Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STATISTICAL APPROACH TO MEDIUM OPTIMIZATION FOR GROWTH AND TOXIN PRODUCTION BY THE BACTERIUM BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Technology in Biotechnology

at

Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

by

QUAN DAT TRAN

1976

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Dr I.S. Maddox, my supervisor, for his guidance, assistance and encouragement throughout this study, without these this thesis would probably not have been finished.

The advice and assistance of Professor R.L. Earle and Dr S.H. Richert is sincerely appreciated and acknowledged.

Further acknowledgement is due to all the members of staff in the Department of Biotechnology.

Finally, I wish to thank Mrs J.E. Milne for her competent typing.

This study was supported by a Colombo Plan Award.

SUMMARY

A study has been made to determine the optimum medium composition, on a shake-flask scale, for maximum growth, sporu-lation and crystal protein toxin production by <u>Bacillus thurin-giensis</u>. This medium-screening exercise was performed using statistical experimental design and mathematical modelling techniques. These techniques have been widely used in the chemical industry for process optimisation, but have only rarely been applied to microbiological studies. Thus, it was hoped to determine whether the techniques could be successfully applied to this situation.

Five nutrients were used for the medium-screening exercise,

viz glucose, magnesium sulphate, ammonium chloride, yeast extract

and potassium dihydrogen phosphate. The data obtained, and

analysis of the data, provided strong evidence that these

nutrients did not provide the complete growth requirements of the

organism. For this reason, the optimum medium composition was not

satisfactorily determined.

The modelling techniques used proved satisfactory, particularly in recognising interactions between nutrients, and pointing the experimenter in the correct direction, but because of the incomplete growth medium used their full potential for optimisation was not realised.

However, the work does suggest that the modelling techniques will have a role to play in microbiological exercises of this type,

provided that there is sufficient knowledge of the growth requirements of the organism under study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | Pag |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|-----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | | |
| SUMMARY | | | iii |
| ı. | INTRODUCTION | | |
| | 1. | Taxonomy | 2 |
| | 2. | Growth, morphology and physiology | 3 |
| | 3. | The protein crystal | 6 |
| | | 3.1 Preparation and purification | 6 |
| | | 3.2 Structure | 7 |
| | | 3.3 Chemical composition and stability | 8 |
| | | 3.4 Biochemistry | 10 |
| | | 3.5 Immunology | 14 |
| | | 3.6 Number of polypeptides and separate toxic moieties in the protein molecule | 16 |
| | | 3.7 Biosynthesis | 18 |
| | | 3.8 Toxicity and mode of action | 22 |
| | 4. | Large scale production of B. thuringiensis | 25 |
| | | 4.1 Semi-solid fermentation | 26 |
| | | 4.2 Submerged fermentation | 28 |
| | | 4.3 Harvest, recovery and formulation | 30 |
| | | 4.4 Standardization | 34 |
| II. | EΣ | RPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES | 38 |
| | 1. Materials and methods | | 38 |
| | | 1.1 Equipment | 38 |
| | | 1.2 Organism | 38 |

| 1.3 Chemicals | 38 | |
|--|-----|--|
| 1.4 Semi-synthetic media | 38 | |
| 1.5 Sterilization of media | 39 | |
| 1.6 Inocula preparation and culture conditions | 39 | |
| 1.7 Staining technique and microscopic observation | 39 | |
| 1.8 Assay methods | 40 | |
| 1.8.1 Total viable count and spore count | 40 | |
| 1.8.2 Growth rate | 40 | |
| 1.8.3 Protein determination | 40 | |
| 1.8.4 Determination of toxicity | 41 | |
| 2. Data processing | 42 | |
| 3. Experimental design | 42 | |
| 3.1 Design matrix and the mathematical model | 44 | |
| III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 47 | |
| 1. Preliminary investigation | 47 | |
| 1.1 Staining | 47 | |
| 1.2 Growth studies | 47 | |
| 1.3 Determination of toxicity | 53 | |
| 2. Experiment 1 | 56 | |
| 3. Experiment 2 | 77 | |
| 4. Experiment 3 | 101 | |
| IV. FINAL DISCUSSION | 108 | |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | | |