

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Nitrous oxide emission from soil
under pasture as affected by grazing and
effluent irrigation**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)**

**in
Soil Science
at the
Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand.**



Massey University

RITA BHANDRAL

2005

Abstract

New Zealand's greenhouse gas inventory is dominated by the agricultural trace gases, CH₄ and N₂O instead of CO₂, which is dominant on a global scale. While the majority of the anthropogenic CH₄ is emitted by ruminant animals as a by-product of enteric fermentation, N₂O is mainly produced by microbial processes occurring in the soil. In grazed pastoral soils, N₂O is generated from N originating from dung, urine, effluent applied to land, biologically fixed N₂ and fertiliser. The amount of emission depends on complex interactions between soil properties, climatic factors and management practices.

Increased intensification of pastoral agriculture in New Zealand, particularly in dairying has led to an increased production of farm dairy effluent. Traditionally, direct disposal of nutrient rich farm dairy effluents (FDE) into water bodies was an acceptable practice in New Zealand, but with the introduction of the Resource Management Act (1991), discharge of effluents into surface waters is now a controlled activity and many Regional Councils encourage the land irrigation of effluents to protect surface water quality. While the impact of grazing and FDE irrigation on groundwater contamination through leaching and runoff of nutrients has been studied extensively, there has been only limited work done on the effect of these practices on air quality as affected by N₂O emission.

This thesis examines the effects of various factors, such as compaction due to cattle treading, and the nature, application rate and time of effluent application on N₂O emission in relation to the changes in the soil physical properties and C and N transformation from a number of small plot and field experiments. The results were then used, together with data from the literature, to predict the emissions from effluent irrigated pastures using a process-based model.

In grazed pastures, animal treading causes soil compaction, which results in decreased soil porosity and increased water filled pore space that stimulate the denitrification rate as well as influence the relative output of N₂O and dinitrogen (N₂) gases. A field plot study was conducted to determine N₂O emission from different N sources as affected by soil compaction. The experiment comprised two main treatments (uncompacted and compacted) to which four N sources (natural cattle urine, potassium nitrate, ammonium sulphate and urea at the rate of 600kg N ha⁻¹) and a control (water

only) were applied. Compaction was obtained through driving close parallel tracks by the wheels of the vehicle. The changes in the soils physical properties (bulk density, penetration resistance (PR), soil matric potential and oxygen diffusion rate (ODR) due to the compaction created by the wheel traction of the vehicle were compared with the changes in these properties due to the treading effect of grazing cattle, which was monitored in another field experiment. The N_2O fluxes were measured using a closed chamber technique.

The compaction at the grazing trial and at the wheel traction experimental plot caused significant changes in soil bulk density, PR, soil matric potential and ODR values. Overall, the bulk density of the compacted soil was higher than the uncompacted soil by 6.7% (end of 3 weeks) and 4.9% (end of 1 week) for the field experiment and the grazing trial, respectively. Results suggest that maximum compaction occurred in the top 0-2 cm layer. Compaction caused an increase in N_2O emission, which was more pronounced in the nitrate treatment than in the other N sources. In the case of the compacted soil, 10% of the total N applied in the form of nitrate was emitted, whereas from uncompacted soil this loss was only 0.7%. N_2O loss was found to decrease progressively from the time of application of N treatments. Total N_2O emission for the three month experimental period ranged from 2.6 to 61.7 kg N_2O -N ha⁻¹ for compacted soil and 1.1 to 4.4 kg N_2O -N ha⁻¹ for uncompacted soil.

In the second field plot experiment, the results of N_2O fluxes from treated farm dairy effluent (TFDE), untreated farm dairy effluent (UFDE), treated piggery farm effluent (TPFE) and treated meat effluent (TME) applied to 2m x 1m plots for 'autumn' (February-April) and 'winter' (July-September) are described. Effluent irrigation resulted in higher emissions during both the seasons indicating that the supply of C and N through effluent irrigation contributed to increased N_2O emission. The highest emissions were observed from TPFE (2.2% of the applied N) and TME (0.6% of the applied N) during the autumn and winter seasons, respectively. Emissions generated by the TFDE application were the lowest of the four effluent sources but higher than the water and control treatments. The effect of effluent irrigation on N_2O emission was higher during the autumn season than the winter season. The effect of key soil and effluent factors such as water filled pore space (WFPS), nitrate, ammonium and available C in soil and effluents on N_2O emission was examined using regression equations.

The third field plot experiment examined the effect of four TFDE application rates (25mm, 50mm, 75mm and 100mm) on N₂O emission. Treatments were added to 2m x 1m plots lined with plastic sheet to restrict the flow of effluent. The N₂O emission increased with the increasing effluent loading rate, with the emission ranging from 0.8 to 1.2% of the added N. This can be attributed to the increasing addition of N and C in the soil with the increasing application rate of the effluent. Besides, providing C and N substrates, the effluent application increased the WFPS of the soil, thereby creating conditions conducive for denitrification and N₂O emission.

A field experiment was conducted at the Massey University No 4 Dairy farm in which N₂O emission and related soil and environmental parameters were monitored for two weeks following the TFDE applications over an area of 0.16 ha in September 2003 (21mm), January 2004 (23mm) and February 2004 (16mm). Emissions were measured by a closed chamber technique with 20 chambers for each treatment, in order to cover the variability present in the field. N₂O emissions increased immediately after the application of the effluent, and subsequently dropped after about two weeks. The total N₂O emitted from the effluent application after the first, second and third irrigation was 2%, 4.9% and 2.5%, respectively of the total N added through the effluent. The higher emission observed during the second effluent irrigation event was due to high soil moisture content during the measurement period. Moreover effluent was applied immediately after a grazing event leading to more N and C input into the soil through excretal deposition. In this experiment the residual effect of effluent application on N₂O emission was also examined by monitoring emissions 12 weeks after the effluent application. The emissions from the control and effluent irrigated plots were similar, indicating that there was no residual effect of the effluent irrigation on N₂O emissions. In a separate field study, N₂O emission was monitored at the Massey University No 4 Dairy farm to examine the effect of a grazing event of moderate intensity on N₂O emission. The treatments consisted of a grazed and an ungrazed control. The fluxes from the grazed site were much higher than for the ungrazed site with the total emissions from the former site being 8 times higher than the latter site for the entire experimental period.

A modified New Zealand version of denitrification decomposition model (DNDC), a process based model, namely "NZ-DNDC", was used to simulate N₂O emission from the TFDE application in the field experiment. The model was able to simulate the emission as well as the WFPS within the range measured in the field. But

simulated emissions from the TFDE were slightly lower than measured values. Improvements in the parameterisation for effluent irrigation are likely to further improve the N₂O simulations.

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to the department of soil science and to all the people who made the study possible by offering research facilities and individual support.

I am particularly grateful to Professor Nanthi Bolan, chief supervisor, for his continuous guidance, encouragement, constructive criticism and above all patience, Dr Surinder Saggar, associate supervisor, for his supervision, valuable suggestions and support, and Dr Mike Hedley, associate supervisor, for his valuable suggestions, encouragement and guidance without all of which this thesis would not have been possible.

With the volume of field work involved in this project, the technical assistance provided by Bob Toes, Ross Wallace, Ian Furkert, Mike Bretherton and last but not the least Lance Currie made life lot easier. Thanks are also due to David Houlbrooke and James Hanly for accommodating my time schedule during planning their spray irrigation projects and Moira Hubbard, secretary, for her ever helping attitude during the course of preparation of this manuscript.

I would like to acknowledge Landcare Research, Palmerston North for using the research equipments, Carolyn Hedley for her technical assistance, suggestions and patience while teaching GC operation. Help by Donna Giltrap (Landcare Research, Palmerston North) in modelling work is greatly acknowledged.

The NZVCC is also thanked for providing the commonwealth scholarship to pursue my doctoral research in New Zealand and the Indian Government for considering me for this scholarship.

I thank all my fellow postgraduate students and friends from both New Zealand and India for their cares and friendship which made my living in New Zealand memorable. Heartfelt thanks are due to Jagrati Singh, for being a friend and also someone to take out all my anger on during the course of my PhD.

To my parents, I am indebted for their unconditional love, encouragement and believing in me throughout my life. Special thanks are due to my mother for coming to New Zealand and providing the much needed moral support and motivation for writing this thesis. The constant love and encouragement provided by my grandmother, brother, sister-in-law, uncles and aunts, Smita, Diksha and rest of the family members made living away from home possible and is highly appreciated.

Table of contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	v
Table of contents	vi
List of tables	xii
List of figures	xvi
List of plates	xxii
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Thesis Objectives	3
1.3 Thesis structure	5
Chapter 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Sources of anthropogenic N ₂ O emissions from agriculture	10
2.2.1 Direct N ₂ O emission from agricultural soils	11
2.2.2 Direct emissions from animal production	13
2.2.3 Indirect N ₂ O emission from N used in agriculture	15
2.3 N dynamics in grazed pastures	16
2.3.1 N input in pasture soil	16
2.3.1.1 Biological fixation	16
2.3.1.2 Deposition of animal excreta	16
2.3.1.3 Manure application and effluent irrigation	17
2.3.1.4 Fertiliser input	20
2.3.2 N transformation in pasture soil	20
2.3.2.1 Biotic transformations	21
2.3.2.2 Abiotic transformation	24
2.4 N ₂ O emission: Production and assessment	25
2.4.1 Process of N ₂ O production	25
2.4.2 Factors affecting N ₂ O emission	27
2.4.2.1 Soil factors	28

2.4.2.2	Climate factors	32
2.4.3	Measuring techniques	35
2.4.4	Modelling N ₂ O emissions	38
2.4.4.1	DNDC	40
2.5	N ₂ O emission from grazed pasture	41
2.5.1	Emission from land application of effluent	42
2.5.2	Emission from compaction caused by cattle treading	46
2.5.3	Emission from excretal deposition	47
2.6	Conclusions and future research needs	49

Chapter 3 EFFECT OF COMPACTION AND NITROGEN SOURCES ON NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION

3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Materials and Methods	55
3.2.1	Experimental details	55
3.2.1.1	Plot experiment and grazing trial	55
3.2.2	Nitrous oxide measurement	58
3.2.3	Soil sampling and analysis	60
3.2.4	Soil water potential	61
3.2.5	Penetrometer resistance (PR)	61
3.2.6	Oxygen diffusion rate (ODR)	62
3.2.7	Dry matter (DM) yield	62
3.2.8	Statistical methods	62
3.3	Results and discussion	63
3.3.1	Soil physical characteristics	63
3.3.1.1	Bulk density	63
3.3.1.2	Penetrometer resistance	65
3.3.1.3	Soil water potential	67
3.3.1.4	Oxygen diffusion rate	69
3.3.1.5	Water filled pore space	71
3.3.2	Nitrous oxide emission	71
3.3.2.1	Effect of compaction	71
3.3.2.2	Effect of N sources	74
3.3.3	N transformations in soil	76

3.3.4	Dissolved organic carbon	78
3.3.5	Soil pH	80
3.3.6	Dry matter yield	82
3.4	General discussion	84
3.5	Conclusions	89

Chapter 4 EFFECT OF TYPE OF FARM EFFLUENT ON NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION

4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	Materials and Methods	93
4.2.1	Experimental site and soil characteristics	93
4.2.2	Experimental design	94
4.2.3	Nitrous oxide measurement	97
4.2.4	Analysis	98
4.2.4.1	Effluent analysis	98
4.2.4.2	Soil analysis	98
4.2.4.3	Herbage analysis	98
4.2.5	Oxygen diffusion rate	99
4.2.6	Statistical methods	99
4.3	Results and Discussion	99
4.3.1	Properties of the effluents	99
4.3.2	Nitrous oxide emission	100
4.3.2.1	Autumn application	100
4.3.2.2	Winter application	103
4.3.3	Oxygen diffusion rate	105
4.3.4	Water filled pore space	106
4.3.5	N transformation in soil	108
4.3.5.1	Autumn application	108
4.3.5.2	Winter application	112
4.3.6	Dissolved organic carbon	115
4.3.6.1	Autumn application	117
4.3.6.2	Winter application	117
4.3.7	Dry matter yield and N uptake	118
4.3.7.1	Autumn application	118

4.3.7.2	Winter application	120
4.4	General Discussion	121
4.5	Conclusions	126
 Chapter 5 EFFECT OF RATE OF FARM DAIRY EFFLUENT IRRIGATION ON NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION		
5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	Materials and Methods	128
5.2.1	Experimental design	129
5.2.2	Nitrous oxide measurement	131
5.2.3	Analysis	131
5.2.3.1	Effluent analysis	131
5.2.3.2	Soil analysis	132
5.2.3.3	Herbage analysis	132
5.2.4	Oxygen diffusion rate	132
5.2.5	Statistical methods	132
5.3	Results and Discussion	133
5.3.1	Properties of the effluent	133
5.3.2	Nitrous oxide emission	133
5.3.3	Oxygen diffusion rate	135
5.3.4	Water filled pore space	136
5.3.5	N transformation in soil	137
5.3.6	Dissolved organic carbon	140
5.3.7	Dry matter yield and N uptake	141
5.4	General Discussion	143
5.5	Conclusions	149
 Chapter 6 EFFECT OF FARM DAIRY EFFLUENT ON NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION		
6.1	Introduction	151
6.2	Materials and Methods	152
6.2.1	Experimental site and soil characteristics	152
6.2.1.1	Fresh application experiment	154
6.2.1.2	Residual experiment	155

6.2.1.3	Grazing experiment	155
6.2.2	Nitrous oxide measurement	155
6.2.3	Analysis	156
6.2.3.1	Effluent analysis	156
6.2.3.2	Soil analysis	156
6.2.3.3	Herbage analysis	156
6.2.4	Oxygen diffusion rate	157
6.2.5	Statistical methods	157
6.3	Results and Discussion	157
6.3.1	Properties of the effluent	157
6.3.2	Nitrous oxide emission	158
6.3.2.1	Fresh application experiment	158
6.3.2.2	Residual experiment	161
6.3.2.3	Grazing experiment	162
6.3.3	Water filled pore space	163
6.3.4	Oxygen diffusion rate	165
6.3.5	N transformation in soil	167
6.3.5.1	Fresh application experiment	167
6.3.5.2	Residual experiment	170
6.3.5.3	Grazing experiment	171
6.3.6	Dissolved organic carbon	172
6.3.6.1	Fresh application experiment	172
6.3.6.2	Residual experiment	175
6.3.6.3	Grazing experiment	176
6.3.7	Dry matter yield	177
6.4	General Discussion	178
6.5	Conclusions	185

Chapter 7 MODELLING NITROUS OXIDE EMISSION FROM GRAZED PASTURE SOILS IRRIGATED WITH FARM DAIRY EFFLUENT

7.1	Introduction	187
7.2	Model Description	189
7.3	Materials and Methods	190

7.3.1	Input parameters for NZ-DNDC model	190
7.4	Results and Discussion	195
7.4.1	Measurements	195
7.4.2	Model simulations	196
7.4.3	Measured vs. simulated emissions, WFPS and mineral N	198
7.5	Conclusions	205
Chapter 8 SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS		
8.1	Economic and environmental impact of N ₂ O emission	207
8.2	Effects of farming practices on N ₂ O emission	208
8.2.1	Compaction	209
8.2.2	Effluent irrigation	209
8.2.3	Grazing	211
8.3	Modelling N ₂ O emission	212
8.3.1	Empirical regression model	212
8.3.2	Process based model	213
8.4	Future research	213
REFERENCES		215
APPENDIX 1		253
APPENDIX 2		253

List of tables

Table 2.1	Summary of the agricultural N ₂ O emission inventory for New Zealand in 2002	12
Table 2.2	Composition and characteristics of agricultural effluents	19
Table 3.1	Various measurements taken in the field experiment and the grazing trial	55
Table 3.2	Chemical and physical properties of the soils from the 0-5 and 5-10 cm depths in the field experiment and the grazing trial	56
Table 3.3	Distribution of bulk density (g cm ⁻³) with depth for the compacted and uncompacted soils at the field experiment and the grazing trial	64
Table 3.4	Total N ₂ O-N emitted (kg N ha ⁻¹) over the experimental period from the N sources applied to compacted and uncompacted soil at the experimental plots on Manawatu silt loam	74
Table 3.5	Average and range of NO ₃ ⁻ and NH ₄ ⁺ concentration (mg kg ⁻¹ soil) in compacted and uncompacted soil for the 0-5 and 5-10 cm soil depths of Manawatu silt loam	77
Table 3.6	Correlation coefficients for relationships between N ₂ O emission and (a) mean soil properties for two depths (b) soil properties at different depths in the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam	87
Table 3.7	Results of the multiple linear regression analysis relating N ₂ O emission with various soil properties in the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam	88

Table 4.1	Chemical and physical properties of the Manawatu sandy loam soil	93
Table 4.2	Characteristics of the different effluents applied in autumn (27th February, 2003) and winter (9th July, 2003)	96
Table 4.3	N ₂ O fluxes following the autumn and winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam	103
Table 4.4	ODR reading ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$) from the 10cm soil depth following the autumn and winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam	105
Table 4.5	Total dry matter (DM) yield, percent of added N in DM and DM response to the added N in autumn and winter application	120
Table 4.6	Correlation coefficients for relationships between N ₂ O emission and soil properties for (a) autumn application (b) winter application	125
Table 4.7	Results of the multiple linear regression analysis relating N ₂ O emission and various soil properties	126
Table 5.1	Irrigation depth applied and the hydraulic, N and C loading rates for the various treatments	130
Table 5.2	Characteristics of the farm dairy effluent (TFDE) applied	131
Table 5.3	Total N ₂ O emitted (kg N ha^{-1}) over the measurement period from different effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control (T6) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	135
Table 5.4	Soil ODR values ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$) for all the effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control (T6) treatments at 4	

	measuring dates at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	136
Table 5.5	Total DM yield, percent of added N in DM and DM response of the added N at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	142
Table 5.6	Correlation coefficients for relationships between N ₂ O emission and soil properties at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	148
Table 5.7	Results of the multiple linear regression analysis relating N ₂ O emission with various soil properties at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	148
Table 6.1	Details of the various irrigation (fresh and residual) and grazing experiments	152
Table 6.2	Chemical and physical properties of Tokomaru silt loam soil at the experimental site	153
Table 6.3	Characteristics of the farm dairy effluent applied during three applications on the Tokomaru silt loam soil	158
Table 6.4	Amount of N applied through farm dairy effluent irrigation and N ₂ O emitted during the three fresh applications, residual irrigation and the grazing experiment on the Tokomaru silt loam	160
Table 6.5	Total DM yield, percent of added N in DM and DM response to the added N for the experiments	177
Table 6.6	Correlation coefficients for relationships between N ₂ O emission and soil properties for the irrigation and grazing experiments on Tokomaru silt loam	183
Table 6.7	Results of the multiple linear regression analysis relating N ₂ O emission and various soil properties, for all the experiments on Tokomaru silt loam	184

Table7.1	Amounts of N added and the rate of irrigation during the three treated farm dairy effluent application events	191
Table7.2	Range of the WFPS, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- measured during the three treated farm dairy effluent field application events	195
Table7.3	Range of the WFPS, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- simulated during the three treated farm dairy effluent application events	198

List of figures

Figure 1.1	Structure of the thesis	4
Figure 2.1	Dynamics of nitrogen transformations in legume-based pastures	21
Figure 2.2	A conceptual model of three levels of regulation of N ₂ O flux from soil: (i) the rates of nitrification and denitrification (amount of N flowing through the pipes); (ii) the ratios of end products (the size of the holes and orifices of the pipes); and (iii) diffusion and consumption of N ₂ O prior to escape from the soil to the atmosphere	27
Figure 3.1	Soil temperatures at 10cm depth and daily precipitation during the compaction experiment period (10th September, 2002 to 4th December, 2002; 254 - 337 Julian days)	58
Figure 3.2	Effect of compaction on penetrometer resistance (0-5cm soil depth) for (a) the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam and (b) grazing trial on Tokomaru silt loam	66
Figure 3.3	Effect of compaction on soil water retentivity at 0-5cm depth for (a) the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam and (b) the grazing trial on Tokomaru silt loam	68
Figure 3.4	Effect of compaction on oxygen diffusion rate (ODR) values ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$) for (a) the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam and (b) the grazing trial on Tokomaru silt loam	70
Figure 3.5	Water filled pore space (WFPS) distribution for the compacted and uncompacted soil over the experimental period for the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam	71
Figure 3.6	N ₂ O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$) under the (a) compacted treatment and (b) uncompacted treatment at the experimental plots on Manawatu silt loam	73

Figure 3.7	N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$) under the nitrate treatment for compacted & uncompacteds soils at the experimental plots on Manawatu silt loam	75
Figure 3.8	Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (mg kg^{-1}) distributions in (a) compacted and (b) uncompacteds soils in the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam for water and different N sources in the field plot experiment	79
Figure 3.9	pH distributions in (a) compacted and (b) uncompacteds soils for water and different N sources in the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam	81
Figure 3.10	Total herbage productions in (a) compacted and (b) uncompacteds soils for water and different N sources in the field experiment on Manawatu sandy loam	83
Figure 4.1	Soil temperatures at 5 cm depth and daily rainfall during the experiment period for autumn (27th February, 2003 to 9th June, 2003; 58 to 160 Julian days) and winter (9th July, 2003 to 2nd September, 2003; 191 to 246 Julian days) applications	94
Figure 4.2	N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$) following the (a) autumn application and (b) winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam	102
Figure 4.3	Rainfall and WFPS distribution for all the treatments for (a) autumn application and (b) winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam	107
Figure 4.4	Distribution of soil (a) NH_4^+ concentration (b) NO_3^- concentration at 5cm depth following the autumn application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam	109

-
- Figure 4.5** Relationship between rates of change in NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentration with time ($\text{mg N kg}^{-1} \text{ soil d}^{-1}$) calculated over the entire measurement period after autumn application for (a) TFDE (b) UFDE (c) TPFE (d) TME and (e) Water treatments 111
- Figure 4.6** Distribution of soil (a) NH_4^+ concentration (b) NO_3^- concentration at 5cm depth following the winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep-grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam 113
- Figure 4.7** Relationship between rates of change in NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentration with time ($\text{mg N kg}^{-1} \text{ soil d}^{-1}$) after winter application for (a) TFDE (b) UFDE (c) TPFE (d) TME and (e) Water treatments 114
- Figure 4.8** Soil DOC concentration at 5 cm depth following the (a) autumn application and (b) winter application of water and a range of effluent types to sheep grazed pasture on Manawatu sandy loam 116
- Figure 4.9** Dry matter (DM) yield during the experiment period for (a) autumn application and (b) winter application 119
- Figure 5.1** Average rainfall and soil temperature (0-5 cm) distribution during the entire experimental period at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam soil 129
- Figure 5.2** N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N}_2\text{O-N ha}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$) for all the effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control (T6) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam soil 134
- Figure 5.3** WFPS distributions (0-5 cm) for all the effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control (T6) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam 137
- Figure 5.4** Distribution of (a) NH_4^+ (b) NO_3^- concentration ($\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ soil}$) for all the effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control

