Damage |
| 5.5 | Assessing Delayed Impacts of Capture, Stress and Subclinical Capture Myopathy |
| 5.6 | Using Surrogates Species |
| 5.7 | Using Net-guns to Capture Threatened Species in NZ |
| 5.8 | Management Recommendations |
| 5.9 | Future Research |
| Referen | ices172 |

List of Figures

| Figure 2.1 | The effect of the length of pre-sampling time, between picking up a chicken and blood sampling (at the 0 minutes sampling point) on the starting plasma concentration of corticosterone (CORT) in layer hens (<i>Gallus domesticus</i>) | 45 |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.2 | The effect of handling on plasma concentrations of creatine kinase (CK) in layer hens (<i>Gallus domesticus</i>) | 48 |
| Figure 2.3 | The effect of handling on plasma concentrations aspartate aminotransferase (AST) in layer hens (Gallus domesticus) | 49 |
| Figure 2.4 | The effect of handling on plasma concentrations corticosterone (CORT) in layer hens (Gallus domesticus) | 51 |
| Figure 2.5 | The effect of handling on glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH) in layer hens (Gallus domesticus) | 53 |
| Figure 3.1 | A takahē being held by a Department of Conservation staff member. | 72 |
| Figure 3.2 | A visual comparison between pūkeko (left) and takahē (right). Note that the photographs are not to scale. | 75 |
| Figure 3.3 | A map of New Zealand and a close up of the study area within the Manawatu (right) showing the location of the study sites at Palmerston North, Fielding and Ashurst. | 78 |
| Figure 3.4 | The night/day variation in the plasma levels of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in pūkeko (Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus) | 84 |
| Figure 3.5 | The seasonal variation of the plasma levels of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in pūkeko (<i>Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus</i>). | 86 |
| Figure 3.6 | The effect of time between capture and blood sampling (at the 0 minutes sampling point) on changes in the plasma concentration of creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT) in pūkeko (<i>Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus</i>) | 89 |

| Figure 3.7 | The effect of handling on the change in plasma concentrations of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in pūkeko (<i>Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus</i>) | 92 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 3.8 | The effect of capture in flight compared to ground capture on the changes in plasma concentrations of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT) in pūkeko (<i>Porphyrio porphyrio melanotus</i>) | 96 |
| Figure 4.1 | A map of showing sampling site within New Zealand (left) and a close up showing the sampling site at Massey University (right). | 127 |
| Figure 4.2 | Seasonal differences in the plasma levels of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in mallard ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>) | 134 |
| Figure 4.3 | Time of day variation in the plasma levels of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in mallard ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>) | 136 |
| Figure 4.4 | Pre-sampling time between capture and 0 minutes samples and the plasma concentration of creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in mallard ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>) | 139 |
| Figure 4.5 | Control vs. treatment comparison of the plasma levels of the biochemical parameters: creatine kinase (CK), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), glutamate dehydrogenase (GLDH), uric acid (UA) and corticosterone (CORT), in mallard ducks (<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>) | 142 |

List of Tables

| Table 2.1 | Table 2.1 Summary of the frequency of histological findings during post mortem on layer hens (<i>Gallus domesticus</i>) | 54 |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 4.1 | The number of mallard sampled in each season and at what time of day | 133 |