Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A Novel Gastrin Inhibitor In Sheep Abomasal Contents

A thesis presented
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in Physiology

at Massey University, Palmerston North

New Zealand

DAVID CRISPIN SIMCOCK

2000

ABSTRACT

Gastrin secretion was studied *in vitro* and *in vivo* in response to pharmacological agents and chemicals, as well as abomasal parasites and microbial products. The causes and effects of hypergastrinaemia, along with bacterial numbers and the presence of a gastrin secretion inhibitor in the abomasal contents of sheep infected with *Ostertagia circumcincta* were studied.

The pharmacology of the gastrin secretion from the unparasitised antrum was shown to be similar to that in monogastric animals. *In vitro* gastrin secretion by ovine antral segments was stimulated by Gastrin Releasing Peptide, carbachol and nicotine, but not adrenaline. Basal gastrin release was unaffected by somatostatin or Vasoactive Intestinal Polypeptide, but these reduced the gastrin response to stimulants. Gastrin secretion was also stimulated by amino acids, ammonia and acetate.

Hypergastrinaemia during *O. circumcincta* infection did not correlate well with decreased food intake or appear to affect parietal cell recovery. Serum gastrin concentrations correlated well with abomasal pH following adult *O. circumcincta* transplant, but poorly after larval infections. This suggests that other factors, such as inflammation and tissue damage, also affect gastrin secretion during abomasal parasitism. Anaerobic bacterial numbers in abomasal contents increased to near rumen levels when abomasal pH was 3.5 and above, but this did not affect serum gastrin concentrations. An inhibitor of *in vitro* gastrin secretion also started to appear in abomasal contents of pH3.5 and over, but did not have significant effects on *in vitro* gastrin secretion unless contents were pH4.5 and over. However, gastrin inhibitory activity in abomasal contents and serum gastrin levels were positively correlated, suggesting abomasal gastrin inhibitory activity has little effect on gastrin secretion *in vivo*.

Three competing factors were present in rumen fluid and rumen incubates: an inhibitor and a stimulant of secretion and an elimination factor. The stimulant was resistant to acid degradation, had a molecular weight below $3000~M_{\text{r}}$ and was hydrophilic. Both the elimination factor and the inhibitor were sensitive to acidity and hydrophobic and are likely to be proteinaceous.

STATEMENT

This is to certify that the work on which this thesis is based was carried out by the undersigned, and has not been accepted in whole or in part for any other degree or diploma. Assistance is specifically recorded in the Acknowledgements section bound with this thesis.

D C Simcock

David Crispin SIMCOCK. (2000)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the IVABS and IFNHH Institutes of Massey University for the facilities and technical support to undertake this project. In particular I would like to thank Associate Professor Heather Simpson for her support, guidance, encouragement and good nature during my period of postgraduate study. I also extend my thanks to Dr. Gordon Reynolds, for his support and input, Dr. Keith Joblin and Ms Diana Burgess for conducting the anaerobic bacteria enumerations presented, Dr. Dave Harding and Mr. Dick Poll for their assistance with chemical separation procedures, Dr. Ian Scott for his help and expertise with experimental work and Dr. Chris Rogers for his advice concerning statistics. Further, I extend thanks to Ms Sabine Przemeck, for providing field data from the LTI field study, Mrs Samera Khalaf for the preparation of histological slides and Ms Jane Candy for her assistance with the gastrin and somatostatin assays.

For their technical assistance and services in fathoming the meaning of life, in addition to the aforementioned, I wish to thank Mrs. Lois Taylor for her assistance in matters most tedious, such as test tube labelling. Further, I wish to thank Mr. Brett Guthrie, Mr. Faris Sharpe and Mr. Barry Parlane for their assistance in animal handling. These people have, at times, provided some much needed worldly focus to the trivialities of postgraduate study.

The finance for this project was provided jointly by the C. Alma Baker Trust and the E & C Thoms Bequest. In addition, my personal support during this study was provided by the Massey University Vice-Chancellor's Doctor of Philosophy Scholarship. My thanks go to these organisations for their support.

Finally, for personal support and guidance I wish to thank my friends. In particular, Mr. Brett Guthrie (again) and Dr. Kevin Stafford for their advice as mentors, my fellow post graduate students, particularly Wei Hang Chua and Ellen Bennett for their support and good humour, and my faithful companions Zebbie and Jeddah. My parents, Mum and her faithful partner Ainslie, deserve much credit for guiding me to this point and helping me through my studies. Most importantly, I wish to thank my beloved fiancé Sarah, without whose love, support and understanding this effort would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. LITERATURE REVIEW	1
1.1 GASTRIN: BIOACTIVITY, LOCATION, SYNTHESIS AND	
SECRETION	2
1.1.1 Bioactivity of gastrins	2
1.1.1.1 Amidated gastrins	3
1.1.1.2 Glycine-extended gastrins	5
1.1.2 Gastrin secreting tissues	5
1.1.2.1 The antral G cell	6
1.1.3 G cell numbers	7
1.1.4 Gastrin biosynthesis	8
1.1.4.1 Transcription of the gastrin gene	8
1.1.4.2 Post-translational processing	9
1.1.4.2.1 Ribosomes and Endoplasmic reticulum	10
1.1.4.2.2 The Golgi Complex	10
1.1.4.2.3 The secretory vesicles	11
1.1.5 Secretion, metabolism and blood levels	12
1.1.5.1 Antral secretion of amidated gastrins	12
1.1.5.2 Antral secretion of glycine-extended gastrins	14
1.1.5.3 Tissue metabolism of gastrin	15
1.1.6 Integrated physiological control of gastrin release	16
1.1.6.1 The cephalic phase	17
1.1.6.1.1 The cephalic phase in ruminants	17
1.1.6.2 The gastric phase	18
1.1.6.2.1 Proteins, polypeptides and amino acids	18
1.1.6.2.2 Gastric Acidity	20
1.1.6.2.3 lonic effects	
1.1.6.2.4 Gastric distension	22
1.1.6.3 Intestinal phase	23
1.1.7 Cellular control of gastrin secretion	24
1.1.7.1 Somatostatin and inhibition of gastrin secretion	24
1.1.7.1.1 Control of somatostatin secretion	27
1.1.7.1.1.1 Vasoactive Intestinal Polypeptide (VIP)	
1.1.7.1.1.2 Peptide histidine isoleucine (PHI)	
1.1.7.1.1.3 Tachykinins	28

1.1.7.1.1.4 Calcitonin gene related peptide (CGRP)	29
1.1.7.1.1.5 Intestinal hormones	29
1.1.7.1.1.6 Feedback loops: gastrin and somatostatin	29
1.1.7.1.1.7 Feedback loops: histamine and somatostatin	30
1.1.7.2 Stimulants of gastrin secretion	30
1.1.7.2.1 Gastrin Releasing Peptide (GRP) /Bombesin	
1.1.7.2.2 Acetylcholine	
1.1.7.2.3 Catecholamines	
1.1.7.3 Signalling pathways	
1.2 GASTRIN SECRETION DURING ABOMASAL PARASITISM	37
1.2.1 Effects of hypergastrinaemia	38
1.2.1.1 Anorexia	38
1.2.1.2 Hyperpepsinogenaemia	39
1.2.1.3 Hyperplasia	40
1.2.2 Gastrin biosynthesis, tissue gastrin and blood gastrin dur	ing
hypersecretion	40
1.2.2.1 G and D cell numbers	41
1.2.2.2 Transcription and post translational processing	42
1.2.3 Causes of hypergastrinaemia	45
1.2.3.1 Abomasal hypoacidity	45
1.2.3.2 Inflammation, inflammatory mediators and gastrin secretion	48
1.2.3.3 G cell sensitivity	50
1.2.3.4 Nematode excretory/secretory products and gastrin secretion	51
1.2.3.5 Abomasal microbes	52
1.3 SUMMARY AND AIMS	53
2. PHARMACOLOGY OF THE UNPARASITISED OVINE ANT	RAL
G CELL	54
2.1 INTRODUCTION	54
2.2 METHODS	56
2.2.1 In vitro incubation method	56
2.2.1.1 Incubation medium	56
2.2.1.2 Tissue	57
2.2.1.3 Assay procedure	57
2.2.2 Pharmacological agents	58

2.2.3 Statistical analyses	58
2.3 RESULTS	59
2.3.1 GRP	59
2.3.2 VIP with GRP	60
2.3.3 GIP with GRP	60
2.3.4 Nicotine	61
2.3.5 Somatostatin with Nicotine	62
2.3.6 Carbachol	62
2.3.7 Histamine	63
2.3.8 Adrenaline	63
2.3.9 Amino acids	63
2.4 DISCUSSION	64
2.4.1 Stimulatory responses	64
2.4.1.1 GRP	
2.4.1.2 Carbachol	
2.4.1.3 Nicotine	67
2.4.1.4 Amino acids	68
2.4.2 Gastrin inhibitors	69
2.4.2.1 Effects of somatostatin on basal gastrin secretion	69
2.4.2.2 Effects on stimulated gastrin secretion	71
2.5 SUMMARY	72
3. HYPERGASTRINAEMIA DURING <i>OSTERTAGIA</i>	
CIRCUMCINCTA INFECTION: CAUSES AND EFFECTS	73
3.1 INTRODUCTION	73
3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	75
3.2.1 Experiment 1 (LPI-1)	75
3.2.1.1 Animals	
3.2.1.2 Blood and abomasal fluid samples	75
3.2.1.2 Blood and abomasal fluid samples	
	76
3.2.1.3 Serum gastrin 3.2.1.4 Serum and abomasal pepsinogen 3.2.1.5 Aerotolerant bacteria	76 76
3.2.1.3 Serum gastrin	

3.2.1.8 Faecal consistency	77
3.2.1.9 Histology	77
3.2.1.9.1 G cell staining	
3.2.1.9.2 Parietal cell staining	78
3.2.1.10 Statistical analyses	78
3.2.2 Experiment 2 (LTI)	79
3.2.2.1 Animals	79
3.2.2.2 Measurement of oxygen concentration	7 9
3.2.2.3 Abomasal pH	80
3.2.2.4 Statistical analyses	80
3.3 RESULTS	81
3.3.1 Experiment 1, LPI-1	81
3.3.1.1 Abomasal pH, serum gastrin, and pepsinogen, abomasal	peptic
activity	81
3.3.1.2 Abomasal bacteria	81
3.3.1.3 Food intake	82
3.3.1.4 Faecal consistency	82
3.3.1.5 Parasitology	83
3.3.1.6 Gross pathology and histology	83
3.3.2 Experiment 2 (LTI)	83
3.3.2.1 Oxygen concentration	83
3.4 DISCUSSION	84
3.4.1 Establishment and effects of parasitism	84
3.4.1.1 Abomasal microbial populations	85
3.4.1.2 Abomasal oxygen concentration	86
3.4.1.3 Hypergastrinaemia	87
3.4.1.4 Food intake and nutrition	
3.4.2 Summary	92
4. GASTRIN INHIBITORY ACTVITY IN RUMEN AND AE	BOMASAL
CONTENTS OF SHEEP	
CONTENTS OF SHEEP	33
4.1 INTRODUCTION	93
4.2 PRELIMINARY EXPERIMENT: ASSESSMENT OF IN VITRO	O ASSAY
CONDITIONS	94
4.2.1 Methods	94

4.2.1.1 Gastrin release: medium pH and osmolarity	94
4.2.1.2 Gastrin release: abomasal and rumen contents	95
4.2.1.3 Gastrin release: tissue viability	95
4.2.1.4 Gastrin concentration in abomasal contents from unparasitised	
sheep	95
4.2.1.5 Effect of rumen/abomasal contents on gastrin and somatostatin	
Radioimmunoassay (RIA)	96
4.2.1.6 Statistics	96
4.2.2 Results	96
4.2.2.1 pH and osmolarity of the medium	96
4.2.2.2 Gastrin concentration in abomasal contents	
4.2.2.3 In vitro gastrin response to rumen and abomasal contents	96
4.2.3 Conclusions	97
4.3 SERUM GASTRIN AND IN VITRO GASTRIN INHIBITORY ACTIVITY	Y OF
ABOMASAL CONTENTS IN PARASITISED SHEEP	98
4.3.1.1 Experimental overview	
4.3.2 Methods	
4.3.2.1 Animals	
4.3.2.2 Blood and abomasal sampling	
4.3.2.3 Abomasal pH and serum gastrin	
4.3.2.4 <i>In vitro</i> inhibitory activity of abomasal fluid	
4.3.2.5 Gastrin "breakdown" <i>in vitro</i>	
4.3.2.6 Statistical analyses	101
4.3.3 Results	102
4.3.3.1 <i>In vitro</i> gastrin inhibitory activity in abomasal contents	102
4.3.3.2 Relationship of serum gastrin to abomasal pH	
4.3.3.3 Gastrin "breakdown" by abomasal contents	104
4.4 DISCUSSION	. 105
4.4.1.1 Inhibitory activity in abomasal contents	105
4.4.1.2 Serum gastrin, abomasal pH and abomasal inhibitory factor	106
4.4.2 Summary	.109
5. PROPERTIES OF THE INHIBITOR OF <i>IN VITRO</i> GASTRIN	
SECRETION	110
5.1 INTRODUCTION	.110

5	5.2 METHODS	111
	5.2.1 Abomasal and rumen fluid	111
	5.2.2 Abomasal and rumen incubates	112
	5.2.3 Bacterial counts	114
	5.2.3.1 Preparation of basal medium agar plates	114
	5.2.3.2 Bacterial enumeration	
	5.2.4 Monocultures of Actinomycetes	115
	5.2.5 Culture of Streptococcus bovis	
	5.2.6 <i>In vitro</i> tissue incubation test system	
	5.2.6.1 Exposure to antibiotics	115
	5.2.6.2 Acidification and alkalinisation	116
	5.2.6.3 Increased and decreased temperature	116
	5.2.6.4 Filtration	117
	5.2.6.5 Centrifugation	117
	5.2.7 Effect of rumen and abomasal incubates on gastrin and	
	somatostatin RIA	117
	5.2.8 Gastrin loss from standard solutions ("breakdown" activity)118
	5.2.8.1 Calculations	119
	5.2.9 Statistical analyses	120
5	5.3 RESULTS	120
	5.3.1 Effects of abomasal and rumen incubates on RIA's	120
	5.3.2 Inhibitory activity in abomasal samples and abomasal	
	incubates	121
	5.3.2.1 Abomasal fluids	
	5.3.2.2 Abomasal incubates	
	5.3.2.3 Inhibitory activity in monocultures	
	5.3.3 Inhibitory activity in rumen fluid and rumen incubates	
	5.3.3.1 Rumen fluid	
	5.3.3.2 Rumen incubates	123
	5.3.3.3 Properties of activity in rumen fluids and rumen incubates	124
	5.3.3.1 Antibiotic treatment	124
	5.3.3.2 Altered pH	124
	5.3.3.3 Temperature	
	5.3.3.3.4 Filtration and Centrifugation	
	5.3.3.3.5 Effect of protease inhibitor	127

5.4 DISCUSSION	128
5.4.1 Inhibitory activity in rumen contents	129
5.4.2 Microbial production of inhibitory activity	131
5.4.2.1 Sensitivity.	134
5.4.3 Survival of rumen activity in the hypoacidic abomasum	135
5.5 SUMMARY	136
6. SEPARATION OF <i>IN VITRO</i> INHIBITORY ACTIVITY FRO	N/I
RUMEN CONTENTS AND INCUBATED SOLUTIONS	137
6.1 INTRODUCTION	137
6.2 METHODS	138
6.2.1 Rumen fluid and rumen and abomasal incubates	138
6.2.2 Preparation of solutions	138
6.2.3 Ultramembrane filtration	139
6.2.3.1 Use of salts and solvents in separation	139
6.2.3.1.1 Ultramembrane filter wash	141
6.2.4 Dialysis	141
6.2.5 Hydrophobic interaction chromatography	141
6.2.6 Statistical analyses	142
6.3 RESULTS	142
6.3.1 Ultramembrane filtrations	142
6.3.1.1 Ultramembrane filtration of rumen fluids	143
6.3.2 Dialysis	144
6.3.3 Effect of salts, solvents and detergents on in vitro gastrir	1
secretion	144
6.3.3.1 Hydrophobic solvents	144
6.3.3.2 Salts	
6.3.3.3 Detergents	
6.3.3.4 Hydrophobic interaction chromatography	
6.4 DISCUSSION	146
6.4.1 Effects of detergents, salts and solvents on gastrin	
secretion	147
6.4.1.1 Effects of ammonia on gastrin secretion	149
6.4.2 Hydrophobic interaction chromatography	150

6.4.3 Ultramembrane filtrations	151
6.4.4 Alternative separation methods	152
6.5 SUMMARY	153
7. GENERAL DISCUSSION	154
8. REFERENCES	164
APPENDIX 1	209
APPENDIX 2	211
APPENDIX 3	213

000

LIST OF FIGURES

	Facing page
Figure 1.1. Gastrin biosynthesis in the antral G cell.	9
Figure 1.2. Effect of food on gastric secretion.	16
Figure 1.3. Cellular control of gastrin and acid secretion.	24
Figure 1.4. The second messenger pathways of the G cell controlling gastrin secretion.	3 6
Figure 2.1. Effects of human (hGRP) and porcine (pGRP) GRP at 10 ⁻⁶ M and 10 ⁻⁷ M concentration on gastrin secretion.	59
Figure 2.2. Effects of human (hGRP) and porcine (pGRP) at 10 ⁻⁶ N concentration on somatostatin secretion.	M 59
Figure 2.3. Effect of VIP at 10 ⁻⁶ M on basal and 10 ⁻⁶ M porcine GRF stimulated gastrin release.	P- 60
Figure 2.4. Effects of 10 ⁻⁶ M VIP on somatostatin secretion, alone and in the presence of 10 ⁻⁶ M porcine GRP.	60
Figure 2.5. Effects of 10 ⁻⁶ M GIP on basal and 10 ⁻⁶ M porcine GRP stimulated gastrin release.	- 60
Figure 2.6. Effect of 10 ⁻⁶ M GIP on somatostatin secretion, alone a in the presence of 10 ⁻⁶ M porcine GRP.	and 60
Figure 2.7 . Gastrin response to nicotine at concentrations from 5x to 1x10 ⁻⁶ M.	x10 ⁻³ 61
Figure 2.8. Somatostatin response to nicotine at concentrations from 5×10^{-3} to 1×10^{-6} M.	61
Figure 2.9. Effect of 10 ⁻⁶ M somatostatin on gastrin secretion stimulated by nicotine at concentrations from 5x10 ⁻⁴ to 1x10 ⁻⁶ M.	62
Figure 2.10. Effect of 10 ⁻⁶ M somatostatin on gastrin secretion stimulated by nicotine at concentrations from 5x10 ⁻⁴ to 1x10 ⁻⁶ M.	62
Figure 2.11. Effects of carbachol at concentrations from 10 ⁻² to 10 ⁻⁶ M on gastrin secretion.	62

	Facing Page
Figure 2.12. Effects of carbachol at concentrations from 10 ⁻² to 10 ⁻⁶ M on somatostatin secretion.	62
Figure 2.13. Effect of 10 ⁻⁵ M to 10 ⁻¹ M carbachol or 10 ⁻⁵ M to 10 ⁻¹ M histamine on somatostatin and gastrin secretion.	62
Figure 2.14. Effects of tryptophan, phenylalanine and glycine at concentrations of 10 ⁻⁴ M to 10 ⁻¹ M on gastrin secretion.	63
Figure 3.1. Serum gastrin and abomasal pH for Sheep 1-5 infects on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	
Figure 3.2. Serum pepsinogen for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	81
Figure 3.3. Correlation between serum gastrin and abomasal pH for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	81
Figure 3.4. Aerotolerant and anaerobic bacterial counts for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	81
Figure 3.5. Anaerobic bacterial counts and abomasal pH for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82
Figure 3.6. Relationship between abomasal pH and anaerobic bacterial counts using combined data from Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82
Figure 3.7. Daily food intake and serum gastrin in Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82
Figure 3.8. Correlation between serum gastrin and daily food intake for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82

	Facing Page
Figure 3.9. Daily food intake and abomasal pH in Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82
Figure 3.10. Correlation between abomasal pH and daily food intake for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82
Figure 3.11. Anaerobic bacterial count, abomasal pH and faecal consistency for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathe L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	82 d
Figure 3.12. The number of parietal cells per unit area (mean±SEM) (25.8μm deep by 258μm wide) in columns of fundic mucosa for Sheep 1-5 infected on Day 7 with 150 000 exsheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> and Day 18 with 100 000 sheathed L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	83
Figure 3.13. Oxygen concentration in abomasal contents from eigsheep parasitised with L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	ght 83
Figure 4.1. Effect of pH of the basal medium on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion.	96
Figure 4.2. Effect of osmolarity of the basal medium on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion.	96
Figure 4.3. Effects of 0.1-20% abomasal or rumen contents from lucerne chaff-fed animals on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion.	97
Figure 4.4. Effects of 0.5 to 20% abomasal or rumen contents from pasture-fed animals on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion.	97
Figure 4.5. <i>In vivo</i> abomasal pH and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion in response to abomasal contents from individual sheep infected with: (API) 20 000 adult <i>O. circumcincta</i> ; (LPI-2) 40 000 L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> ; (CTR) uninfected.	102
Figure 4.6. <i>In vivo</i> abomasal pH and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion response to abomasal contents from six sheep infected with 20 000 adult <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	102
Figure 4.7. <i>In vivo</i> abomasal pH and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion response to abomasal contents from four sheep infected with 40,000 L3. O. circumcineta	102

	Facing Page
Figure 4.8 . <i>In vivo</i> abomasal pH and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion response to abomasal contents from four uninfected animals.	102
Figure 4.9. The relationship between abomasal pH and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion in response to abomasal contents from API (infected with 20 000 adult <i>O. circumcincta</i>) LPI-2 (infected with 40 000 larval <i>O. circumcincta</i>) or CTR (uninfected) animals.	102
Figure 4.10. Abomasal pH and serum gastrin in six animals infected with 20 000 adult <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	103
Figure 4.11. Abomasal pH and serum gastrin in four animals infected with 40 000 L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	103
Figure 4.12. Abomasal pH and serum gastrin in four uninfected control animals.	103
Figure 4.13. Serum gastrin and abomasal pH for 8 sheep infected with 50 000 L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> on Day 0 and trickle infected once weekly with 10 000 L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> on days 35, 42, 49, 56, 63 and 70.	103
Figure 5.1. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to and bacterial numbers in incubates of abomasal contents in normal basal medium, basal medium without BSA, and basal medium where pH is maintained between 7.20-7.40 inoculated with abomasal fluid.	121
Figure 5.2. Effect of two Actinomycete and one <i>S. bovis</i> monoculture grown for 0, 5 and 10 days on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secreti	122 on.
Figure 5.3. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to rumen contents in the presence of 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Kanamycin mixture or 0.2g L ⁻¹ neomycin.	124
Figure 5.4. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to six rumen fluids exposed to pH2-14 at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to test incuba	124 tion.
Figure 5.5. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to four rumen incubate solutions exposed to pH2-4.5 at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to test incubation.	125
Figure 5.6. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to two rumen fluids incubate at -18 to 100°C prior to test incubation	ed 125

	Facing Pag
Figure 5.7. <i>In vitro</i> gastrin response to seven rumen fluids after passage through a $0.22\mu m$ filter.	126
Figure 6.1. Ultramembrane filtration of a rumen incubate sample through YM3, YM10 and YM30 ultramembrane alone and with 10% glycerol (v/v) added before filtering.	144
Figure 6.2. Effect of ammonium sulphate from 10 ⁻⁴ M to 10 ⁻² M on <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion.	145
Figure 6.3. Fractions from a Hydrophobic interaction chromatography column to which 4mL of 60% concentrated rumen incubate with inhibitory activity was added.	146
Figure 6.4 A. Fractionation of 20mL of a rumen incubate (RI-23) on a Hydrophobic interaction column.	146
Figure 6.4 B. Fractionation of 20mL of a rumen sample (RUM-26) on a Hydrophobic interaction column	146
Figure 6.4C. Fractionation of 50mL of an abomasal incubate (Al-8) on Hydrophobic interaction column.	146

LIST OF TABLES

	Facing page
Table 2.1. Somatostatin secretion (mean±SD) for plates in 12 individual pharmacology experiments, prior to the action of the pharmacological agent.	59
Table 4.1. Correlation between serum gastrin concentration and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin secretion in response to abomasal contents from animals infected with either adult or L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> or uninfected.	103
Table 4.2. Correlation between abomasal pH and serum gastrin levels in <i>O. circumcincta</i> infected sheep and controls.	104
Table 4.3. Gastrin "breakdown" activity and <i>in vitro</i> gastrin response to abomasal contents from sheep infected with L3 <i>O. circumcincta</i> .	
Table 5.1. Estimation of gastrin "breakdown" activity in four abomasal samples from unparasitised animals, untreated, centrifuged at 19 500 <i>g</i> (20K), and at 49 500 <i>g</i> (50K).	121
Table 5.2. Model calculations of the predicted gastrin concentration in the presence of test solution allowing for gastrin breakdown.	n 123
Table 5.3. Production of tissue gastrin inhibitory activity by 20 rumen contents diluted in basal medium and incubated for periods of up to 96 hours.	123
Table 5.4A . Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in six rumen incubate samples of which four were tested after 40 hours (a), and all six tested after 64 hours (b) of incubation.	123
Table 5.4B. Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in four rumen incubate samples each tested four times (a-d).	123
Table 5.5. Statistics for <i>in vitro</i> gastrin response to six rumen fluid samples exposed to pH2-14 at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to test incubation.	124
Table 5.6. Statistics for the <i>in vitro</i> gastrin response to four rumen incubate samples exposed to pH2-4 at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to test incubation.	125

	Facing page
Table 5.7. Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in four rumen incubates untreated and exposed to pH2-4 for 30 minutes prior to <i>in vitro</i> testing.	125
Table 5.8. Statistics for the <i>in vitro</i> gastrin response to the rumen fluid samples incubated at -18 to 100°C prior to test incubation.	125
Table 5.9. Statistics for the <i>in vitro</i> gastrin response to four rumen incubate samples exposed to pH2-4 at room temperature for 30 minutes prior to test incubation.	126
Table 5.10A. Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in four rumen fluids tested four times, untreated and after centrifugation at 19 500 <i>g</i> (20K cent).	126
Table 5.10B. Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in seven rumen fluids, untreated and after centrifugation at 49 500 <i>g</i> (50K cent).	126
Table 5.11A. Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in four rumen incubates tested twice, untreated and after centrifugation at 19 500 <i>g</i> (20K cent).	127
Table 5.11B . Comparison between gastrin "breakdown" and tissue gastrin inhibitory activity effect in six rumen incubates, four of which were tested thrice, untreated and after centrifugation at 49 500 <i>g</i> (50K cent).	
Table 6.1. Effect of YM3 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity of six bacteria free rumen solutions.	143
Table 6.2. Effect of YM10 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity of five bacteria free rumen solutions.	143
Table 6.3. Effect of YM30 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity five bacteria free rumen solutions.	143
Table 6.4. Effect of XM50 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity of four bacteria free rumen solutions.	143
Table 6.5. Effect of YM3 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in seven bacteria free rumen incubate solutions.	143

	Facing page
Table 6.6. Effect of YM10 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity of six bacteria free rumen incubate solutions.	143
Table 6.7. Effect of YM30 ultramembrane filtration on the tissue gastrin inhibitory activity of seven bacteria free rumen incubate solutions.	143
Table 6.8. Tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in retentate solutions from six rumen fluid solutions (RUM-27 to RUM-33) after filtration through YM3, YM10, YM30 or XM50 ultramembranes.	143
Table 6.9. Tissue gastrin inhibitory activity in retentate solutions from seven rumen incubate solutions (RI-3 to RI-30) after filtration through YM3. YM10. YM30 or XM50 ultramembranes.	143

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

α₂ Alpha adrenergic receptor subtype 2

Ach Acetylcholine

ANOVA Analysis of Variance
API Adult Parasite Infected

 $\beta_{2/3}$ Beta adrenergic receptor subtype 2 or 3

BRS-3 Bombesin receptor subtype 3

BSA Bovine Serum Albumin

cAMP cyclic Adenosine Monophosphate

°C Degrees Celsius CCK Cholecystokinin

CCK_A Cholecystokinin receptor class A

CCK_B Cholecystokinin/gastrin receptor class B (gastrin receptor)

cells.mL⁻¹ viable cells per millilitre

CGRP Calcitonin Gene Related Peptide

circ circulation cm centimetre

cpm counts per minute

CTR control

D cell somatostatin cell

DMPP 1,1-dimethyl-4-phenylpiperrazinium

EC Enterochromaffin
ECL Enterochromaffin like
EGF Epidermal Growth Factor

e.p.g eggs per gram
E/S Excretory/Secretory
FEC Faecal Egg Counts

 $egin{array}{ll} g & & \text{grams} \\ g & & \text{g force} \end{array}$

g.L⁻¹ grams per litre G17 Gastrin-17 G34 Gastrin-34

G17Gly Glycine extended G17 G34Gly Glycine extended G34 G-Gly Glycine extended gastrins

G cell Gastrin cell

GABA Gamma Amino Butyric Acid GIP Gastric Intestinal Polypeptide

GLP Glucagon Like Peptide
GRP Gastrin Releasing Peptide
GRPR₁ GRP receptor subtype 1

H_{2/3} Histamine receptor subtype 2 or 3 HBSS Hank's Balanced Salt Solution

H. contortus Haemonchus contortus H. pylori Helicobacter pylori

hGRP human GRP

I cells cholecystokinin containing cells

IL Interleukin

INF Interferon Gamma

iU international unit

kDa kiloDalton kg Kilogram L Litre

L3 Third stage larvae

LPI-1/2 Larval parasite infected, experiment 1 or 2

LTI Larval Trickle Infected

M Moles per litre

 $M_{1/2/3}$ cholinergic receptor subtype 1, 2 or 3

mg milligram

mg.mL⁻¹ milligrams per millilitre mg.kg⁻¹ milligrams per kilogram

mL millilitre

mL.L⁻¹ millilitres per litre mL.min⁻¹ millilitres per minute

mM millimolar mOsm milliosmoles

mOsm.L⁻¹ milliosmoles per litre μg.kg⁻¹ micrograms per kilogram

μm micrometres
μM micromolar
μL microlitre
μg microgram
M_r Molar ratio

mRNA messenger RNA
NA noradrenaline
NK Neurokinin
NY Neuropeptide Y

O. ostertagi/

circumcincta Ostertagia spp.
p probability statistic

PAM Peptidylglycyl Amidating Mono-oxygenase enzyme

PBS phosphate buffered saline PC Prohormone Convertase

pGRP porcine GRP PGE₂ Prostaglandin E₂

PHI Peptide Histidine Isoleucine

pM picomolar PYY Peptide YY

RIA Radioimmunoassay
S. bovis Streptococcus bovis
S cells secretin containing cells
SD standard deviation

SEM standard error of the mean

SP Substance P SS somatostatin

SST somatostatin receptor

Τ.

colubriformis Trichostrongylus colubriformis

TGF α Transforming Growth Factor Alpha

TNFαVIPUNIANOVAUnivariate Analysis of Variance

v/v volume to volume w/v weight to volume

PREFACE

Gastrin is a hormone secreted by the antrum of the stomach in monogastrics or the abomasum in ruminants. The classical action of gastrin is the control of acid secretion, for which it is the integration point for many stimulants and inhibitors. Gastrin has additional roles, notably the maintenance of gastric gland architecture and regulation of gastrointestinal motility. Hypergastrinaemia has been extensively studied in gastric diseases, particularly in humans with *Helicobacter pylori* infection, duodenal ulcers and pernicious anaemia. In duodenal ulcer patients, hypergastrinaemia is associated with the hypersecretion of acid. While most studies of gastrin secretion have been conducted in monogastric animals, the ruminant abomasum has similar architecture and functional cells to the stomach in other mammals (Murray *et al.*, 1970; Gurnsey *et al.*, 1985; Wathuta *et al.*, 1986) and its secretions also appear to be controlled by similar mechanisms (Lawton, 1995).

There is still debate regarding the causes and roles of hypergastrinaemia during abomasal nematode infection in ruminants. Fox et al. (1989a, b; 1993) have shown that in calves infected with Ostertagia ostertagi, hypergastrinaemia is very closely related to abomasal hypoacidity and a reduction in food intake. In fact, hypergastrinaemia is so closely correlated with the pathology of abomasal infection that it has been proposed as a diagnostic marker for parasitism. However. in sheep infected with О. circumcincta, hypergastrinaemia is not as closely related to abomasal hypoacidity. Notably, Lawton et al. (1996) observed that although hypergastrinaemia and abomasal hypoacidity develop in tandem, hypergastrinaemia persists when abomasal pH returns to normal levels. Thus, questions remain concerning the importance of other factors in stimulating gastrin secretion in the parasitised abomasum.

A particularly unusual observation in some parasitised sheep was a reversal of the hypergastrinaemia when abomasal pH exceeded pH5.5. This was suggested to be due to abomasal microbes inhibiting gastrin secretion. Microbial involvement in gastrin secretion during parasitism was supported by studies *in vitro*, in which a potent inhibitor of gastrin secretion was produced

when abomasal microbes were incubated aerobically (Haag, 1995; Lawton, 1995). This effect appears to be novel, as similar effects have not been reported in the numerous studies of *H. pylori* infections. The principal inhibitor of gastrin secretion in all species studied is somatostatin. Lawton (1995) suggested that the microbial inhibitor of gastrin secretion may be a somatostatin-like substance, however, the inhibitor reduced basal gastrin secretion in the *in vitro* antral preparation, unlike endogenous somatostatin released by pharmacological agents. Thus, it seems likely that the microbial factor which inhibited gastrin release appeared to act via a novel mechanism.

The primary objective was to determine the characteristics of the microbial inhibitor of gastrin secretion and whether it affected gastrin secretion during abomasal parasitism. To examine this, gastrin secretion was studied *in vitro* using tissue cultures and *in vivo* in sheep parasitised with *O. circumcincta*. *In vivo* studies were also used to examine the abomasal bacterial numbers and hypergastrinaemia during abomasal parasitism, as well as possible effects of hypergastrinaemia. *In vitro* experiments were also used to determine properties of the microbial inhibitory activity, and whether it was present in abomasal and rumen contents. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies were combined to determine whether the microbial inhibitor of gastrin secretion affects gastrin levels during abomasal parasitism.