	(T6) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	139
Figure 5.5	Distribution of DOC concentration (mg kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) for all the effluent loading rates (T1-T4), water (T5) and control (T6) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	141
Figure 5.6	Relationship between rates of change in NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentration with time (mg kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) after treatment application for (a) T1 (b) T2(c) T3 (d) T4 (Water) and (e) T5 (control) treatments at the experimental plots on Manawatu sandy loam	146
Figure 6.1	Average rainfall and temperature distribution for September 2003 to June 2004	154
Figure 6.2	N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$) during the (a) first (experiment A) (b) second (experiment B) and (c) third (experiment C) effluent application events on Tokomaru silt loam	159
Figure 6.3	N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$) during the residual effluent application trial	162
Figure 6.4	N_2O fluxes ($\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$) during the grazing trial	163
Figure 6.5	WFPS distributions for (a) three freshly irrigated (experiment A, B and C), residual irrigated (experiment D) and grazed (experiment E) treatments (b) corresponding control treatment (unirrigated fresh, unirrigated residual and ungrazed) on Tokomaru silt loam	164
Figure 6.6	ODR ($\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{min}^{-1}$) for the experiments (A, B, C, D and E) during the (a) first day after treatment application and (b) last day of measurement for the respective experiments on Tokomaru silt loam	166

Figure 6.7	Mineral N concentrations (mg kg^{-1} soil) during the (a) first (experiment A) (b) second (experiment B) and (c) third (experiment C) effluent application events on Tokomaru silt loam	169
Figure 6.8	Changes in soil mineral N concentrations (mg kg^{-1} soil) during the monitoring period for the residual trial (Experiment D) on the Tokomaru silt loam	171
Figure 6.9	Changes in mineral N concentrations (mg kg^{-1} soil) during the monitoring period for the grazing trial (experiment E) on Tokomaru silt loam	172
Figure 6.10	Changes in soil DOC concentration (mg N kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) during the monitoring period for the (a) first (Experiment A) (b) second (Experiment B) and (c) third (Experiment C) effluent application event on Tokomaru silt loam	174
Figure 6.11	Changes in soil DOC concentration (mg kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) during the monitoring period for the residual trial (experiment D) on Tokomaru silt loam	175
Figure 6.12	Changes in soil DOC concentration (mg kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) during the monitoring period for the grazing trial (experiment E) on the Tokomaru silt loam	176
Figure 6.13	Relationship between rate of change of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentration (mg N kg^{-1} soil d^{-1}) during the monitoring period for the (a) first (b) second and (c) third fresh effluent application event (d) residual trial and (e) grazing trial on Tokomaru silt loam	181
Figure 7.1	Structure of the DNDC model	192
Figure 7.2	DNDC model - linking ecological drivers (input parameters) and soil/microbial processes to gaseous emission (output)	193

Figure 7.3	Input parameters required by the NZ-DNDC model and the model outputs	194
Figure 7.4	Measured and simulated N ₂ O emission for the (a) unirrigated and (b) irrigated treatment during the three irrigation events (experiment A, B and C)	197
Figure 7.5	Total simulated and measured N ₂ O emission for the unirrigated and irrigated treatment during the three irrigation events	199
Figure 7.6	Rainfall and measured and simulated WFPS for the (a) unirrigated and (b) TFDE irrigated soils during the three irrigation events (Experiment A, B and C)	200
Figure 7.7	Measured and simulated NH ₄ ⁺ distribution in the soil for (a) unirrigated and (b) TFDE irrigated treatment during the three irrigation events (Experiment A, B and C)	202
Figure 7.8	Measured and simulated NO ₃ ⁻ distribution in the soil for (a) unirrigated and (b) irrigated treatment during the three irrigation events (Experiment A, B and C)	203
Figure 8.1	Influence of grazing and effluent irrigation on N ₂ O emission through their effects on: 1. Supply of C and N substrates; 2. Transformation of nitrogen; and 3. Creating anaerobic conditions	208

List of plates

Plate 2.1	Biological treatment of farm effluents using a pond system	18
Plate 3.1	Effect of intensive grazing on soil compaction due to cattle treading on Tokomaru silt loam	57
Plate 3.2	Chamber used in measuring the N ₂ O emissions from the field	60
Plate 4.1	Layout of the effluent irrigation experiment showing the plot arrangement and the various treatments added.	97

Table of contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	v
Table of contents	vi
List of tables	xii
List of figures	xvi
List of plates	xxii

Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Thesis Objectives	3
1.3 Thesis structure	5

Chapter 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Sources of anthropogenic N ₂ O emissions from agriculture	10
2.2.1 Direct N ₂ O emission from agricultural soils	11
2.2.2 Direct emissions from animal production	13
2.2.3 Indirect N ₂ O emission from N used in agriculture	15
2.3 N dynamics in grazed pastures	16
2.3.1 N input in pasture soil	16
2.3.1.1 Biological fixation	16
2.3.1.2 Deposition of animal excreta	16
2.3.1.3 Manure application and effluent irrigation	17
2.3.1.4 Fertiliser input	20
2.3.2 N transformation in pasture soil	20
2.3.2.1 Biotic transformations	21
2.3.2.2 Abiotic transformation	24
2.4 N ₂ O emission: Production and assessment	25
2.4.1 Process of N ₂ O production	25
2.4.2 Factors affecting N ₂ O emission	27
2.4.2.1 Soil factors	28

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to the department of soil science and to all the people who made the study possible by offering research facilities and individual support.

I am particularly grateful to Professor Nanthi Bolan, chief supervisor, for his continuous guidance, encouragement, constructive criticism and above all patience, Dr Surinder Sagar, associate supervisor, for his supervision, valuable suggestions and support, and Dr Mike Hedley, associate supervisor, for his valuable suggestions, encouragement and guidance without all of which this thesis would not have been possible.

With the volume of field work involved in this project, the technical assistance provided by Bob Toes, Ross Wallace, Ian Furkert, Mike Bretherton and last but not the least Lance Currie made life lot easier. Thanks are also due to David Houlbrooke and James Hanly for accommodating my time schedule during planning their spray irrigation projects and Moira Hubbard, secretary, for her ever helping attitude during the course of preparation of this manuscript.

I would like to acknowledge Landcare Research, Palmerston North for using the research equipments, Carolyn Hedley for her technical assistance, suggestions and patience while teaching GC operation. Help by Donna Giltrap (Landcare Research, Palmerston North) in modelling work is greatly acknowledged.

The NZVCC is also thanked for providing the commonwealth scholarship to pursue my doctoral research in New Zealand and the Indian Government for considering me for this scholarship.

I thank all my fellow postgraduate students and friends from both New Zealand and India for their cares and friendship which made my living in New Zealand memorable. Heartfelt thanks are due to Jagrati Singh, for being a friend and also someone to take out all my anger on during the course of my PhD.

To my parents, I am indebted for their unconditional love, encouragement and believing in me throughout my life. Special thanks are due to my mother for coming to New Zealand and providing the much needed moral support and motivation for writing this thesis. The constant love and encouragement provided by my grandmother, brother, sister-in-law, uncles and aunts, Smita, Diksha and rest of the family members made living away from home possible and is highly appreciated.